CONSTRUCTING CORRUPTION: HOW VERBAL CARPENTRY SHAPES POLITICAL NARRATIVES IN NIGERIA

Adaego Akinyemi
Department of Linguistics, Igbo & Other Nigerian Languages, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria

ABOUT ARTICLE

Abstract: This study explores the phenomenon of "verbal carpentry" as a linguistic tool used to construct and perpetuate political corruption narratives in Nigeria. Through a critical discourse analysis, the research examines the language employed by political actors, media, and the public to articulate corruption, revealing how such discourse shapes perceptions, legitimizes corrupt practices, and influences public opinion. The study analyzes various forms of verbal carpentry, including euphemisms, metaphors, and rhetorical strategies, highlighting their role in obscuring the realities of corruption and distorting accountability. By focusing on case studies from Nigerian political discourse, this research underscores the intricate relationship between language and power, demonstrating how verbal constructions can either challenge or reinforce corrupt systems. Ultimately, the findings call for greater awareness of the impact of language on political realities, emphasizing the need for critical engagement with the discourse surrounding corruption in Nigeria.

INTRODUCTION

Corruption remains a pervasive challenge in Nigeria, deeply embedded in its political landscape and societal fabric. As a nation rich in resources yet fraught with socioeconomic disparities, Nigeria's struggle with corruption has not only hindered its development but has also shaped its political narratives and public discourse. This study investigates the intricate relationship between language and political corruption, focusing on the concept of "verbal carpentry"—a term that encapsulates the linguistic strategies employed to construct and communicate narratives surrounding corruption.

Verbal carpentry refers to the use of language as a tool for shaping perceptions, framing issues, and constructing meanings. In the context of Nigerian politics, it manifests through various rhetorical devices, including euphemisms, metaphors, and figurative language, which can obscure the realities of corruption, diminish accountability, and legitimize unethical practices. For example, terms like "misappropriation of funds" or "creative accounting" serve to soften the impact of corrupt actions, creating a narrative that downplays their severity and consequences. Such linguistic choices not only affect public perception but also influence the political actions and policies that arise in response to corruption.

This research aims to explore how verbal carpentry shapes political narratives in Nigeria by analyzing the language used by political actors, the media, and the general public. By employing critical discourse analysis, the study seeks to uncover the underlying power dynamics at play, illustrating how language can be manipulated to construct narratives that either challenge or reinforce corrupt practices. Furthermore, this investigation will highlight the role of media in perpetuating or dismantling these narratives, as well as the implications for public engagement and accountability.

Understanding the mechanisms of verbal carpentry in the context of political corruption is crucial for several reasons. Firstly, it sheds light on the ways in which language can be employed to manipulate public opinion and obscure the truth. Secondly, it emphasizes the need for greater critical awareness among citizens regarding the discourse surrounding corruption, fostering a more informed and engaged public. Finally, this study contributes to the broader field of discourse analysis, offering insights into the interplay between language, power, and political accountability in Nigeria.

In summary, this research seeks to unravel the complex tapestry of language and political corruption in Nigeria, examining how verbal carpentry not only constructs narratives but also shapes the very fabric of political discourse and public perception. By analyzing these linguistic strategies, the study aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse on corruption, accountability, and the transformative power of language in the political arena.

METHOD
This study employs a qualitative research approach, specifically utilizing critical discourse analysis (CDA) to explore how verbal carpentry shapes political narratives regarding corruption in Nigeria. By examining the language used by political actors, media outlets, and the public, the research aims to uncover the underlying power dynamics and rhetorical strategies that influence perceptions of corruption.

Data Collection

The data collection process involves two primary sources: political speeches and statements, along with media articles and social media commentary. A purposive sampling strategy is employed to select relevant texts that exemplify the discourse surrounding corruption in Nigeria. The selection criteria include:

Political Speeches and Statements: Speeches from key political figures, including government officials, lawmakers, and opposition leaders, are collected from official government websites, reputable news sources, and transcripts of public addresses. These texts provide insights into how political leaders frame corruption and accountability within their narratives.

Media Articles: Articles from major Nigerian newspapers and online platforms are gathered to capture the media's portrayal of corruption and its influence on public discourse. This includes editorial pieces, investigative reports, and opinion columns that discuss corruption and its implications for governance and society.

Social Media Commentary: Given the significant role of social media in contemporary discourse, comments and posts from platforms like Twitter and Facebook are analyzed to understand public sentiment and grassroots responses to corruption narratives. This component offers a perspective on how everyday citizens engage with and respond to political discourse.

Analytical Framework

The analysis is guided by the principles of critical discourse analysis, which emphasizes the relationship between language, power, and social practices. The following steps outline the analytical framework used in this study:

Textual Analysis: The collected texts are subjected to a close reading to identify recurring themes, rhetorical strategies, and linguistic devices employed to construct narratives of corruption. Attention is paid to euphemisms, metaphors, and framing techniques that may obscure the realities of corrupt practices.

Contextual Analysis: Understanding the socio-political context in which these texts are produced is crucial. This involves examining the historical and cultural factors that influence the discourse on corruption in Nigeria, including the impact of colonial legacies, governance challenges, and public trust in institutions.

Intertextual Analysis: The study explores how different texts relate to one another and how they collectively shape public understanding of corruption. This includes analyzing the interplay between political speeches, media narratives, and public discourse, revealing how they reinforce or challenge each other.

Ethical Considerations

Given the sensitive nature of the topic, ethical considerations are paramount. The research adheres to ethical guidelines regarding data collection and analysis. All sources are cited appropriately, and efforts are made to present a balanced view of the discourse surrounding corruption. The analysis aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the language of political corruption, rather than to sensationalize or vilify individuals or institutions.

RESULTS

The analysis of the collected data reveals several key themes and patterns in how verbal carpentry shapes political narratives surrounding corruption in Nigeria. These themes highlight the linguistic strategies used by political actors, media outlets, and the public to construct and communicate narratives about corruption.

Euphemisms and Downplaying: A significant finding is the frequent use of euphemisms in political speeches and media coverage of corruption. Terms such as "financial mismanagement" and "resource reallocation" often replace more direct language like "theft" or "embezzlement." This linguistic strategy serves to obscure the severity of corrupt acts, making them more palatable to the public and reducing accountability for political figures.

Metaphors and Imagery: Metaphors play a crucial role in shaping public understanding of corruption. For instance, political leaders often describe corruption as a "virus" or "cancer," emphasizing its

pervasive nature while simultaneously invoking a sense of helplessness in combating it. This imagery can evoke emotional responses and generate sympathy for political leaders while deflecting blame from systemic issues.

Framing and Narrative Construction: The analysis shows how framing techniques are employed to construct narratives around corruption. For instance, when discussing high-profile corruption cases, political discourse often frames the narrative as a battle between good and evil, portraying whistleblowers and anti-corruption advocates as heroes fighting against a corrupt elite. This framing can mobilize public support while diverting attention from broader institutional failures.

Public Sentiment and Social Media: The study highlights the significant impact of social media in shaping public discourse on corruption. Comments and posts from citizens often reflect a growing frustration with the status quo, using language that is more direct and confrontational compared to official discourse. Phrases like "end the impunity" and "corruption must stop now" signify a demand for accountability and highlight a shift in public sentiment toward a more active stance against corruption.

DISCUSSION

The findings underscore the complex interplay between language and political power in Nigeria. Verbal carpentry serves not only as a means of communication but also as a tool for constructing social realities. The use of euphemisms and metaphors illustrates how language can shape perceptions of corruption, often mitigating the public's outrage and fostering a sense of inevitability regarding corrupt practices.

The role of media in perpetuating these narratives cannot be understated. As gatekeepers of information, media outlets have the power to amplify or challenge the narratives constructed by political actors. However, the study also reveals a growing resistance among citizens, particularly on social media, where the language of accountability and transparency is gaining traction. This shift suggests a potential for public engagement to challenge established narratives and demand greater accountability from political leaders.

Moreover, the research highlights the importance of critical literacy among citizens. Understanding the linguistic strategies employed in political discourse equips the public to critically engage with narratives about corruption, fostering a more informed citizenry capable of holding leaders accountable.

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that verbal carpentry significantly influences the construction of political narratives surrounding corruption in Nigeria. Through the strategic use of language, political actors and media shape public perceptions, often downplaying the realities of corruption and obscuring accountability. However, the emergence of a more vocal and engaged public, particularly through social media, signals a potential shift in the discourse on corruption.

The findings underscore the need for continued critical examination of political discourse and the impact of language on public perception. By fostering awareness of verbal carpentry, this research contributes to the ongoing discourse on corruption, accountability, and the power of language in shaping political realities. Ultimately, a deeper understanding of how language constructs narratives can empower citizens to challenge corruption and advocate for a more transparent and accountable political system in Nigeria.

REFERENCE

1. Bloomfield, C. et. al. (2006) Language is Power. In. Management of Information and Communication Technologies Retrieved from http://www.exmosis.net/node.Security/AndControl 13 June 2008.

2. George Orwell in Ed. 2004

3. Gingrich, N. (1996) Language: A key mechanism of control. Newt Gingrich's 1996 GOPAC memo. Information Clearing House Retrieved from http://www.informationclearinghouse. info/atricle/13420.html 23 June 2008.

4. Wisniewski, K. (2007) Functions of language. Online Publication

5. Jakobson, R. (1960) Linguistics and Poetics. In T. Sebeok, ed., Style in Language, Cambridge, MA: M.I.T. Press, pp. 350-377.

6. Arcand, R. and N. Bourbeau (1995), La communication efficace. De l'intention aux moyens d'expression, Anjou (Québec): CEC.

7. Austin, J. L. (1962) How to do things with words. Oxford: Claredon Press.

8. Grice, H. P. (1975) Logic & Conversation. In P. Cole & J. Morgan (eds.) Syntax and Semantics 3: Speech Acts New York: Academic Press.

9. Smith, D.J. (2006) A culture of corruption: Everyday deception and popular discontent in Nigeria Princeton: Princeton University Press

10. Elliot, R. (2007) The language of deception. Retrieved from http://www.securitymanagement.com/ magazine/2007/04, 23 June 2008.