

**OPEN ACCESS**

SUBMITTED 23 June 2025

ACCEPTED 19 July 2025

PUBLISHED 21 August 2025

VOLUME Vol.05 Issue08 2025

**COPYRIGHT**

© 2025 Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 License.

# Social-Psychological Phenomena Influencing the Development of Independent Thinking: A Theoretical Exploration

Mavlyanova Surayo Sultanaliyevna

Tashkent University of Applied Sciences, teacher of the "Psychology" department, Uzbekistan

Pulatova Roziyabonu

Student of Tashkent University of Applied Sciences, Uzbekistan

Shukurova Fazilat

Student of Tashkent University of Applied Sciences, Uzbekistan

Kurbonova Umida

Student of Tashkent University of Applied Sciences, Uzbekistan

**Abstract:** This article explores the psychological and social phenomena that influence the development of independent thinking in individuals, particularly within group dynamics and educational settings. Key concepts such as social comparison, counterfactual thinking, and social representations are examined to explain how individuals shape their thoughts and self-evaluations in relation to others. The research highlights how cultural values, social identity, and family influence affect independent decision-making. The paper also analyzes the difference between independent thinking and negative resistance (negativism) or conformity, emphasizing the importance of emotional resilience, self-respect, and self-knowledge. Drawing on the works of Dewey, Leary, and Perret-Clermont, the article underscores the role of curiosity, guided educational practice, and social interaction in fostering cognitive autonomy and psychological growth.

**Keywords:** Independent thinking, social comparison, counterfactual thinking, social influence, self-perception, social psychology, conformity.

**INTRODUCTION:** In today's globalized and rapidly evolving world, the ability to think independently is more essential than ever before. Independent thinking—defined as the capacity to form judgments, opinions, and decisions without relying on others—plays a vital role in personal development, critical reasoning, and societal contribution. While often viewed as a purely individual trait, independent thinking is, in fact, a deeply social and psychological phenomenon.

From early childhood, individuals are immersed in a network of social interactions, values, and cultural expectations that shape their understanding of self and the world. Various social-psychological mechanisms operate within these contexts, influencing how people form and express their own thoughts. Among the most influential phenomena in this regard are social comparison, counterfactual thinking, and social representation. Each of these provides a unique lens through which independent thinking is either encouraged or constrained.

This paper aims to explore these psychological mechanisms in depth and understand how they relate to independent thinking. It will also discuss related factors such as conformity, self-esteem, motivation, and the role of education and family in cultivating critical thought. This theoretical analysis is particularly relevant to non-Western societies, such as Uzbekistan, where collectivist values and cultural expectations play a dominant role in individual identity formation.

## METHODS

This study employs a theoretical and literature-based approach to examine the intersection of social-psychological phenomena and independent thinking. The core materials include classical and contemporary theories from social psychology, cognitive development, and educational psychology. The works of psychologists such as Mark Leary, John Dewey, and A.N. Perret-Clermont provide a foundational framework. In addition, reflections from Uzbek cultural and educational practices are used to contextualize the findings within a specific societal framework.

Unlike empirical research involving data collection and experimentation, this analysis synthesizes existing concepts and theoretical models, enabling a broader and more nuanced understanding of how social dynamics shape cognitive independence.

## RESULTS

### 1. Social Comparison and Cognitive Evaluation

Social comparison theory posits that individuals assess themselves by comparing their thoughts, behaviors, and achievements with those of others. This process is

often subconscious and occurs in various social settings, such as group discussions, classrooms, and workplaces. According to Festinger (1954), this mechanism helps individuals gauge their abilities and form self-concept.

In practice, during collaborative learning or public debates, individuals might change their original stance after listening to others. This does not necessarily indicate conformity but can be an indicator of reflective and independent thinking when it is based on critical analysis rather than social pressure.

### 2. Counterfactual Thinking: Imagining Alternatives

Counterfactual thinking involves mentally constructing alternative outcomes to past events. This process, often triggered by social interaction and hindsight, is crucial for decision-making and learning. For instance, after a political election or personal failure, individuals often think, "If only I had done this..." Such reflections encourage deeper insight into cause-and-effect relationships and improve future judgments.

Mark Leary's 1982 research highlighted this in a political context when he surveyed predictions before and after an election. The retrospective certainty people expressed—despite prior uncertainty—illustrates how counterfactual reasoning shapes memory and perceived knowledge.

### 3. Social Representation and Cultural Values

Even when individuals believe they are thinking independently, their thoughts are often rooted in collectively accepted norms. This phenomenon is known as social representation. Shared beliefs, cultural narratives, and historical memory influence how people interpret and respond to events.

In the Uzbek context, concepts such as national independence, religious tolerance, and social harmony play a central role in shaping thought. Thus, independent thinking does not exist in a vacuum but is cultivated within a broader framework of societal values. Representations of national identity and ethical behavior often serve as reference points when forming personal judgments.

### 4. The Role of Self-Concept and Self-Respect

One's perception of self is often shaped by the perception of others. In many Eastern cultures, including Uzbekistan, self-esteem is highly relational—that is, dependent on how one is viewed by family, peers, and society. Individuals tend to internalize external feedback, which then becomes part of their identity.

Thus, independent thinking is intertwined with self-respect and the confidence to assert one's own voice. However, when overly concerned with others'

opinions, individuals may suppress their true beliefs, leading to conformity or emotional distress.

#### 5. Conformism, Negativism, and Suggestibility

While social influence can enrich perspectives, excessive conformity—conformism—suppresses individuality. On the opposite end is negativism, where individuals reject others' views simply because they were not their own ideas. Both tendencies hinder healthy independence.

Additionally, suggestibility, is a sign of weak willpower, where an individual easily adopts others' views without critical thought. Such individuals often engage in harmful behaviors (e.g., smoking, aggressive speech) simply due to peer pressure, even when they know the behavior is wrong. True independent thinking requires a balance—open-mindedness without passivity, and assertiveness without defiance.

#### DISCUSSION

The development of independent thinking is a dynamic process that is both personal and social. It is influenced by external social structures and internal psychological mechanisms. Scholars like John Dewey have argued that curiosity is the root of all thinking, and that the motivation to explore, question, and understand is a natural human trait. However, this trait must be nurtured through proper education, parental guidance, and social interaction.

Children often express this curiosity through questions like "Why?" or "What is this?" These questions, when met with encouragement rather than dismissal, lead to exploratory learning and the development of analytical skills. Dewey emphasized that meaningful education should present tasks that are neither too easy (which leads to boredom) nor too difficult (which results in discouragement).

Similarly, A.N. Perret-Clermont stressed that peer interaction plays a vital role in cognitive development. Children learn to think independently by collaborating with others, facing different perspectives, and resolving cognitive conflicts. Carefully structured cooperative learning environments can promote not only academic success but also cognitive autonomy.

At the same time, it is important to differentiate between true independence and behaviors like negativism or blind opposition. Independent thinking requires reasoning, empathy, and an openness to evidence—not just rejecting ideas for the sake of uniqueness.

In the context of Uzbek society, where humility and respect for elders are deeply embedded cultural norms, fostering independent thinking requires a balanced approach. It must respect cultural values while also

promoting critical engagement, dialogue, and self-expression.

#### CONCLUSION

Independent thinking is not merely the absence of external influence but the presence of internal strength, shaped by social interaction, cultural norms, and psychological development. Social comparison, counterfactual reasoning, and cultural representation all contribute to how people form and express their thoughts.

In order to foster genuine independence of thought, especially among youth, it is essential to:

- Nurture curiosity from an early age.
- Encourage peer interaction and respectful debate.
- Avoid both excessive conformity and blind opposition.
- Provide a culturally grounded but open educational environment.

Ultimately, independent thinking is not about rejecting society but about engaging with it intelligently and purposefully, guided by both reason and values.

#### REFERENCES

- Dewey, J. (1910). *How We Think*. D.C. Heath & Co.
- Dewey, J. (1938). *Logic: The Theory of Inquiry*. Henry Holt and Company.
- Dewey, J. (1889). *School and Society*. University of Chicago Press.
- Dewey, J. (1894). *The Problem of Society*.
- Festinger, L. (1954). A Theory of Social Comparison Processes. *Human Relations*, 7(2), 117–140. [<https://doi.org/10.1177/001872675400700202>](<https://doi.org/10.1177/001872675400700202>)
- Leary, M. R. (1982). Social Comparison and Self-Evaluation. In J. Suls (Ed.), *Psychological Perspectives on the Self* (Vol. 1, pp. 205–231). Erlbaum.
- Perret-Clermont, A. N. (1979). *Social Interaction and Cognitive Development in Children*. Academic Press.
- Moscovici, S. (1984). The Phenomenon of Social Representations. In R. Farr & S. Moscovici (Eds.), *Social Representations* (pp. 3–69). Cambridge University Press.
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social Foundations of Thought and Action: A Social Cognitive Theory*. Prentice-Hall.
- Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1986). The Social Identity Theory of Intergroup Behavior. In S. Worchel & W. G. Austin (Eds.), *Psychology of Intergroup Relations* (pp. 7–24). Nelson-Hall.
- Karimov, I. A. (1997). *Yuksak ma'naviyat – yengilmas*

kuch. Tashkent: Ma'naviyat.

Yusupov, A. (2012). Ijtimoiy psixologiya asoslari. Toshkent: O'zbekiston Milliy Ensiklopediyasi.

Saidov, A. X. (2006). Ma'naviyat asoslari. Tashkent: O'zbekiston Respublikasi Fanlar Akademiyasi.

Мавлянова С. С. (2025). ИЗУЧЕНИЕ САМОСТОЯТЕЛЬНОСТИ ЛИЧНОСТИ В

ИССЛЕДОВАНИЯХ ЗАРУБЕЖНЫХ ПСИХОЛОГОВ. PEDAGOGIKA.(pp. 358-361)

Mavlyanova S. S. (2025). ASSERTIVLIK FIKRNI ERKIN IFODA ETISH QOBILIYATI SIFATIDA. ZAMONAVIY TA'LIM TIZIMI TARAQQIYOTIDA INTEGRATIV YONDASHUV: MUAMMOLAR, YECHIMLAR VA ISTIQBOLLARI" Xalqaro ilmiy-amaliy konferentsiya materiallari. (pp. 909-911)