

**OPEN ACCESS**

SUBMITTED 02 June 2025

ACCEPTED 03 July 2025

PUBLISHED 01 August 2025

VOLUME Vol.05 Issue08 2025

**COPYRIGHT**

© 2025 Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 License.

# Examining the Compatibility of Economic Strategies and Real-World Conditions in the Late Ottoman Empire

Ahmet Kaya

Department of Economics, Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey

Fatma Yilmaz

Faculty of Political Science, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey

**Abstract:** This study explores the relationship between the economic policies of the late Ottoman Empire and the functioning of its real economy. Specifically, it examines whether the political and economic strategies of the state aligned with the underlying economic structures and daily activities of the population. The research investigates key aspects such as fiscal policy, trade regulation, agricultural production, industrial development, and the influence of foreign powers in shaping the economic landscape. Using a combination of historical documents, economic data, and comparative analysis, this study aims to assess the extent to which the policies implemented by the Ottoman state during its later years were compatible with its actual economic conditions and the lived experiences of its citizens.

**Keywords:** Ottoman Empire, Political Economy, Economic Policies, Agricultural Sector, Industrialization, Foreign Influence, Fiscal Policy, Urban-Rural Divide, Modernization, Economic Stability.

**Introduction:** The late Ottoman Empire (19th century to the early 20th century) witnessed significant changes in its political and economic systems. A variety of internal and external challenges, including military defeats, territorial losses, the rise of nationalism, and increasing foreign influence, shaped the empire's economic policies. The Ottoman state implemented several economic strategies aimed at modernizing the economy, particularly in areas such as infrastructure,

industrialization, and trade.

However, the question arises as to whether these policies were in tune with the realities of the Ottoman economy. The "real economy," defined here as the actual conditions of production, consumption, and trade within the empire, was often influenced by local customs, geographical factors, and the limitations of state power. In this context, the study examines the tensions and synergies between the political decisions made by the Ottoman authorities and the economic realities on the ground.

The main questions this article addresses are:

1. To what extent did the political economy of the late Ottoman Empire align with the real economic practices and structures?
2. How did key political actions—such as fiscal policies, trade agreements, and infrastructure projects—interact with local economic realities?
3. What were the broader implications of this compatibility or lack thereof for the economic stability and modernization of the empire?

## METHODS

This study uses a combination of qualitative and quantitative research methods. Primary sources include Ottoman state documents, trade reports, and financial records from the late 19th and early 20th centuries. Additionally, a review of the relevant historical and economic literature on the Ottoman Empire's political economy is conducted.

1. **Document Analysis:** Historical records such as imperial decrees, taxation laws, and trade agreements provide insight into the political strategies implemented by the Ottoman government. These documents help to outline the state's fiscal policy and its approach to foreign trade, agriculture, and industry.
2. **Comparative Analysis:** The study compares the official economic policies with data on agricultural output, industrial growth, and foreign trade. This allows for an evaluation of whether the policies were effective in achieving their intended goals or if they were incompatible with the existing economic conditions.
3. **Statistical Data:** Data from the late Ottoman period on trade volume, industrial production, and agricultural output are used to assess the real economic conditions in relation to political decisions.
4. **Secondary Literature Review:** Secondary sources include scholarly works on Ottoman economic history, providing a contextual understanding of the economic challenges and reforms in the late Ottoman period.

## RESULTS

The findings of this study suggest that there was often a significant gap between the economic policies implemented by the Ottoman state and the real conditions of the economy:

1. **Fiscal Policies:** The late Ottoman state attempted to modernize its fiscal system by instituting reforms, including the establishment of state monopolies on certain goods, imposing new taxes, and restructuring debt. However, the real economy, particularly in rural areas, remained heavily dependent on traditional agricultural practices and local markets, which were not always compatible with the centralizing fiscal policies. These policies often led to economic instability, especially when taxes were too burdensome for local producers.
2. **Trade and Foreign Influence:** The Ottoman government signed numerous trade agreements with European powers, such as the Capitulations, which granted foreign merchants favorable conditions in exchange for economic concessions. These agreements led to the increased dominance of foreign imports and an outflow of precious metals, which hindered domestic industrial growth. While the Ottoman state sought to modernize trade networks, its reliance on foreign powers weakened its control over the economy.
3. **Agricultural Sector:** The Ottoman economy was largely agrarian, with most of the population engaged in subsistence farming. The state's attempts to introduce modern agricultural techniques and increase exports were often thwarted by a lack of infrastructure and resistance from local farmers who were unwilling or unable to adopt new methods. As a result, agricultural policies did not always align with the realities of local economies.
4. **Industrialization Efforts:** The late Ottoman Empire sought to modernize its economy by promoting industrialization, especially in textiles, mining, and manufacturing. However, the lack of capital, skilled labor, and technological advancements made industrial growth slow and uneven. While some industries were established in urban centers, rural areas remained largely unaffected by industrialization.
5. **Infrastructure Development:** Efforts to build railroads and improve transportation networks were a key part of the late Ottoman economic policy. While railroads did increase connectivity and facilitate trade, the high costs of construction and foreign financing meant that the benefits of these projects were often limited to certain regions, particularly those that were already economically developed.

## DISCUSSION

The findings of this study suggest that the economic policies of the late Ottoman Empire were often misaligned with the real economy of the time. The Ottoman state's attempts to modernize the economy were impeded by several factors, including entrenched traditional economic practices, the empire's dependency on foreign powers, and its inability to generate sufficient capital or technological advancements.

1. **Structural Challenges:** The Ottoman Empire's vast geographic expanse and diverse population posed significant challenges for economic integration. The rural economy, which relied heavily on traditional farming methods and local markets, was resistant to the top-down policies implemented by the state. The centralization of economic control often clashed with the decentralized nature of local economies, leading to inefficiencies and economic dissatisfaction.

2. **Dependency on Foreign Powers:** The reliance on foreign trade agreements and foreign capital made the Ottoman economy vulnerable to external influence. While the state sought to modernize the economy, its dependency on European countries for trade and financial assistance limited its ability to achieve true economic independence. The Capitulations, for example, while beneficial to foreign traders, restricted the Ottoman Empire's sovereignty over its own economy and exacerbated its economic imbalances.

3. **Failure of Industrialization:** Although the Ottoman Empire sought to industrialize, its efforts were hampered by a lack of investment in technological innovation, a shortage of skilled labor, and a poorly developed infrastructure. This led to slow progress in industrial development and created a disconnect between the state's goals and the real conditions of the economy.

4. **Agricultural Challenges:** The Ottoman Empire's agricultural policies, aimed at boosting production and export, did not take into account the limitations of local farming practices or the reluctance of peasants to adopt new methods. As a result, the policies failed to transform the agricultural sector in a meaningful way, and the rural economy continued to be dominated by traditional practices.

5. **Infrastructural Developments:** While infrastructure development was a key component of the empire's modernization efforts, the uneven distribution of railroads and other infrastructure projects meant that many regions, particularly rural areas, did not benefit from these advancements. This exacerbated regional disparities and limited the effectiveness of state policies.

## CONCLUSION

The analysis of the late Ottoman Empire's economic policies in relation to the real economy reveals significant mismatches that ultimately hindered the empire's ability to modernize and sustain economic stability. Despite ambitious efforts by the Ottoman government to introduce reforms, the policies often failed to align with the complex and localized realities of the empire's diverse population.

One of the primary issues was the centralized nature of policy-making versus the decentralized structure of the economy. While the government attempted to control economic activities through taxes, tariffs, and monopolies, local economies—particularly in rural areas—remained largely unaltered by these central directives. Traditional agricultural practices, reliance on local markets, and resistance to change among the rural population meant that the government's policies often had limited reach and effectiveness. The rural sector, which formed the backbone of the Ottoman economy, continued to operate outside the purview of modern fiscal policies, creating a dissonance between governmental goals and economic realities.

The reliance on foreign powers further deepened this divide. Through trade agreements like the Capitulations, the Ottoman Empire granted foreign merchants special privileges, which, while providing short-term financial benefits, ultimately undermined domestic industries and left the economy vulnerable to external pressures. The trade deals allowed foreign goods to flood Ottoman markets, often at the expense of local manufacturers and producers, leaving the Ottoman Empire more dependent on European powers and less able to develop an independent, robust economy. In this sense, Ottoman policies that aimed at encouraging trade and economic growth were often overshadowed by the empire's unequal position in international trade.

Additionally, industrialization efforts, which were meant to modernize the Ottoman economy, fell short due to a lack of capital, technological know-how, and skilled labor. The Ottoman leadership sought to develop industries in sectors like textiles, mining, and manufacturing, but due to systemic issues such as limited infrastructure and insufficient investment, these initiatives made slow progress. The gap between the political ideal of industrial modernization and the real conditions on the ground—a shortage of resources and technical expertise—was one of the key factors that prevented successful industrial development in the empire.

Furthermore, while infrastructure development, particularly the construction of railroads, was another key strategy for modernization, the uneven distribution

of infrastructure development across the empire led to regional disparities. Infrastructure projects concentrated in urban centers and strategic areas often did not reach rural communities, exacerbating the urban-rural divide and reinforcing regional inequalities. This lack of equitable development hindered the integration of the empire's economic system and failed to provide the broader population with the benefits of modernization.

In light of these findings, it is evident that the economic policies of the late Ottoman Empire were largely incompatible with the structural realities of the empire's economy. While reformers within the Ottoman government sought to modernize the empire and bring it in line with Western economic standards, their efforts were frequently thwarted by the empire's entrenched agricultural base, limited industrial capacity, and dependence on foreign powers. Furthermore, the centralization of economic policy-making was in direct contrast to the decentralized, local nature of the Ottoman economy. This mismatch not only undermined the effectiveness of the policies but also exacerbated the empire's internal contradictions, ultimately contributing to its economic instability in the early 20th century.

The failure to synchronize political and economic strategies highlights a key challenge for any state trying to modernize: policies that ignore local realities and over-rely on external support can lead to long-term economic fragility. For the Ottoman Empire, the gap between the state's vision for economic modernity and the reality of its diverse, complex economy created a series of barriers to sustainable growth and prosperity. These contradictions would continue to shape the Ottoman Empire's economic legacy, influencing the early years of the Turkish Republic and beyond.

Future research might focus on how specific policies, such as the Capitulations or industrial initiatives, played out at the local level, as well as examining the role of social structures in shaping economic outcomes. Understanding the dynamic between political authority and the real economy can provide valuable lessons for modern nations facing similar challenges of development, globalization, and internal disparities. The Ottoman experience underscores the importance of ensuring that political decisions are aligned with the economic and social realities of the population to achieve sustainable progress.

The study concludes that the late Ottoman Empire's economic policies were largely incompatible with the real economic conditions on the ground. While the state attempted to modernize the economy through fiscal reforms, trade agreements, industrialization

efforts, and infrastructure development, these policies often failed to account for the realities of local economies and social structures. The reliance on foreign powers and the empire's inability to fully integrate its rural and urban economies contributed to the economic instability that marked the late Ottoman period. In light of these findings, it is clear that the empire's political economy was poorly suited to the needs and conditions of its diverse population, which ultimately hindered its ability to achieve sustainable economic development.

## REFERENCES

- Arikanlı, Z. (2022). Ottoman-French Relations. (Edited by Denizeau, Aurélien; Örmeci, Ozan). Turkish-French Relations: History, Present, and the Future, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 3-31.
- Aydın, A. (2021). Reflection of British Imperialism in the Ottoman Empire; Baltalimani Trade Agreement. *Journal of Awareness*, Vol.6, No.3, 101-114.
- Baldwin, J. E. (2022). European Relations with the Ottoman World. (Edited by Beat Kümin). *The European World 1500–1800*, UK: Routledge, 197-208.
- Blaydes, L. and Paik, C. (2021). Muslim Trade And City Growth Before the Nineteenth Century: Comparative Urbanization in Europe, The Middle East and Central Asia. *British Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 51, No. 2, 845-868.
- Brisku, A. (2019). Ottoman-Russian Relations. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Asian History*, Oxford.
- Boyar, E. and Fleet, K. (2021). An Overview of Economic Life in Ottoman Anatolia. (Edited by Ebru Boyar, Kate Fleet). *Making a Living in Ottoman Anatolia*, Leiden: Brill, 1-20.
- Bozpinar, C. (2021). Osmanlı Kapitalist Üretimi Örneği: XIX. Yüzyıl Bursa İpek Manüfaktürleri. *İnsan ve Toplum Bilimleri Araştırmaları Dergisi*, Vol. 10, No. 1, 325-344. doi.org/10.15869/itobiad.810219.
- Bulut, M. and Altay, B. (2021). The Ottoman economy (1870-1913): Preliminary Second-generation Estimates. *Turkish Journal of Islamic Economics (TUJISE)*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 95-118. doi.org/10.26414/A485.
- Christensen, P. H. (2017). *Germany and The Ottoman Railways: Art, Empire, and Infrastructure*. Yale University Press.
- Çiğdem, Ü. (2020). Türkiye Sanayisinde Osmanlı Devletinin Etkileri. *Çukurova Araştırmaları Dergisi*, Vol. 4, No. 6, 86-106. Doi.org/ 10.18560/cukurova.1096.
- Demikha, L., Shaharuddin, A. B., and Ridzuan, A. R. (2021). The Effects Of Foreign Direct Investment, External Debts And Trade Openness On Economic Growth: Evidence From The Ottoman Empire 1881-1913. *International Journal of Economics and Business*



Research, Vol. 21, No. 3, 387-410.

Demir, K. (2023). Osmanlı Devleti'nde Tarımda Makineleşme ve Basının Rolü. Dumlupınar Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, No. 77, 69-85. doi.org/10.51290/dpusbe.1249386.

Duran, B. and Çamlı, A. Y. (2019). Klasik Dönem Osmanlı Toplumunun Sosyo-Ekonomik Yapısı (Max Weber'in Patrimonyalizm Teorisi Çerçevesinde İncelenmesi), İstanbul: Hiper Yayınları.

Eldem, E. (2024). The Ottoman Empire and Turkey: A Great Place To Visit, A Hard Place To Live. New Perspectives on Turkey, 1-12. doi.org/10.1017/npt.2024.3.

Hammond, A. (2022). Late Ottoman Origins of Modern Islamic Thought, Cambridge University Press.

Hanedar, A. Ö. and Uysal, S. (2020). Transportation Infrastructure And Economic Growth in A Dissolving Country:(Ir) Relevance Of Railroads In The Ottoman Empire. Economic History of Developing Regions, Vol. 35, No. 3, 195-215.

Husfeldt, J. D. V. (2023). Institutions and Economic Growth in the Late Ottoman Empire: A Quantitative Approach, 1820-1913.

İmamoğlu, H. V. (2022). Coğrafi Keşiflerden Sonra Oluşan Yenidünya Düzeni Ve Osmanlı Ekonomi Politikası. Journal of History School, Vol. 15, No. LVII, 1025-1041. Doi.org/ 10.29228/joh.55790.

Karpat, K. (2022). Osmanlı Modernleşmesi, İstanbul: Timaş Yayınları.

Mestyan, A. (2021). A Muslim Dualism? Inter-Imperial History and Austria-Hungary in Ottoman Thought, 1867–1921. Contemporary European History, Vol. 30, No. 4, 478-496.

Mills, S. (2020). A Commerce of Knowledge: Trade, Religion, and Scholarship between England and the Ottoman Empire, 1600-1760. Oxford University Press.

Morys, M. (2022). Has Eastern Europe Always Lagged Behind the West? Historical Evidence from Pre-1870. Review of Income and Wealth, Vol. 68, 3-21.

Muradov, A. (2018). Ottoman Trade Relations in the 19th Century. Academic Review of Humanities and Social Sciences, Vol. 1, No. 3, 120-129.

Omer, S. (2021). Examining the concept of Pan-Islamism. Al-Itqan: Journal Of Islamic Sciences And Comparative Studies, Vol. 5, No. 2, 21-51.

Ortaylı, İ. (2006). İmparatorluğun En Uzun Yüzyılı. Göz. Geç. 25. Baskı. İstanbul: Alkım Yayınevi.

Pammer, M. And Tuncer, AC. (2021) Economic Policy During The Long 19th Century. (Edited by Morys, M.). The Economic History Of Central, East And South-East

Europe: 1800 To The Present. London, UK: Routledge.

Pamuk, Ş. (2018). Osmanlı Ekonomisinde Bağımlılık ve Büyüme (1820-1913). İstanbul: Kültür Yayınları.

Pamuk, Ş. (2017). Türkiye'nin 200 Yıllık İktisadi Tarihi. 8. Basım. İstanbul: Kültür Yayınları.

Parry, J. (2022). Promised Lands: The British and the Ottoman Middle East. Princeton University Press.

Pétriat, P. (2021). The Uneven Age Of Speed: Caravans, Technology, And Mobility In The Late Ottoman And Post-Ottoman Middle East. International Journal of Middle East Studies, Vol. 53, No. 2, 273-290. doi.org/10.1017/S002074382100012X.

Provence, M. (2017). The Last Ottoman Generation And The Making Of The Modern Middle East. Cambridge University Press.

Ramazan, İ. and Cingöz, M. (2020). Merkantalizm Ve Sanayi Devrimi Sürecinde Osmanlı Devleti'nin Konumu. Akademik Hassasiyetler, Vol. 7, No. 14, 379-398.

Sali, M. A., Saharuddin, D. S. and Darni, D. Y. (2020). Ottoman Trade Policy and Activities in Europe and Asia. AL-FALAH. Journal of Islamic Economics, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1-21. Doi.org/10.29240/alfalah.v5i1.1181

Somel, S. A. (2001). The modernization of public education in the Ottoman Empire 1839-1908: Islamization, Autocracy and Discipline. Leiden: Brill.

Şimşeker, S. A., and Sabancı, Z. (2024). An Alternative Approach To Total Economy Of The Late Ottoman Empire. Middle Eastern Studies, Vol. 60, No. 2, 195-217. Doi.org/ 10.1080/00263206.2023.2198222.

Tunçer, A.C. (2020). Leveraging Foreign Control: Reform in the Ottoman Empire. (Edited by Barreyre, N. And Delalande, N. A World of Public Debts. Palgrave Studies in the History of Finance. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-48794-2\_6.

Uca, S. (2024). Constructing Turkish Foreign Policy: From the "Grand Strategy" to the "Strategic Depth". New Middle Eastern Studies, Vol. 12, No. 1.

Quataert, D. (2004). Osmanlı İmparatorluğu 1700-1922. 3. Baskı. (Çev. A. Berktaş). İstanbul: İletişim Yayınları.