

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Occupational and Economic Influences on Household Consumption Patterns

Emmanuel Adeyemi

Department of Business Administration, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

Grace Nwankwo

Department of Economics, University of Nigeria, Enugu, Nigeria

VOLUME: Vol.06 Issue09 2026

PAGE: 01-06

Copyright © 2026 Journal of Management and Economics, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License. Licensed under Creative Commons License a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Abstract

Household consumption patterns reflect the interaction of income, employment conditions, occupational structure, socioeconomic inequality, access to resources, and institutional environments. This research examines how occupational and economic characteristics shape household consumption behaviour, with particular attention to evidence concerning India and Odisha. The study adopts a structured qualitative review methodology based exclusively on the provided literature, including national consumption expenditure evidence, state-level socioeconomic reports, research on informal workers, energy-poor households, migration, rural institutions, and food security. The analysis develops an integrated conceptual framework connecting occupational characteristics with income security, resource access, expenditure priorities, and consumption inequality. The reviewed evidence indicates that consumption cannot be adequately explained by income alone. Occupational stability, informality, social inequality, energy access, migration, and institutional conditions influence both the level and composition of household expenditure. The findings further suggest that vulnerable households tend to face constrained consumption choices, while differences in employment security and economic capacity contribute to heterogeneous consumption outcomes. The study contributes a multidimensional perspective on household consumption by integrating occupational and socioeconomic determinants rather than treating expenditure as an isolated economic outcome. Its implications are relevant to social protection, employment policy, food security, energy access, and regional development planning.

KEY WORDS

Household Consumption, Occupational Structure, Income Inequality, Socioeconomic Determinants, Informal Employment, Consumption Behaviour, Household Expenditure, Economic Vulnerability, India.

1. INTRODUCTION

Household consumption is a central indicator of economic welfare because it captures how available resources are transformed into food, energy, housing-related needs, education, health, transportation, and other goods and

services. However, consumption behaviour is not determined exclusively by household income. Employment conditions, occupational security, socioeconomic position, institutional access, migration, and regional economic circumstances can

influence both the purchasing capacity of households and the allocation of their expenditure. Consequently, understanding consumption patterns requires an analytical framework that connects economic resources with the characteristics of the occupations through which those resources are generated.

Recent evidence on household expenditure in India provides an important basis for examining this relationship. The Household Consumption Expenditure Survey (HCES) 2023–24 represents a major source for understanding household consumption expenditure at the national level (Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, 2024). At the regional level, the Odisha Economic Survey 2025–26 provides a broader socioeconomic context within which household economic behaviour can be interpreted (Government of Odisha, 2025). Such evidence becomes particularly important when consumption differences are examined across social and occupational groups rather than through aggregate averages alone.

Occupational structure is especially relevant because employment determines not only income but also income regularity, exposure to economic shocks, access to institutional protection, and the ability to plan expenditure. The socioeconomic conditions of informal workers illustrate this complexity. Barik, Mishra and Saxena examine the socioeconomic conditions and income determinants of informal workers in urban Odisha, highlighting the importance of occupational and socioeconomic characteristics in understanding economic outcomes (Barik, Mishra, & Saxena, 2026). Their contribution is particularly relevant to consumption research because household expenditure capacity depends substantially on the characteristics and stability of income generation.

The problem addressed in this article is therefore the tendency to interpret household consumption primarily through economic aggregates while giving insufficient attention to occupational and socioeconomic heterogeneity. This study seeks to synthesize the provided literature and establish how occupational conditions, income, inequality, energy access, migration, institutional environments, and food-security considerations jointly influence household consumption patterns.

The principal objective is to develop an integrated analytical interpretation of occupational and economic influences on household consumption. A second objective is to identify the major pathways through which occupational characteristics

affect consumption capacity and expenditure composition. A third objective is to identify research gaps relevant to future empirical investigation.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature provided presents household consumption as a multidimensional socioeconomic phenomenon. The HCES 2023–24 provides a national statistical foundation for examining household consumption expenditure in India (Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, 2024). In contrast, the Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of Odisha, specifically addresses consumption inequality across social groups in Odisha, demonstrating the importance of disaggregation when examining expenditure patterns (Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of Odisha, 2025). The combination of these sources indicates that national averages may conceal substantial differences in consumption associated with social and economic position.

The relationship between income and socioeconomic conditions is further developed by Barik, Mishra and Saxena, whose study focuses on informal workers in urban Odisha. Informality is analytically significant because occupational status can influence income stability and economic vulnerability. The study therefore provides a useful foundation for interpreting occupational characteristics as determinants of household economic capacity rather than simply as classifications of employment (Barik, Mishra, & Saxena, 2026).

The literature also indicates that inequality operates through institutional and social structures. Bhattacharya et al. examine rural institutions and socioeconomic inequality in India, suggesting that institutional conditions are relevant to understanding differences in economic opportunity and welfare (Bhattacharya, Kar, Kumar, & Nandi, 2022). This perspective complements the evidence on consumption inequality in Odisha by showing that expenditure differences may emerge from broader structural inequalities rather than from income differences alone.

Energy represents another important dimension of consumption. Gupta et al. focus on energy consumption behaviour among energy-poor households, directing attention toward the relationship between economic vulnerability and household energy use (Gupta, Sarkar, Deb, & Jana, n.d.). The issue is important because energy expenditure can represent both a necessary component of household consumption and a constraint on expenditure for other essential goods. The

evidence from Asharaf and Tol on the Pradhan Mantri Ujjwala Yojana similarly connects household economic conditions with access to modern household energy, although the supplied reference indicates that final publication details require verification (Asharaf & Tol, n.d.).

Food consumption provides another dimension of household welfare. The Food and Agriculture Organization's global assessment of food security and nutrition establishes the broader significance of food access and nutritional outcomes (Food and Agriculture Organization, 2023). Batra and Sharma's work on international migration and dietary diversity in India further suggests that household consumption composition can change in response to demographic and occupational mobility (Batra & Sharma, n.d.). Thus, consumption should be understood not merely as an expenditure quantity but also as a pattern of allocation among different categories of needs.

Macroeconomic and fiscal conditions provide an additional contextual layer. The Asian Development Bank's Asian Development Outlook 2022 examines taxation and development, providing a macroeconomic perspective relevant to household purchasing power and public-resource allocation (Asian Development Bank, 2022). The Odisha Economic Survey similarly supplies a state-level economic context for interpreting household consumption and socioeconomic development (Government of Odisha, 2025).

Despite these contributions, an important research gap remains. The supplied literature addresses income determinants, consumption inequality, energy behaviour, food security, migration, institutions, and macroeconomic conditions separately. A more integrated framework is required to explain how occupational characteristics interact with economic resources and institutional conditions to shape consumption outcomes. The present study addresses this gap through a structured synthesis.

3. METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative, structured literature-review methodology based exclusively on the ten references supplied by the researcher. No external literature is incorporated. The methodological approach is designed to identify recurring determinants, establish relationships among variables, and synthesize evidence into a conceptual explanation of household consumption behaviour.

The analysis begins by categorizing the supplied references

into five analytical domains: household expenditure and consumption measurement; occupational and income determinants; socioeconomic and institutional inequality; energy and food consumption; and macroeconomic and regional context. This classification enables comparison between sources that address consumption directly and those that explain the economic conditions underlying consumption decisions.

The first analytical dimension is economic capacity. Income is treated as a principal enabling factor because it determines the resources available for household expenditure. However, the framework does not equate income with consumption. Income stability, occupational security, and access to economic opportunities are considered complementary dimensions. Evidence concerning informal workers is particularly useful in this respect because occupational informality may generate differences in economic security beyond observed income levels (Barik, Mishra, & Saxena, 2026).

The second dimension is occupational structure. Occupation is conceptualized through employment regularity, income-generating capacity, economic vulnerability, and access to institutional support. For example, a household dependent on irregular informal employment may have a different consumption strategy from a household with relatively predictable earnings, even if their average annual incomes are comparable. The distinction is important because consumption planning requires not only resources but also confidence about future resources.

The third dimension is social and institutional inequality. Consumption opportunities can be affected by social position and institutional access. Evidence on rural institutions and socioeconomic inequality provides a theoretical basis for including institutional conditions within the consumption framework (Bhattacharya et al., 2022). Similarly, the Odisha evidence on consumption inequality across social groups indicates that consumption outcomes should be examined across heterogeneous populations rather than through aggregate household statistics (Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of Odisha, 2025).

The fourth dimension is consumption composition. The framework distinguishes between essential and discretionary expenditure. Food, energy, and other basic necessities generally impose stronger constraints on vulnerable households than discretionary consumption. The food-security

literature supports the importance of considering the quality and adequacy of consumption rather than expenditure volume alone (Food and Agriculture Organization, 2023). Energy consumption provides a comparable case because energy access and energy expenditure can influence household resource allocation.

The fifth dimension is mobility and changing household circumstances. Migration can alter household income sources, demographic composition, and dietary behaviour. The evidence on migration and dietary diversity therefore supports incorporating household mobility into consumption analysis (Batra & Sharma, n.d.).

On this basis, the study proposes the following conceptual pathway:

Occupational Characteristics → Income Capacity and Stability
→ Economic Security → Consumption Capacity →
Consumption Composition

This pathway is moderated by social inequality, institutional conditions, energy access, migration, and regional economic circumstances.

The framework can be represented conceptually as:

Occupational Structure + Economic Resources + Institutional
Conditions → Household Economic Security → Consumption
Level and Composition

The methodology has two principal limitations. First, it is a qualitative synthesis rather than an econometric estimation; therefore, causal effects cannot be statistically established. Second, some supplied references contain incomplete publication information, limiting the precision with which individual studies can be evaluated. Nevertheless, the approach is appropriate for developing a research-oriented conceptual framework from the specified evidence base.

4. RESULTS

The synthesis produces five principal findings concerning the determinants of household consumption.

First, income is necessary but insufficient for explaining consumption patterns. National household expenditure evidence establishes consumption as a measurable economic outcome, but the broader literature indicates that households occupy different socioeconomic positions (Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, 2024). The distinction between income level and income security is especially

important. A household with unstable earnings may prioritize immediate necessities and maintain limited discretionary expenditure even when its temporary income is relatively high. Evidence concerning informal workers reinforces the importance of occupational and socioeconomic determinants of income (Barik, Mishra, & Saxena, 2026).

Second, occupational characteristics influence consumption through economic stability. Employment is not merely a mechanism for earning income; it determines the predictability of that income and potentially the household's capacity to plan expenditure. Informal employment can therefore be associated with consumption vulnerability through irregular earnings and limited economic security. This suggests that occupational classification should be included in consumption models alongside conventional income measures.

Third, consumption inequality reflects broader socioeconomic inequality. Odisha's evidence on household consumption inequality across social groups demonstrates that consumption outcomes vary among social categories (Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of Odisha, 2025). This finding is consistent with the broader institutional perspective in which socioeconomic inequality is shaped by institutional conditions (Bhattacharya et al., 2022). Therefore, consumption disparities should not automatically be interpreted as individual differences in spending preferences.

Fourth, energy and food are strategically important components of consumption. Energy-poor households may face constrained consumption choices because energy is both a necessity and a financial burden (Gupta, Sarkar, Deb, & Jana, n.d.). Similarly, food consumption is directly connected to food security and nutritional welfare (Food and Agriculture Organization, 2023). The evidence on migration and dietary diversity indicates that consumption composition may also change as household circumstances and mobility patterns change (Batra & Sharma, n.d.).

Fifth, regional and macroeconomic context affects household consumption conditions. The Asian Development Bank's development perspective and the Odisha Economic Survey provide evidence that household-level economic behaviour exists within broader fiscal and regional economic structures (Asian Development Bank, 2022; Government of Odisha, 2025). Consequently, household consumption should be analyzed through a multilevel perspective linking individual or household characteristics with institutional and regional

conditions.

Overall, the findings support an integrated model in which occupational status influences economic security, economic security affects consumption capacity, and social, institutional, energy, food, migration, and regional factors shape consumption composition. The socioeconomic and income determinants identified for informal workers are particularly important because they demonstrate why occupational heterogeneity should remain central to household consumption analysis (Barik, Mishra, & Saxena, 2026).

5. DISCUSSION

The findings indicate that household consumption is best understood as the outcome of an interconnected economic and social process rather than as a simple function of income. From a theoretical perspective, the proposed framework extends conventional consumption analysis by positioning occupation as an upstream determinant of economic security. This distinction is important because households make consumption decisions under conditions of uncertainty, and occupational stability can influence the ability to sustain expenditure over time.

The strongest implication concerns the treatment of income security. Conventional analyses may use income as a direct predictor of household expenditure, but the evidence concerning informal workers suggests that the characteristics of income generation deserve independent consideration (Barik, Mishra, & Saxena, 2026). Two households with similar current incomes can therefore have different consumption strategies if their occupations provide different levels of stability. A household dependent on irregular informal work may reserve resources for immediate necessities, while a household with stable employment may have greater capacity for planned or discretionary expenditure.

The findings also reinforce the importance of distributional analysis. Consumption inequality across social groups in Odisha indicates that average expenditure statistics may conceal substantial differences between population segments (Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of Odisha, 2025). The institutional perspective offered by Bhattacharya et al. further implies that socioeconomic outcomes can be influenced by structural conditions (Bhattacharya et al., 2022). Thus, policies designed to increase aggregate household purchasing power may not automatically eliminate consumption disparities.

The role of energy and food consumption introduces an additional trade-off. Vulnerable households have limited budgets and may need to prioritize essential expenditure. Energy expenditure can therefore compete with other household needs, while inadequate food expenditure can generate nutritional consequences. The evidence on energy-poor households and global food security supports the argument that consumption quality and composition are as important as aggregate expenditure (Gupta et al., n.d.; Food and Agriculture Organization, 2023). Policies that improve energy access or food security may consequently influence household welfare beyond their immediate sectoral effects.

Migration presents a further complication. Changes in household location, employment, and demographic structure can alter dietary and consumption choices. The literature on migration and dietary diversity indicates that consumption behaviour can respond to changing household circumstances rather than remaining fixed over time (Batra & Sharma, n.d.).

From a policy perspective, the findings suggest that consumption-oriented interventions should be coordinated with employment, social protection, energy, food-security, and institutional policies. Improving income without addressing occupational vulnerability may produce limited long-term effects. Similarly, reducing consumption inequality requires attention to the institutional and social conditions that generate unequal economic opportunities.

The analysis nevertheless has limitations. The study is based on a restricted set of supplied references and does not estimate causal relationships statistically. Some references have incomplete publication details, and the available literature covers different geographical and analytical contexts. The proposed framework should therefore be viewed as a conceptual synthesis requiring empirical validation through household-level longitudinal or cross-sectional datasets.

6. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that household consumption patterns are shaped by a combination of occupational, economic, social, institutional, and regional factors. Income remains an essential determinant, but the evidence indicates that income stability and occupational characteristics are equally important for understanding household consumption behaviour. Informal employment is particularly significant because socioeconomic and occupational conditions can affect both

income generation and economic vulnerability (Barik, Mishra, & Saxena, 2026).

The study's principal contribution is an integrated conceptual framework connecting occupational structure with income capacity, economic security, consumption capacity, and consumption composition. The framework further recognizes the moderating roles of social inequality, institutions, energy access, migration, food security, and regional economic conditions.

For policy, the findings support multidimensional interventions rather than isolated income-focused measures. Employment security, social protection, energy access, food security, and institutional inclusion should be considered interconnected components of household welfare. Future research should empirically test the proposed framework using household-level data, distinguishing between formal and informal employment, stable and unstable income, social groups, and different categories of consumption. Longitudinal analysis would be particularly valuable for determining whether changes in occupational stability produce sustained changes in household consumption.

REFERENCES

1. Asharaf A, Tol RSJ. Impact of Pradhan Mantri Ujjwala Yojana on Indian households. [Final journal publication details to be verified].
2. Asian Development Bank. Asian Development Outlook 2022: Mobilizing Taxes for Development. Asian Development Bank, 2022.
3. Barik S, Mishra NK, Saxena M. Socio-economic conditions and determinants of income: A tale of informal workers of urban Odisha, India. *F1000Research*,2026;15:253.
4. Batra R, Sharma P. International migration and dietary diversity in India. [Final journal publication details to be verified].
5. Bhattacharya H, Kar S, Kumar A, Nandi S. Rural institutions and socio-economic inequality in India. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 2022.
6. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of Odisha. Household consumption inequality across social groups in Odisha. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of Odisha, 2025.
7. Food and Agriculture Organization. The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2023. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2023.
8. Government of Odisha. Odisha Economic Survey 2025–26. Planning & Convergence Department, Government of Odisha, 2025.
9. Gupta A, Sarkar S, Deb P, Jana R. Energy consumption behaviour among energy-poor households. [Publication details not provided].
10. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation. Household Consumption Expenditure Survey (HCES): 2023–24. National Statistical Office, Government of India,2024.