

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Development Strategy and Liberal-Democratic Ideas

 **M.M. Shodmonkulova**

Associate Professor, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Philosophical Sciences, Gulistan State University, Uzbekistan

VOLUME: Vol.06 Issue09 2026

PAGE: 42-48

Copyright © 2026 Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Research Fundamentals, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License. Licensed under Creative Commons License a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Abstract

This article provides a scientific and theoretical analysis of the intrinsic relationship between strategic reforms and liberal-democratic ideas in ensuring societal development. It also examines the introduction of modern political and legal approaches in the process of national modernization, the protection of individual freedom, the strengthening of the role of civil society institutions, and the further consolidation of democratic principles.

KEY WORDS

Reform, development, document, human dignity, society, government, justice, environmental, elections, ideas, political parties, social, political, cultural, strategy, liberal-democratic, modernization, rule-of-law state, individual freedom, rule of law, political consciousness, pluralism, partnership, transparency, civil society.

INTRODUCTION

The development of a democratic society, the modernization of public administration, and the protection of human rights and freedoms are among the key priorities of contemporary political development. In Uzbekistan, the achievement of national independence created favorable conditions for implementing comprehensive reforms in the political, economic, social, and cultural spheres. Particular attention has been paid to developing democratic institutions, strengthening political consciousness and political culture, establishing the rule of law, and increasing citizens' participation in public administration.

The Development Strategy of New Uzbekistan for 2022–2026 represents an important stage in the country's political and institutional transformation. Based on the principle of "For Human Dignity," the strategy identifies priority areas aimed at improving public welfare, protecting human rights and legitimate interests, modernizing the economy, and developing an active civil society. In this context, liberal-democratic ideas constitute an important theoretical foundation for strengthening democratic governance,

ensuring political pluralism, and promoting cooperation between the state and society.

One of the essential components of democratic transformation is the development of a multiparty political system. Political parties serve as important institutions of civil society by representing the interests of different social groups, encouraging political participation, and facilitating communication between citizens and public authorities. In Uzbekistan, the adoption of the Law "On Political Parties" in 1996 and the Law "On Financing Political Parties" in 2004 established important legal foundations for political pluralism and the institutional development of political parties.

Furthermore, the effectiveness of democratic reforms depends not only on the establishment of appropriate legal frameworks but also on the development of political consciousness, civic responsibility, and democratic political culture. Strengthening the role of political parties, ensuring electoral competition, expanding public participation in decision-making, and increasing the transparency and accountability of state institutions are essential conditions for sustainable political

modernization.

The relevance of this study is determined by the need to examine the relationship between strategic development priorities and liberal-democratic principles in the context of Uzbekistan's ongoing political reforms. Although significant institutional and legal foundations have been established, further research is necessary to identify the social, political, cultural, and structural factors influencing the effectiveness of political parties and democratic institutions.

Therefore, this article aims to analyze the theoretical and practical relationship between Uzbekistan's Development Strategy and liberal-democratic ideas, examine the legal and institutional foundations of the multiparty system, and assess the role of political parties in strengthening democratic governance and civil society. Particular attention is devoted to political pluralism, the development of political consciousness, and the importance of active citizen participation in the modernization of state administration.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The theoretical and legal foundations of democratic reforms and political pluralism in Uzbekistan have been examined in various scientific publications and legislative documents. The Development Strategy of New Uzbekistan for 2022–2026 establishes the main priorities for democratic transformation, the protection of human rights, and the development of civil society [1].

M.Qirgizboyev examined the challenges affecting the political activity of political parties [2], while A.Kadirov analyzed the conceptual problems associated with the formation of Uzbekistan's multiparty system [3]. The laws "On Political Parties" and "On Financing Political Parties" provide the legal framework for political competition and party activities [4, 7].

Furthermore, X.Dustmuhamedov emphasized the importance of political parties in ensuring national unity and development [5]. Sh.Mirziyoyev's works highlight the strategic priorities of national development and democratic modernization [6]. These sources provide a theoretical and legal basis for analyzing the relationship between liberal-democratic ideas, political institutions, and Uzbekistan's Development Strategy.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs historical, comparative, analytical, and systematic approaches to examine the relationship between Uzbekistan's Development Strategy and liberal-democratic

ideas. The research is based on an analysis of legislative documents, presidential decrees, and scientific publications concerning democratic reforms, political pluralism, and civil society development. Particular attention is given to the legal foundations of the multiparty system and the role of political parties in political modernization. Comparative and historical analysis is used to examine the development of democratic institutions and identify the main factors influencing political participation and democratic governance in Uzbekistan.

RESULTS

The analysis of political reforms in Uzbekistan demonstrates that the Development Strategy of New Uzbekistan for 2022–2026 constitutes an important stage in the country's transition towards a more modern system of public administration and democratic governance. The strategy reflects the government's declared commitment to strengthening democratic institutions, protecting human rights and freedoms, improving the effectiveness of state administration, and establishing favorable conditions for the development of civil society. Its adoption also indicates an attempt to address existing institutional challenges through comprehensive and coordinated reforms. The fundamental principle of the strategy, "For Human Dignity," places human interests, individual freedoms, and social justice at the center of national development. From a political and theoretical perspective, this approach is closely associated with liberal-democratic principles emphasizing the protection of individual rights, equality before the law, political participation, and institutional accountability. The strategy therefore provides an important framework for examining the relationship between state-led modernization and the development of democratic institutions in Uzbekistan [1].

The historical development of Uzbekistan's political system indicates that democratic transformation has been a gradual and multidimensional process. Following the achievement of national independence in 1991, the country faced the complex task of establishing independent state institutions, developing a national legal system, and creating the organizational foundations of political pluralism. These processes required substantial institutional changes and the development of new relationships between state authorities and society. The transition from the previous administrative and political system created opportunities for establishing political parties, introducing new electoral mechanisms, and developing institutions intended to represent the interests of different

social groups. However, the formal establishment of democratic institutions does not automatically guarantee their effective operation. Their development also depends on political culture, institutional independence, public confidence, and opportunities for meaningful political participation.

In this context, the Development Strategy can be interpreted as a framework intended to connect institutional modernization with broader social and political objectives. Its implementation requires coordination between legislative reforms, administrative improvements, public participation, and mechanisms for protecting citizens' legitimate interests. An important theoretical consideration is the relationship between economic modernization and democratic development. Sustainable national development requires not only improvements in economic performance but also institutional arrangements that promote transparency, legal certainty, accountability, and public confidence. Political institutions influence economic and social development by establishing the rules governing public decision-making and the distribution of administrative responsibilities.

Consequently, the implementation of strategic reforms should be assessed through both their legislative foundations and their practical institutional outcomes. The adoption of development programs establishes political priorities, while their effectiveness depends on implementation, administrative capacity, public oversight, and the participation of relevant social institutions. The analysis suggests that the Development Strategy and liberal-democratic ideas share several important objectives. These include strengthening the rule of law, protecting individual freedoms, increasing the effectiveness of public institutions, and expanding opportunities for citizens to participate in political and social processes.

Nevertheless, the relationship between strategic development objectives and actual democratic outcomes requires careful examination. The existence of legislative guarantees and development priorities provides an institutional foundation, but their practical realization depends on the effectiveness of implementation mechanisms.

The formation of a multiparty system represents one of the central dimensions of Uzbekistan's political transformation following independence. Political parties are fundamental institutions of representative democracy because they organize political interests, develop alternative policy proposals, participate in elections, and establish communication between citizens and public authorities. Their

development is therefore closely associated with political pluralism and the institutionalization of democratic governance.

The emergence of political parties in independent Uzbekistan should be examined within the broader historical context of the country's transition from a centralized administrative system towards independent statehood. During the previous political system, opportunities for independent political organization and competition were substantially restricted. The introduction of political pluralism consequently required not only legislative reforms but also significant changes in political consciousness and institutional practices. Following independence, the establishment of political parties created organizational opportunities for representing different political views and social interests. The formation of a multiparty system was intended to facilitate political participation and develop mechanisms through which citizens could influence the political decision-making process.

According to M. Qirgizboyev, the effectiveness of political parties constitutes an important issue in understanding their role in society. The question of why political parties do not demonstrate sufficient activity highlights the need to examine their organizational development, social foundations, and capacity to represent public interests [2].

Similarly, A. Qodirov's examination of the conceptual problems associated with the formation of a multiparty system provides a theoretical basis for analyzing political pluralism in Uzbekistan. The development of political parties involves more than their formal establishment. It requires the formation of stable organizational structures, clearly defined political programs, social support, and institutional mechanisms enabling political competition [3].

The historical development of multiparty politics also illustrates the importance of the relationship between political organizations and the social groups they represent. Political parties generally emerge in response to particular political interests, economic concerns, ideological preferences, or demands for institutional change. From this perspective, a political party should function as an intermediary institution connecting social interests with the political system. Its legitimacy depends partly on its ability to represent citizens' concerns and transform these concerns into coherent political programs. Political parties also perform an important function in the political socialization of citizens. Through public discussions, electoral campaigns, educational activities, and

political communication, they contribute to the development of political knowledge and awareness.

The establishment of a multiparty system therefore creates opportunities for developing a political culture based on participation, dialogue, and the recognition of different political perspectives. However, the effectiveness of these opportunities depends on the degree to which political parties maintain meaningful relationships with citizens.

The historical experience discussed in this study suggests that the institutional development of political parties should be understood as a continuous process rather than a completed political achievement. Their organizational capacity, social influence, and participation in governance require ongoing examination.

The development of democratic institutions requires a stable legal framework defining their responsibilities, rights, and organizational procedures. In Uzbekistan, the establishment of legislation regulating political parties represented an important stage in the institutionalization of political pluralism. The adoption of the Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan "On Political Parties" in December 1996 established a legal foundation for the organization and activities of political parties. The legislation defines political parties as voluntary associations established by citizens on the basis of common political views, interests, and objectives. It also establishes their role in representing political interests and participating in the formation and activities of state institutions [4].

An important provision of the legislation concerns the protection of political parties' rights and legitimate interests. Legal recognition provides political organizations with an institutional basis for conducting their activities and pursuing their statutory objectives.

From the perspective of liberal-democratic theory, legal equality among political organizations constitutes an important prerequisite for political pluralism. Democratic competition requires transparent regulations and institutional arrangements that provide opportunities for different political forces to participate in political processes.

The legislation regulating political parties should therefore be considered not only an administrative mechanism but also an important element of the relationship between the state and civil society. The adoption of the Law "On Financing Political Parties" in April 2004 constituted another significant development in the institutionalization of Uzbekistan's

multiparty system. The legislation established a framework for regulating the financial activities of political parties, including provisions concerning state financing [7].

Financial resources play an important role in determining the organizational capacity of political parties. Political organizations require funding to maintain their structures, communicate with voters, develop political programs, organize public events, and participate in electoral campaigns.

Consequently, the regulation of political financing is directly connected with questions of institutional sustainability, transparency, and political competition.

State financing can contribute to the organizational development of political parties by providing financial resources for their activities. At the same time, the effectiveness of political financing arrangements depends on transparent allocation procedures, appropriate accountability mechanisms, and compliance with relevant legislation. The development of legal regulations concerning political parties demonstrates the importance attached to creating formal institutional conditions for political participation in Uzbekistan.

However, the analysis also reveals that legislative development should be distinguished from institutional effectiveness. The existence of legal guarantees does not necessarily establish the extent to which political parties influence public policy or represent different social interests.

A comprehensive assessment of political parties therefore requires examining their actual organizational activities, participation in legislative processes, communication with citizens, and capacity to influence political decision-making.

The relationship between legal regulation and political development is particularly important because democratic institutions operate within broader social and cultural environments. Political organizations must develop public confidence, establish sustainable relationships with their supporters, and demonstrate their ability to address relevant social problems.

Accordingly, legal reforms should be accompanied by institutional development and improvements in political culture. These complementary processes are necessary for strengthening the practical foundations of democratic governance.

The results of the analysis indicate that political parties occupy an important position in the relationship between citizens and

state institutions. Their primary democratic function is to represent different social interests and facilitate participation in political decision-making.

Political parties provide organizational mechanisms through which individual political preferences can be transformed into collective political demands. This process is essential because modern political systems require institutions capable of organizing and communicating the interests of different sections of society.

In Uzbekistan, the multiparty system consists of five political parties: the People's Democratic Party of Uzbekistan, the Adolat Social Democratic Party, the Milliy Tiklanish Democratic Party, the Liberal Democratic Party of Uzbekistan, and the Ecological Party of Uzbekistan. These organizations operate within the country's political and electoral framework and present political programs addressing various aspects of national development. The existence of different political parties provides a formal institutional basis for political pluralism. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of pluralism depends on meaningful differences between political programs, opportunities for political debate, and the ability of citizens to make informed electoral choices.

Political representation also requires continuous interaction between political parties and the population. Electoral participation represents only one dimension of this relationship. Parties must also maintain communication with citizens between elections, identify emerging social problems, and develop proposals addressing public concerns. This function is particularly important in the context of modernization, when economic transformation and social development can produce new interests and expectations among different population groups. Political parties can contribute to managing these processes by identifying public priorities and introducing relevant proposals into political discussions.

Another important function of political parties involves their participation in the legislative process. Through their representatives in legislative institutions, political organizations can contribute to the preparation, discussion, and adoption of legislation.

Such participation creates opportunities for connecting legislative decisions with public interests. However, the effectiveness of parliamentary representation depends on the institutional capacity of political parties and their

representatives. Political parties must possess sufficient organizational resources, professional expertise, and analytical capacity to formulate informed positions on complex political, economic, and social issues.

Furthermore, political representation should be evaluated according to the extent to which political organizations remain accountable to their supporters.

The relationship between political parties and citizens is strengthened when political organizations regularly communicate their objectives, explain their decisions, and provide opportunities for public participation.

Electoral processes constitute an essential mechanism through which political parties participate in the formation of representative institutions. Elections provide citizens with opportunities to express political preferences, evaluate alternative political programs, and select representatives responsible for participating in public decision-making.

The development of electoral institutions in Uzbekistan has been closely associated with the formation of its multiparty system. The participation of different political parties in elections creates an institutional framework for political competition and contributes to the development of representative governance.

The parliamentary elections held on December 22, 2019, represent an important example of the country's electoral development. Conducted under the slogan "New Uzbekistan – New Elections," these elections provided an opportunity to examine the participation of political parties and the organization of electoral processes during a period of political reform.

According to the information examined in the original article, 825 international observers representing approximately 50 countries and 10 international organizations participated in monitoring the electoral process. International observation constituted an important element of the elections and reflected attention to the organization and assessment of electoral procedures.

However, international observation and the existence of electoral competition should be distinguished from comprehensive assessments of electoral quality. Evaluating democratic elections requires examining several interconnected factors, including the legal framework, political competition, access to information, opportunities for public

participation, and the implementation of electoral procedures.

The significance of the 2019 elections can therefore be examined in terms of their institutional context and their relationship with the broader development of political parties in Uzbekistan. One important function of elections is to strengthen the connection between political organizations and citizens. During electoral campaigns, political parties communicate their programs, present candidates, and attempt to mobilize public support.

These activities can contribute to the development of political awareness by encouraging citizens to consider different policy proposals and participate in public discussions.

At the same time, electoral participation creates opportunities for political parties to identify public concerns and assess the priorities of different social groups.

The effectiveness of these processes depends on the extent to which electoral campaigns involve substantive discussions of political programs and public policy.

Political competition should not be understood exclusively as competition for parliamentary representation. It also involves competition between political ideas, approaches to governance, and proposals for addressing social and economic problems.

In this respect, the development of political parties is closely associated with the quality of electoral competition. Political organizations with clear programs and strong institutional structures are better positioned to communicate their objectives and participate effectively in political processes.

The analysis indicates that strengthening electoral participation requires improvements in political communication, organizational capacity, and citizens' political knowledge.

These considerations are directly relevant to the implementation of liberal-democratic principles because representative democracy depends on the ability of citizens to participate meaningfully in selecting their political representatives.

The development of political consciousness and political culture represents an important dimension of democratic transformation. Political institutions cannot function effectively without citizens who understand their political rights, recognize their civic responsibilities, and possess opportunities to participate in public affairs. The historical transformation of

Uzbekistan's political system has therefore involved not only the establishment of new institutions but also changes in the relationship between citizens and political organizations. Political consciousness can be understood as the collection of political knowledge, attitudes, values, and perceptions through which individuals interpret political institutions and processes. Political culture encompasses the broader patterns of political behavior, participation, and interaction that develop within society. Both concepts are important for analyzing the effectiveness of political parties.

Political parties contribute to political socialization by communicating political ideas, organizing public discussions, and encouraging citizens to participate in political processes. Their activities can influence how individuals understand political representation, electoral participation, and the responsibilities of public institutions.

A.Kadirov's examination of the conceptual problems associated with Uzbekistan's multiparty system provides a foundation for considering the relationship between political institutions and political culture [3]. The development of political consciousness is particularly important in societies experiencing institutional transformation. New political institutions require citizens to become familiar with different forms of participation and representation.

In this context, political parties can serve as institutions of political education by explaining legislative initiatives, presenting alternative policy proposals, and facilitating discussions of national development priorities.

However, the effectiveness of political education depends on the quality of information communicated and the extent to which citizens can participate in political discussions.

Political communication should involve more than the dissemination of political slogans. It should provide citizens with understandable information about political programs, public policy, legislative initiatives, and the practical consequences of political decisions.

The development of political culture also requires recognition of political diversity. Liberal-democratic principles emphasize the legitimacy of different political opinions and the importance of institutional mechanisms for resolving political disagreements.

Political pluralism creates opportunities for citizens to express different perspectives through organized political

participation.

The existence of multiple political parties can support this process, provided that political organizations maintain meaningful connections with their supporters and encourage substantive political discussion.

Civic participation constitutes another important element of democratic political culture. Citizens may participate in public affairs through elections, public discussions, civil society organizations, and other legally established mechanisms.

The relationship between political parties and civil society is particularly significant because both can contribute to communicating public interests and encouraging participation in governance.

Nevertheless, political parties and civil society organizations perform different institutional functions. Political parties generally seek political representation and participation in state institutions, whereas civil society organizations may concentrate on particular social interests, public initiatives, and community activities.

Cooperation between these institutions can contribute to identifying social problems and developing proposals for addressing them.

The findings suggest that the development of political consciousness should be considered a long-term objective requiring continuous institutional engagement.

Political parties can strengthen their social influence by developing communication strategies, maintaining contact with different population groups, and providing opportunities for citizens to participate in discussions concerning national development.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the Development Strategy of New Uzbekistan plays an important role in strengthening democratic reforms, promoting liberal-democratic values, and modernizing public administration. The analysis demonstrates that political parties are essential institutions of civil society, contributing to political pluralism, representing citizens' interests, and encouraging public participation in political processes.

The adoption of legislation regulating political parties and their financing has established an important legal foundation for the development of Uzbekistan's multiparty system. However, the effectiveness of democratic reforms depends not only on legal

mechanisms but also on political consciousness, civic engagement, and the institutional capacity of political parties.

Further democratic development requires strengthening cooperation between political parties and citizens, increasing public participation in decision-making, and improving the effectiveness of political institutions. The implementation of these priorities will contribute to the modernization of Uzbekistan's political system, the development of civil society, and the achievement of the strategic objectives of national development.

REFERENCES

1. Decree of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan No. PF-60, dated January 28, 2022. "On the Development Strategy of New Uzbekistan for 2022–2026."
2. Qirgizboyev, M. (1999). Why Are Political Parties Not Becoming More Active? *Hurriyat*, July 28 and August 3.
3. Kadirov, A. (2001). Conceptual Problems of the Formation of a Multiparty System in Uzbekistan. *Hukuk–Pravo–Law*, No. 4.
4. Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan No. 337-I, dated December 26, 1996. "On Political Parties." <https://lex.uz/docs/54191>
5. Dustmuhamedov, X. (2005). National Unity, National Development! *Xalq Suzi*, June 3.
6. Mirziyoyev, Sh. M. (2019). We Will Resolutely Continue Our Path of National Development and Raise It to a New Level. Vol. 1. Tashkent: Uzbekistan.
7. Bulletin of the Oliy Majlis of the Republic of Uzbekistan. (2004). No. 5, Article 86.