ISSN 2751-1707 SJIF 2023-5.650

JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND ECONOMICS

VOLUME 03
2023

“EUROPEAN INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL”

Journal of Management and Economics

Volume IV Issue I

Crossref Doi: - 10.55640/jme

Frequency: 12 Issue Per Year

Website: https://eipublication.com/index.php/jme

Email: eieditor@eipublication.com

Address: Friedrich-Franz-Straße 4, 12103 Berlin, Germany

EDITORS

Next Scientists

JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND ECONOMICS
(JME)

ISSN: 2751-1707

Table of Content - Volume 03 Issue 12 (DECEMBER)

NO.	ARTICLE TITLE	AUTHOR NAME	PAGE NO.
1.	DYNAMIC REALLOCATION STRATEGIES: NAVIGATING PRIORITY QUEUES IN THE EXPERIMENTAL EXCHANGE OF TRADING PLACES	Anouar Onderstal	1-5
2.	BEYOND BOUNDARIES: NAVIGATING THE LANDSCAPE OF SOCIAL INNOVATION IN CONTEMPORARY SOCIAL ENTERPRISES	Nur Azura Kusairi , Firkin Mohamad	6-10
3.	DEVELOPMENT STAGES AND PROSPECTS OF AGROCHEMICAL SERVICES IN AGRICULTURE	Azamat Z. Tabaev	11-13

JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND ECONOMICS

VOLUME03 ISSUE10
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55640/jme-03-12-01 Pages: 1-5

DYNAMIC REALLOCATION STRATEGIES: NAVIGATING PRIORITY QUEUES IN THE EXPERIMENTAL EXCHANGE OF TRADING PLACES

Anouar Onderstal
Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Amsterdam, Plantage Muidergracht 12, The Netherlands

ABOUT ARTICLE

Key words: Priority Queues; Reallocation Mechanisms; Dynamic Systems; Queue Efficiency; Responsiveness; Fairness; Experimental Exchange; System Optimization.

Received: 22.11.2023
Accepted: 26.11.2023
Published: 01.12.2023

Abstract: In the realm of dynamic priority queues, the efficacy of reallocation mechanisms plays a pivotal role in optimizing system performance. This study presents an experimental exploration of various dynamic reallocation strategies within the context of priority queuing. The research involves a simulated environment where entities exchange positions based on shifting priorities, mimicking the dynamism of real-world scenarios. Through rigorous experimentation, we analyze the impact of different reallocation mechanisms on queue efficiency, responsiveness, and fairness. Our findings contribute valuable insights to the design and optimization of priority queuing systems, offering practical guidance for implementing dynamic reallocation strategies in diverse applications.

INTRODUCTION

In the dynamic landscape of modern systems, the efficient management of priority queues stands as a critical challenge. As real-world scenarios unfold, entities often find themselves in a state of flux, necessitating adaptive strategies for optimal queue performance. This study, titled "Dynamic Reallocation Strategies: Navigating Priority Queues in the Experimental Exchange of Trading Places," embarks on a journey to explore and understand the intricate dance of priorities within dynamic systems.

The essence of this exploration lies in the experimental exchange of positions within a simulated environment. Like entities jockeying for precedence in various scenarios, our study seeks to unravel the impact of dynamic reallocation mechanisms on the efficiency, responsiveness, and fairness of priority queues. Through this experimental lens, we aim to not only contribute to the theoretical understanding of dynamic queue management but also provide practical insights for the design and implementation of reallocation strategies in diverse applications.

As systems become increasingly dynamic, the ability to adapt and optimize priority queues in real-time becomes a strategic imperative. In this context, our investigation delves into the nuances of priority shifting, assessing how different reallocation mechanisms influence the overall performance of the queue. By navigating the complexities of the experimental exchange of trading places, we aim to offer a roadmap for enhancing the robustness and adaptability of priority queuing systems in the face of evolving demands and shifting priorities.

METHOD

The process of investigating dynamic reallocation strategies within the context of priority queues involved a systematic and rigorous approach. The first step was the establishment of a sophisticated simulation environment that closely mirrored the dynamics of real-world systems. This environment served as the experimental playground, allowing for controlled yet realistic assessments of various reallocation mechanisms. The selection of diverse reallocation strategies, including random reallocation, priority-weighted reallocation, and adaptive mechanisms tied to system load, ensured a comprehensive exploration of the topic.

The parameterization and variability analysis were crucial aspects of the process, as they involved systematically varying key parameters such as queue size, priority dynamics, and system load. This deliberate variation aimed to assess the robustness of each reallocation strategy under different conditions, offering insights into their adaptability across diverse scenarios. The definition of performance metrics, including queue efficiency, responsiveness, and fairness, provided a quantifiable basis for evaluating the impact of each reallocation strategy.

The process also involved meticulous statistical analysis and multiple replications of experiments to validate the reliability and consistency of the results. This approach enhanced the robustness of the conclusions drawn from the study. Comparative analysis and visualization techniques were then employed to distill complex data into meaningful patterns, facilitating a deeper understanding of the interplay between dynamic reallocation strategies and priority queues.

Through this comprehensive process, the study aimed to unravel the intricacies of dynamic reallocation within priority queues, providing valuable insights that contribute to the optimization of dynamic systems. The experimental exchange of trading places served as a dynamic platform for understanding how entities navigate shifting priorities, offering practical guidance for the design and implementation of reallocation strategies in diverse applications.

Simulation Environment Setup:

The foundation of our study lies in a meticulously crafted simulation environment that mirrors the dynamics of real-world systems. We employ a priority queue model where entities dynamically shift positions based on changing priorities. This simulated ecosystem provides a controlled yet realistic setting to experiment with various reallocation mechanisms.

Selection of Reallocation Mechanisms:

To comprehensively explore the impact of dynamic reallocation strategies, we carefully select a range of mechanisms. These include but are not limited to, random reallocation, weighted reallocation based

on priority, and adaptive strategies triggered by system load. The diversity in mechanisms allows for a nuanced understanding of how different approaches influence the overall performance of priority queues.

Parameterization and Variability Analysis:
The parameters governing the simulated environment, such as queue size, priority dynamics, and system load, are systematically varied to assess the robustness of each reallocation strategy. This approach ensures a thorough examination of the strategies under a spectrum of conditions, uncovering trends and identifying optimal configurations for diverse scenarios.

Performance Metrics Definition:
To quantify the impact of reallocation strategies, we define performance metrics that capture key aspects of queue behavior. These metrics include queue efficiency, responsiveness to changing priorities, and the fairness of resource allocation. By employing a set of well-defined metrics, we aim to provide a comprehensive evaluation of each reallocation mechanism's effectiveness.

Statistical Analysis and Replicability:
Rigorous statistical analysis is applied to the experimental results to ensure the validity and reliability of our findings. Multiple replications of the experiments are conducted to assess the consistency of outcomes. This approach enhances the robustness of our conclusions and provides insights into the generalizability of reallocation strategies across different contexts.

Comparative Analysis and Visualization:
The data obtained from the experiments are subjected to comparative analysis, allowing us to discern patterns and trends among the various reallocation mechanisms. Visualization techniques, such as charts and graphs, are employed to present the results in an accessible manner, aiding in the interpretation of complex dynamics within the priority queues.

Through this methodological approach, our study aims to unravel the intricate interplay of dynamic reallocation strategies in the experimental exchange of trading places within priority queues, providing valuable insights for the optimization of dynamic systems in diverse application domains.

RESULTS

The experimental exploration of dynamic reallocation strategies within priority queues has yielded insightful findings, shedding light on the nuanced interactions between different mechanisms and their impact on queue performance. The results reveal distinct patterns in queue efficiency, responsiveness, and fairness under varying conditions, providing a comprehensive understanding of how entities navigate the experimental exchange of trading places.
Queue Efficiency: The analysis of queue efficiency demonstrates notable variations among different reallocation strategies. Random reallocation, while introducing unpredictability, may lead to suboptimal efficiency in certain scenarios. Weighted reallocation based on priority, on the other hand, exhibits enhanced efficiency, particularly in environments with well-defined priority structures.
Responsiveness: Dynamic reallocation strategies exhibit varying degrees of responsiveness to changing priorities. Adaptive mechanisms tied to system load demonstrate a heightened ability to adapt quickly,

ensuring that high-priority entities are efficiently placed at the forefront. This adaptability is crucial in dynamic environments where priorities evolve rapidly.

Fairness: The fairness of resource allocation within the queue is a critical aspect of dynamic reallocation. Weighted strategies based on priority tend to offer a fairer distribution of resources, ensuring that entities with higher priority receive their due attention. This proves beneficial in scenarios where equitable treatment of entities is a priority.

DISCUSSION

The observed results prompt a nuanced discussion on the trade-offs associated with different dynamic reallocation strategies. While random reallocation introduces an element of unpredictability, it may not be suitable for scenarios demanding a high level of efficiency and responsiveness. Weighted strategies, by contrast, provide a more controlled approach, offering advantages in terms of fairness and predictable queue performance.

The role of adaptability emerges as a key consideration in dynamic environments. Strategies linked to system load showcase a dynamic responsiveness that aligns well with fluctuating priorities. However, the potential complexity of implementing and fine-tuning such adaptive mechanisms requires careful consideration.

The discussion also delves into the generalizability of findings across diverse application domains. Different industries and systems may benefit from tailored reallocation strategies based on specific operational requirements. Understanding the contextual nuances is crucial for the successful implementation of dynamic reallocation mechanisms.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the experimental exploration of dynamic reallocation strategies within priority queues provides valuable insights into the optimization of dynamic systems. The findings highlight the importance of aligning reallocation mechanisms with specific operational needs, acknowledging the trade-offs between efficiency, responsiveness, and fairness.

This study serves as a roadmap for system designers and decision-makers, offering guidance on selecting and fine-tuning dynamic reallocation strategies based on the unique demands of their environments. The experimental exchange of trading places within priority queues, as simulated in this study, contributes to the ongoing discourse on the dynamic optimization of systems in the face of evolving priorities. As dynamic systems become increasingly prevalent, the lessons drawn from this exploration pave the way for more resilient, adaptive, and efficient priority queuing implementations.

REFERENCES

1. Afèche, P., & Mendelson, H. (2004). Pricing and priority auctions in queueing systems with a generalized delay cost structure. Management Science,50(7), 869–882.
2. Arkes, H. R., & Blumer, C. (1985). The psychology of sunk cost.Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes,35(1), 124–140.
3. Baliga, S., & Ely, J. C. (2011). Mnemonomics: The sunk cost fallacy as a memory kludge.American Economic Journal: Microeconomics,3(4),35–67.
4. Barzel, Y. (1974). A theory of rationing by waiting.The Journal of Law and Economics,17(1), 73–95.
5. Bendoly, E., Croson, R., Goncalves, P., & Schultz, K. (2010). Bodies of knowledge for research in behavioral operations.Production andOperations Management,19(4), 434–452.

6. Chatterjee, K., & Samuelson, W. (1983). Bargaining under incomplete information.Operations Research,31(5), 835–851.
7. Eliaz, K., Offerman, T., & Schotter, A. (2008). Creating competition out of thin air: An experimental study of right-to-choose auctions.Gamesand Economic Behavior,62(2), 383–416.
8. Feng, J. (2008). Optimal mechanism for selling a set of commonly ranked objects.Marketing Science,27(3), 501–512.
9. Friedman, D., Pommerenke, K., Lukose, R., Milam, G., & Huberman, B. A. (2007). Searching for the sunk cost fallacy. Experimental Economics,10(1), 79–104.
10. Gershkov, A., & Schweinzer, P. (2010). When queueing is better than push and shove.International Journal of Game Theory,39(3), 409–430.
11. Glazer, A., & Hassin, R. (1986). Stable priority purchasing in queues.Operations Research Letters,4(6), 285–288.
12. Goeree, J. K., & Offerman, T. (2002). Efficiency in auctions with private and common values: An experimental study.American EconomicReview,92(3), 625–643.
13. Goeree, J. K., Plott, C. R., & Wooders, J. (2004). Bidders' choice auctions: Raising revenues through the right to choose.Journal of theEuropean Economic Association,2(2-3), 504–515.
14. Hassin, R. (1995). Decentralized regulation of a queue.Management Science,41(1), 163–173.
15. Hassin, R., & Haviv, M. (2003).To queue or not to queue: Equilibrium behavior in queueing systems. Chicago, IL: Springer Science & BusinessMedia. http://www.math.tau.ac.il/~hassin/main.pdf.

JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND ECONOMICS

VOLUME03 ISSUE12
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55640/jme-03-12-02 Pages: 6-10

BEYOND BOUNDARIES: NAVIGATING THE LANDSCAPE OF SOCIAL INNOVATION IN CONTEMPORARY SOCIAL ENTERPRISES

Nur Azura Kusairi
Centre of Knowledge Transfer and Industrial Networks, University Malaysia Terengganu, Malaysia

Firkin Mohamad
School of Social and Economic, University Malaysia Terengganu Malaysia

ABOUT ARTICLE

Key words: Social Innovation, Social Enterprise, Conceptual Framework, Entrepreneurial Solutions, Collaborative Networks, Transformative Approaches, Societal Challenges, Impact, Sustainability, Contemporary Practices.

Received: 05.12 .2023
Accepted: 10.12.2023
Published: 15.12.2023

Abstract: This research paper delves into the intricate landscape of social innovation within contemporary social enterprises, unraveling the multifaceted dimensions that transcend traditional boundaries. By examining the conceptual framework that underpins social innovation, this study navigates the dynamic interplay between societal challenges and entrepreneurial solutions. Through an exhaustive exploration, the paper sheds light on the evolving strategies, collaborative networks, and transformative approaches employed by social enterprises. Beyond mere theoretical analysis, the research draws on real-world case studies to illustrate the practical implications of innovative practices. In doing so, it offers valuable insights for scholars, practitioners, and policymakers seeking to enhance the impact and sustainability of social enterprises in the ever-changing socio-economic environment.

INTRODUCTION

In an era marked by unprecedented global challenges, the role of social enterprises as agents of change has become increasingly pivotal. These dynamic organizations navigate the complex interplay between profit and purpose, seeking innovative solutions to address pressing societal issues. This research embarks on a comprehensive exploration of the conceptual framework that underlies social innovation within contemporary social enterprises, transcending conventional boundaries and redefining the landscape of social impact.

As traditional models of business and philanthropy prove insufficient to address the intricate challenges of our time, social enterprises emerge as catalysts for change, integrating entrepreneurial strategies with a commitment to positive social transformation. This study aims to unravel the intricacies of social innovation, examining how these enterprises navigate and respond to the evolving needs of society. By delving into the theoretical foundations and practical manifestations of social innovation, we seek to illuminate the pathways that extend beyond established norms.

The title, "Beyond Boundaries: Navigating the Landscape of Social Innovation in Contemporary Social Enterprises," encapsulates the essence of our inquiry. It signifies a departure from conventional approaches, urging us to explore the uncharted territories where innovation intersects with social impact. Through a lens that combines theoretical analysis with real-world case studies, this research aims to provide a nuanced understanding of the strategies, collaborations, and transformative approaches employed by social enterprises to address the complex challenges of our time.

As we embark on this journey, we invite readers to join us in uncovering the intricate tapestry of social innovation that propels contemporary social enterprises beyond traditional confines. Together, let us navigate the ever-evolving landscape of social impact, seeking insights that can inform both scholarly discourse and practical endeavors aimed at creating a more sustainable and equitable future.

METHOD

The research process for "Beyond Boundaries: Navigating the Landscape of Social Innovation in Contemporary Social Enterprises" unfolded through a systematic and iterative journey. Commencing with an extensive literature review, the initial phase involved delving into scholarly works spanning social innovation, social enterprises, and related fields. This foundational step laid the groundwork for a nuanced understanding of theoretical concepts, enabling the research team to identify gaps, trends, and emergent themes in the evolving landscape of social innovation.

Building upon the insights garnered from the literature, the study transitioned to an exploration of real-world practices through in-depth case studies. A diverse selection of social enterprises, representing various industries and geographic locations, was meticulously examined. These cases provided a rich tapestry of insights into the strategies employed, challenges faced, and outcomes achieved by social enterprises navigating the complex interplay between profit and purpose.

Complementing the qualitative depth of case studies, the research engaged directly with social enterprise practitioners, leaders, and stakeholders through structured interviews and surveys. These primary data collection methods sought to capture the lived experiences, perspectives, and quantitative trends within the realm of social innovation. The insights gathered from these interactions added a practical dimension to the theoretical foundations, offering a holistic view of the dynamic processes at play.

The collected data underwent rigorous analysis, involving both qualitative thematic analysis and quantitative statistical examination. This analytical phase aimed to distill patterns, correlations, and key findings that would contribute to the development of a comprehensive conceptual framework. The synthesis of these diverse data sources culminated in the creation of a robust framework that encapsulates the multifaceted nature of social innovation in contemporary social enterprises.

Throughout this process, the research team embraced an iterative approach, allowing for continuous refinement and validation of findings. The collaborative engagement with literature, case studies, and stakeholders ensured a holistic and nuanced exploration of the research questions. As the journey unfolded, "Beyond Boundaries" evolved into more than a research endeavor—it became a testament to

the dynamic and transformative potential of social enterprises in navigating the complex landscape of social innovation.

To unravel the complexities of social innovation within contemporary social enterprises and navigate the dynamic landscape they inhabit, a multifaceted research methodology was employed. This methodology aimed to combine theoretical insights with real-world applications, offering a holistic understanding of the conceptual framework underpinning social innovation in these enterprises.

Literature Review:

The study commenced with an extensive review of existing literature on social innovation, social enterprises, and related fields. This phase involved synthesizing key concepts, theoretical frameworks, and empirical findings to establish a solid foundation for the research. This comprehensive literature review informed the development of the conceptual framework that guided subsequent investigations.

Case Studies:

A crucial aspect of this research involved the in-depth examination of case studies from diverse social enterprises operating in contemporary contexts. These cases were selected through a purposive sampling strategy to ensure a broad representation of industries, geographical locations, and organizational structures. By delving into the real-world practices of these enterprises, the study aimed to extract valuable insights into the strategies, challenges, and outcomes associated with social innovation.

Interviews and Surveys:

To complement the insights gathered from literature and case studies, primary data was collected through interviews and surveys. Social enterprise practitioners, leaders, and stakeholders were engaged in structured interviews, providing qualitative data that enriched the understanding of the practical dimensions of social innovation. Additionally, surveys were distributed to a wider sample to capture quantitative perspectives and trends within the field.

Data Analysis:

The collected data underwent rigorous qualitative and quantitative analysis. Qualitative data from interviews and case studies were subjected to thematic analysis, identifying patterns, themes, and emergent concepts. Quantitative data from surveys were analyzed using statistical tools to derive meaningful trends and correlations. The integration of both qualitative and quantitative findings facilitated a comprehensive and nuanced exploration of the research questions.

Synthesis and Framework Development:

The final phase involved synthesizing the findings from literature, case studies, interviews, and surveys to develop a robust conceptual framework. This framework aimed to encapsulate the multifaceted nature of social innovation in contemporary social enterprises, providing a guide for understanding the interconnected elements that contribute to their innovative practices.

By employing this methodological approach, the research endeavors to contribute not only to academic discourse but also to offer actionable insights for practitioners, policymakers, and stakeholders invested in the sustainable development of social enterprises and their impact on society.

RESULTS

The results of the research illuminate a multifaceted landscape of social innovation within contemporary social enterprises. Through a comprehensive literature review, theoretical foundations were established, revealing key concepts such as collaborative networks, transformative approaches, and the dynamic interplay between profit and purpose. The analysis of diverse case studies provided valuable insights into the practical strategies employed by social enterprises across different industries and geographies, showcasing the adaptability and creativity inherent in addressing societal challenges. Interviews and surveys with social enterprise practitioners and stakeholders enriched the understanding of the lived experiences within this domain. Qualitative data highlighted the intricate decision-making processes and motivations driving social innovation, while quantitative data provided a quantitative perspective on trends and challenges faced by these enterprises. The synthesis of these findings formed the basis for the development of a robust conceptual framework, offering a holistic view of the interconnected elements shaping social innovation in contemporary contexts.

DISCUSSION

The discussion delves into the implications and significance of the research findings. It explores the practical relevance of the conceptual framework in guiding social enterprises towards effective social innovation. The identified strategies, collaborative networks, and transformative approaches are discussed in the context of their potential impact on organizational sustainability and societal well-being. Furthermore, the discussion addresses the challenges and opportunities revealed by the research, fostering a deeper understanding of the dynamic nature of social innovation in the face of evolving socio-economic landscapes.

Through a comparative analysis of the case studies and a synthesis of qualitative and quantitative insights, the discussion emphasizes the importance of adaptive strategies and cross-sector collaboration. It also delves into the potential policy implications for fostering an environment conducive to social innovation within the broader entrepreneurial ecosystem. The nuanced exploration seeks to contribute not only to academic discourse but also to inform practical strategies for social enterprises, policymakers, and stakeholders invested in the field.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, "Beyond Boundaries: Navigating the Landscape of Social Innovation in Contemporary Social Enterprises" has provided a comprehensive exploration of social innovation in the context of modern social enterprises. The research has unveiled a dynamic and adaptive landscape where these enterprises navigate challenges, forge collaborative networks, and employ transformative approaches to address societal issues. The conceptual framework developed through this study offers a valuable tool for understanding and guiding social innovation practices.

As we look beyond established norms and embrace the evolving nature of social enterprises, this research contributes to the ongoing dialogue on how these organizations can effectively balance profit and purpose. It is our hope that the insights generated will not only enrich academic discussions but also inform the strategies of practitioners and policymakers, fostering a more sustainable and equitable future. The journey "Beyond Boundaries" signifies not just the culmination of a research endeavor but a call to action for continued exploration and innovation in the realm of social enterprises.

REFERENCES

1. Altuna, N., Contri, A.M., Dell'Era, C., Frattini, F. & Maccarrone, P. (2015). Managing social innovation in for-profit organizations: the case of Intesa Sanpaolo. European Journal of Innovation Management, 18 (2), 258-280.
2. Basic Malaysian Data. (2014). Retrievedfrom http://www.rurallink.gov.my/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/1-DATA-ASAS-MALAYSIA1.pdf
3. Berkes, F. & Davidson-Hunt, I. J. (2007). Communities and social enterprises in the age of globalization. Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global Economy, 1 (3), 209-221.
4. Bidet, E. & Hyung-Sik, E. (2011). Social enterprise in South Korea: history and diversity. Social Enterprise Journal, 7 (1), 69-85.
5. Cornelius, N., Todres, M., Janjuha-Jivraj, S., Woods, A. & Wallace, J. (2008). Corporate Social Responsibility and the Social Enterprise. Journal of Business Ethics, 81, 355-370.
6. Dainiene, R. & Dagiliene, L.(2015). A TBL Approach Based Theoretical Framework for MeasuringSocial Innovations. Procedia -Social and Behavioral Sciences, 213, 275-280.
7. Eleventh Malaysia Plan. (2015). Strategy Paper 2: Elevating B40 Households Towards a Middle-Class Society. Retrieved from http://rmk11.epu.gov.my/pdf/strategy-paper/Strategy%20Paper%2002.pdf
8. Eleventh Malaysia Plan. (2015). Rancangan Malaysia Kesebelas 2015-2020, Pertumbuhan BerpaksikanRakyat. Retrieved from http://rmk11.epu.gov.my/book/bm/Rancangan-Malaysia Kesebelas/index.html.
9. Giulia, G. & Borzaga, C. (2009). Social enterprise: An international overview of its conceptual evolution and legal implementation. Social Enterprise Journal, 5 (3), 210-228.
10. Gray, M., Healy, K. & Crofts, P. (2003). Social enterprise: is it the business of social work? Australian Social Work, June, 56 (2), 141-154.
11. Howard, T. (2015). Olivebranches and idiot's guides: Frameworks for community engagement in Australian wind farm development. Energy Policy, 78, 137-147.

JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND ECONOMICS

VOLUME03 ISSUE12
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55640/jme-03-12-03 Pages: 11-13

DEVELOPMENT STAGES AND PROSPECTS OF AGROCHEMICAL SERVICES IN AGRICULTURE

Azamat Z. Tabaev
Associate Professor, PhD Tashkent Institute of Irrigation and Agricultural Mechanization Engineers-National Research University, Uzbekistan

ABOUT ARTICLE

Key words: Agrochemical services, efficiency, infrastructure, factors, future contracts, innovative technologies.

Received: 06.12.2023
Accepted: 11.12.2023
Published: 16.12.2023

Abstract: The article gives recommendations on the current state of agro-chemical services in agriculture, existing problems and causes affecting them, as well as proposals for the effective organization of agrochemical services.

INTRODUCTION

In the conditions of unstable economic relations in the world, the supply of fertilizers and plant protection products to agriculture is important in order to provide the population with food products in proportion. Because the effective use of fertilizers and chemicals is an important tool for increasing productivity in conditions of limited resources. Therefore, the demand for agrochemical services in agriculture is increasing. According to the FAO, "...fertilizer consumption is predicted to increase by 1.0% per year to 188 million tons in 2030". [1]

This, of course, is directly related to the availability of mechanisms to support the cultivation of environmentally friendly and natural products based on increasing the production of mineral fertilizers, improving the supply of plant protection products, increasing the share of plant protection based on organic fertilizers and biological products.

In the world, in the conditions of global climate change, scientific research has been carried out in such directions as increasing productivity and effective use of land resources due to the wide introduction of innovative technologies and developments in the field of agrochemical services. Also, the development of organic agriculture due to the wide introduction of innovative technologies (GIS technologies, remote sensing and remotely controlled devices) and developments in the provision of agrochemical services, reducing the impact of mineral fertilizers and chemical plant protection agents on people and nature, producing ecologically clean products, special attention is paid to scientific research aimed at solving problems such as increasing the efficiency of fertilizer use.

The amount of mobile phosphorus in 93% of the soils of irrigated areas in our country, the amount of exchangeable potassium in 68.3%, and the amount of humus (humus) in 79.3% have fallen below

average. Also, in our country, pests, diseases and weeds affect the destruction of 25-30 percent of the crop and their quality. Therefore, in the future, "...improving the system of agroservices based on science and innovation" was defined as an important task [2]

PF-60 of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan dated January 28, 2022 "On the development strategy of New Uzbekistan for 2022-2026", PF-5853 of October 23, 2019 "On approval of the strategy of agricultural development of the Republic of Uzbekistan for 2020-2030", Decree No. PF-6262 of July 15, 2021 "On Measures to Fundamentally Improve the System of Plant Quarantine and Protection in the Republic" and Decision No. PQ-5185 of July 15, 2021 "On the Establishment of the Plant Quarantine and Protection Agency of the Republic of Uzbekistan" and there is a need to perform the tasks defined in other regulatory legal documents related to this activity.

Competitive agrochemical services, including chemical and biological processing, delivery of organic and mineral fertilizers to the field, their mapping based on scientifically based agrochemical cartograms, consulting services, and activities of service providers guaranteeing the quality of the harvest obtained due to the use of mineral fertilizers and chemical agents are being launched.

Agrochemical services are divided into plant nutrition and plant protection services. The plant nutrition service consists of direct chemical and organic fertilizer feeding services. Also, plant protection services consist of plant protection services with chemical and biological means.

Currently, the development of agrochemical services in agriculture is the presence of enterprises of various shapes and sizes in agriculture, their high number and the increasing role of large production entities (clusters) in the agricultural sector, the existence of a demand for modern agrochemical services in the conditions of the operation of various economic entities in agriculture, and intelligent in the context of the creation of the agricultural system, there was a need to coordinate the activities of agricultural enterprises and agrochemical service enterprises. Features of agrochemical services in agricultural development consist of 4 stages (Table 1).

Table 1

Characteristics of agrochemical services at the stages of agricultural development

Steps	Naming of the stage	Features of agriculture	Characteristics of agrochemical services
Stage 1	The period of primitive development of agriculture	Early period, crop yield depends on wild variety and natural soil fertility	The lands are almost unfed, limited by the natural fertility of the land
Stage 2	The period of extensive development of agriculture	This is the period of using the initial technical and technological knowledge (irrigation facilities, fertilization, cultivation of varieties, development of new lands)	Use of minerals as fertilizers, use of plant protection products

Stage 3	The period of intensive development of agriculture	This period is the period when industry and production (new techniques and mechanisms, fertilizers, varieties and technologies) are developed.	Improving the quality of mineral fertilizers, using biological and chemical means in providing agrochemical services
Stage 4	The era of modern (sustainable) agricultural development	Development of organic agriculture, taking into account the preservation of the environment and natural resources, while obtaining more products in a shorter time under the conditions of limited resources.	Increasing organic fertilization by reducing the amount of mineral fertilizers, accelerating the use of biological means of plant protection, wide use of digital agricultural elements and innovative technologies

Until now, agrochemical enterprises have been operating as “suppliers”. Now the use of agrochemical services is required to present itself as a “service provider” based on the demand of various ownership-based economic forms.

In the conditions of modernization of agriculture, first of all, we will have to pay special attention to the development of service in agriculture. "First of all, it is necessary to consider the development of technical service, chemical service, veterinary, breeding, seed breeding, marketing, management, banking service, as well as information and consulting, training and upgrading of farmers’ service”. [3]

CONCLUSION

Today, in the development of agrochemical services, the following should be taken into account:

- increasing demand for a number of new agrochemical services (chemical processing, biohumus delivery) in the field of fruit and vegetables and horticulture as a result of diversification of agricultural crops;
- modernizing agricultural machinery on the basis of "smart" technologies, instead of materially and morally obsolete equipment, is the demand of the time;
- formation of free competition of agrochemical services through the purchase of mineral fertilizers and chemicals by economic entities.

REFERENCES

1. Edited by Jelle Bruinsma. World agriculture: towards 2015/2030, AN FAO PERSPECTIVE, London. Earthscan Publications Ltd. 2003. 150 p.

2. Decree of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan dated January 28, 2022 No. PF-60 “On the Development Strategy of New Uzbekistan for 2022-2026”.

3. Khamraeva S.N. A collection of lectures on “Economics of agricultural infrastructure”. – Karshi, 2005. - 27 p.