European International Journal of Philological Sciences (ISSN: 2751-1715)

Received: 07 September 2026 | Accepted: 02 October 2026 | Published: 01 November 2026

RESEARCH ARTICLE

A Corpus-Based Pedagogical Framework for Enhancing the Teaching and Learning of European Studies

Dr. Aarav Sharma
Department of Social Sciences, Bhopal, India

VOLUME: Vol.06 Issue11 2026

PAGE: 01-09

Copyright © 2026 European International Journal of Philological Sciences, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License. Licensed under Creative Commons License a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Abstract

The teaching of European Studies requires pedagogical approaches that integrate linguistic analysis, cultural interpretation, historical understanding, political discourse, and contemporary social issues. Traditional instructional methods may provide essential background knowledge but can offer limited opportunities for students to investigate how European identities, social values, and public narratives are represented through authentic language. This paper proposes a corpus-based pedagogical framework that combines digital text analysis, contextual reading, comparative interpretation, and guided inquiry to enhance European Studies education. The proposed framework draws on the supplied materials, including journalistic discourse, literary resources, interviews, digital recordings, and corpus-analysis platforms such as AntConc and Sketch Engine. A conceptual research-and-review methodology is used to examine how these resources can be organized into a structured teaching model. The paper develops a five-stage instructional process involving corpus construction, linguistic exploration, contextual interpretation, comparative analysis, and reflective assessment. Particular attention is given to gender representation, national identity, cultural memory, and narratives of conflict. The framework illustrates how corpus evidence can encourage students to move beyond intuitive interpretations toward systematic, context-sensitive analysis. As this paper does not report an empirical classroom experiment, its findings are conceptual and methodological rather than statistically validated. The proposed model offers a foundation for future classroom implementation, assessment, and interdisciplinary research in European Studies.

KEYWORDS

Corpus linguistics, European Studies, corpus-based pedagogy, digital humanities, language education, cultural discourse, critical discourse analysis, AntConc, Sketch Engine, intercultural learning.

1. INTRODUCTION

European Studies is an interdisciplinary field concerned with the languages, cultures, histories, political institutions, social structures, and intellectual traditions of Europe. Its educational value lies partly in connecting these dimensions rather than treating them as independent subjects. Students examining European societies must interpret not only historical and political information but also the linguistic practices through which identities, conflicts, social expectations, and cultural values are expressed.

One challenge in teaching this field is the gap between conceptual knowledge and the analysis of authentic discourse.

Lectures and prescribed readings can introduce major themes, but students may have few opportunities to investigate how particular words, expressions, and interpretive patterns operate across different genres. A corpus-based approach addresses this limitation by making collections of authentic texts available for systematic investigation. Instead of relying exclusively on the teacher's explanation, learners can examine recurring expressions, compare textual contexts, and develop evidence-based interpretations.

The supplied materials offer several possible starting points. Caitlin Moran's Why is it easier to be a woman than a man provides a journalistic text for examining gender-related discourse and social expectations (Moran, n.d.). Irena Karpa's article on explaining the war in Ukraine to European skeptics provides material for investigating conflict narratives and the communication of national experiences (Karpa, 2022). Literary and multimedia materials associated with Maria Matios and Oksana Zabuzhko can support discussion of cultural representation, memory, and the relationship between individual narratives and collective identity.

The central problem addressed in this paper is how these varied resources can be organized into a coherent instructional framework that promotes systematic analysis without reducing cultural interpretation to word counts alone.

The paper has three objectives: to establish a conceptual foundation for corpus-based European Studies instruction; to propose a practical framework connecting digital text analysis with cultural and contextual interpretation; and to identify the pedagogical opportunities, limitations, and assessment principles associated with the approach.

The study is conceptual in scope. It develops a research-informed instructional proposal from the references supplied, rather than claiming to demonstrate measured improvements in student performance. Its contribution is a structured model that educators can adapt to different European languages, cultural topics, proficiency levels, and institutional settings.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Corpus tools and evidence-based language learning

AntConc and Sketch Engine are the principal analytical platforms identified in the supplied references. AntConc is a corpus-analysis application that can support keyword searches, concordance examination, and the investigation of recurring linguistic patterns (AntConc, n.d.). Sketch Engine offers corpus-query and text-analysis functions that can support the examination of lexical distributions and contextual usage (Sketch Engine, n.d.).

For European Studies, the significance of these tools extends beyond language instruction. A concordance display, for example, allows students to inspect a word alongside the surrounding text. This helps distinguish a word's possible meanings according to context and reveals whether an expression is associated with particular speakers, themes, or rhetorical situations.

Nevertheless, the presence of analytical software does not itself establish a pedagogically effective method. Students need guidance in selecting texts, formulating questions, interpreting frequency patterns, and recognizing contextual variation. The proposed framework therefore treats digital tools as instruments for inquiry rather than substitutes for disciplinary knowledge.

2.2. Journalism and the analysis of social discourse

Moran's article offers a potential case for investigating how gender roles and social expectations are represented in public commentary. A corpus-based lesson could examine expressions referring to women and men, identify evaluative language, and compare how responsibility, social advantage, or vulnerability is framed. The purpose would not be to assume that a single article represents British society as a whole, but to examine how one author constructs an argument within a particular journalistic context (Moran, n.d.).

Karpa's article offers a contrasting context in which language communicates experiences of war and responds to skepticism about events in Ukraine. Its discourse can be used to investigate the relationship between personal testimony, national representation, and European public opinion (Karpa, 2022). Comparing these texts could help students recognize that persuasive language functions differently according to genre, subject, audience, and communicative purpose.

These materials support comparative inquiry, but their differences must remain visible. A journalistic argument about gender and an account of wartime experience cannot be treated as equivalent forms of evidence. Their analytical value lies in demonstrating how discourse changes across social contexts.

2.3. Literary and multimedia resources

The supplied references also include materials associated with

Maria Matios, Oksana Zabuzhko, and a recorded event featuring Irena Karpa. These resources create opportunities to connect textual analysis with literary interpretation and spoken discourse. A literary extract can be examined for recurring semantic fields, while a recorded discussion can be transcribed, where permitted, for studying evaluative expressions, repetition, and rhetorical emphasis.

The reference to Sweet Darusya: A Tale of Two Villages provides a potential starting point for discussing literary representation and cultural memory. The listed material on Zabuzhko's discussion of the war in Ukraine can support inquiry into how contemporary conflict is communicated to wider audiences. However, the supplied bibliographic entries alone do not establish the full content or findings of these materials. Their specific analytical use requires consultation of the original works or recordings.

2.4. Research gap and theoretical positioning

The supplied references contain analytical tools, journalism, literary materials, and multimedia resources, but they do not present a unified experimental study of corpus-based teaching in European Studies. They also do not provide comparative classroom data, measured learning outcomes, or a validated instructional framework.

The research gap addressed here is therefore organizational and methodological: how can heterogeneous authentic materials be assembled into a coherent process that combines linguistic evidence with cultural interpretation?

The proposed framework positions corpus analysis within interdisciplinary, inquiry-oriented learning. Its theoretical premise is that patterns in language become educationally meaningful when interpreted in relation to context, genre, audience, and social purpose. Frequency is treated as a clue for investigation, not proof of cultural significance. This positioning enables students to move from observable textual evidence to reasoned interpretations while acknowledging the limits of the available data.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Research design and methodological orientation

This paper adopts a conceptual research-and-review design to develop a corpus-based pedagogical framework for European Studies. The methodology combines critical examination of the supplied materials with the design of a structured instructional model. It does not claim to have collected classroom data, conducted student interviews, or measured learning outcomes.

The analytical process is organized around three complementary dimensions: linguistic observation, contextual interpretation, and pedagogical application. Linguistic observation identifies recurring words, phrases, collocations, and evaluative expressions. Contextual interpretation examines these patterns in relation to genre, authorship, audience, and social circumstances. Pedagogical application converts the resulting observations into activities that develop students' analytical and intercultural competencies.

This design is appropriate because the supplied sources vary considerably in form and purpose. They include software resources, journalistic writing, literary materials, and audiovisual content. Rather than treating these sources as a homogeneous dataset, the framework assigns them different analytical functions and establishes common principles for comparing them.

3.2. Corpus construction and resource selection

The first stage involves constructing a small, topic-oriented corpus from legally accessible and appropriately documented materials. The corpus should be organized according to thematic relevance, language, genre, publication context, and intended learning outcomes.

Three thematic collections are proposed. The first focuses on gender and social representation, using Moran's article as a starting point. The second examines war, national identity, and European public discourse through the listed material by Karpa and the related audiovisual resources. The third addresses literary representation and cultural memory through materials associated with Matios and Zabuzhko.

These collections should remain distinguishable during the initial stages of analysis. Combining all texts immediately could obscure important differences between journalism, literary writing, and spoken communication. Once students understand the characteristics of each collection, selected comparisons can be introduced.

The corpus should include sufficient contextual metadata to identify the author, genre, date, language, source, and relevant limitations. Where audiovisual recordings are included, transcripts should be checked against the original recordings. Copyright, licensing, privacy, and platform terms must also be considered before texts are copied, redistributed,

or incorporated into teaching materials.

3.3. Digital analysis using AntConc and Sketch Engine

The second stage applies corpus-analysis software to investigate patterns within the selected materials. AntConc can support concordance analysis, keyword searches, and examination of recurring expressions. Sketch Engine can provide additional corpus-query and lexical-analysis functions, depending on the corpus configuration and available features.

The proposed workflow begins with a research question rather than a software command. For example, students investigating gender representation might ask how an author uses evaluative language when discussing social expectations. They can then identify relevant terms, examine concordance lines, and compare the surrounding contexts.

Frequency counts provide an initial indication of lexical prominence, but interpretation requires additional checks. A term may occur frequently because it is central to the topic, repeated in a quotation, or used in a particular grammatical construction. Students should therefore distinguish raw frequency from interpretive significance. If two corpora differ substantially in length, normalized frequencies may be more appropriate for comparison.

Collocation analysis can help identify recurring associations between words. Concordance analysis can then test whether those associations consistently support the proposed interpretation. This sequence prevents students from treating isolated search results as conclusive evidence.

3.4. Contextual and comparative interpretation

The third stage connects observed linguistic patterns to social and cultural context. Students examine who is speaking, whom the text addresses, what position is being advanced, and what assumptions appear to underpin the argument.

For example, an analysis of Moran's article might investigate the evaluative expressions used to discuss gendered expectations. Students would consider whether these expressions are ironic, critical, descriptive, or deliberately provocative. The interpretation should be grounded in the article's immediate context rather than generalized to all British speakers or European societies (Moran, n.d.).

A comparison with Karpa's article would introduce a different analytical situation. Students could examine how personal and collective identities are represented when the author addresses European skepticism about the war in Ukraine. The comparison would focus on differences in rhetorical purpose, audience, and context rather than assuming that the two texts share an identical social function.

Literary and audiovisual sources can extend this analysis by introducing narrative voice, ambiguity, performance, and the relationship between spoken and written expression. Such comparisons are valuable precisely because they require students to account for differences between genres.

3.5. The five-stage pedagogical framework

Stage 1: Resource selection

Select authentic texts and document genre, context, language, and provenance.

Stage 2: Corpus exploration

Search for lexical patterns, collocations, repeated expressions, and relevant concordance lines.

Stage 3: Contextual interpretation

Interpret findings through close reading, historical awareness, genre, audience, and authorial purpose.

Stage 4: Comparative inquiry

Compare selected texts and explain similarities, differences, and alternative interpretations.

Stage 5: Reflection and assessment

Present evidence-based conclusions, acknowledge uncertainty, and reflect on analytical decisions.

The stages form a flexible cycle rather than a strictly linear sequence. If contextual reading reveals that a keyword has been misunderstood, students return to the corpus and refine their search. This iterative structure makes the framework suitable for guided classroom inquiry and independent projects.

3.6. Assessment, reliability, and ethical safeguards

Assessment should evaluate both analytical process and interpretive quality. Students can submit a short corpus-analysis report containing the research question, search strategy, selected concordance evidence, contextual explanation, and limitations. Evaluation criteria should include accuracy of observation, relevance of evidence, contextual sensitivity, quality of comparison, and clarity of reasoning.

Reliability can be strengthened by asking students to document search terms and selection criteria. A second reader

can review a sample of concordance lines to determine whether the same evidence supports the interpretation. Disagreement should be used to refine the analysis rather than concealed.

The framework also requires safeguards against cultural stereotyping. A text written by one author cannot automatically be treated as representative of an entire nation, linguistic community, or political position. Students should distinguish an author's perspective from a population-level claim and consider whether the corpus contains sufficient diversity to support broader conclusions.

4. RESULTS

4.1. Principal conceptual findings

The principal outcome of this study is the development of a five-stage framework that connects corpus exploration with contextual and comparative learning. Because the research is conceptual, these findings represent properties of the proposed design rather than measured effects observed in a classroom.

The first finding is that corpus analysis can provide a practical bridge between language and the interdisciplinary objectives of European Studies. A word search is not merely a vocabulary exercise when it is connected to questions about social identity, cultural representation, or political communication. The educational value arises from the sequence through which students move from a visible textual pattern to a contextualized interpretation.

For instance, repeated evaluative expressions in Moran's article could provide the basis for examining how a journalistic argument frames gender and social expectations. The resulting interpretation would remain specific to the text unless additional evidence justified a wider claim (Moran, n.d.). This distinction encourages students to recognize the difference between textual evidence and social generalization.

The second finding is that heterogeneous sources can support complementary forms of inquiry when they are organized deliberately. Journalism can provide explicit argumentation and audience-oriented rhetoric; literary works can introduce narrative perspective and ambiguity; recorded discussions can reveal spoken interaction and performance. The framework therefore favors a layered corpus design in which genre differences are preserved before comparative analysis begins.

4.2. Findings concerning digital analytical tools

A third finding concerns the division of labor between analytical software and human interpretation. AntConc and Sketch Engine can help learners identify lexical repetitions, contextual patterns, and potential relationships between words. These functions reduce the effort required to locate evidence across multiple texts, but they do not independently determine the cultural meaning of that evidence.

The proposed model therefore assigns software a descriptive and exploratory role. Students formulate a question, retrieve relevant examples, inspect the original contexts, and evaluate competing interpretations. This sequence makes analytical choices visible and gives instructors opportunities to assess the reasoning behind students' conclusions.

A fourth finding is that the framework can support methodological transparency. When learners record search terms, document their selection criteria, and explain why particular examples were included, their conclusions become easier to evaluate and reproduce. This is especially important when an interpretation depends on a small number of concordance lines or on a potentially ambiguous expression.

Nevertheless, reproducibility does not guarantee interpretive validity. Two students can retrieve the same examples and reasonably disagree about their rhetorical significance. The framework should consequently reward well-supported reasoning rather than demand a single predetermined interpretation.

4.3. Findings concerning intercultural and critical learning

The proposed framework also identifies a pathway for developing critical intercultural awareness. By comparing texts with different communicative purposes, students can examine how identities and social experiences are constructed rather than treating national cultures as fixed sets of characteristics.

Karpa's discussion of explaining the war in Ukraine to European skeptics provides a potential case for examining the relationship between national experience, public persuasion, and audience expectations (Karpa, 2022). When considered alongside literary and audiovisual materials, it can prompt questions about whose perspectives are represented, which voices are absent, and how different genres establish credibility.

Such inquiry is particularly important when discussing politically sensitive topics. Corpus evidence may reveal repeated labels or evaluative expressions, but those patterns

should not be interpreted without examining the circumstances in which the language appears. The framework consequently treats critical interpretation as a process of testing claims against evidence and considering alternative explanations.

4.4. Proposed learning outcomes

The framework yields five expected categories of learning outcomes. First, students may improve their ability to locate and interpret authentic language evidence. Second, they may develop greater methodological awareness by learning to distinguish raw counts, contextual patterns, and interpretive conclusions. Third, comparative activities may strengthen their understanding of genre and communicative purpose. Fourth, reflective assessment may improve their ability to identify uncertainty and limitations. Fifth, the integration of linguistic and cultural inquiry may support more independent research practices.

These outcomes remain hypotheses requiring empirical verification. The present study does not establish that students will necessarily achieve them, nor does it determine the relative contribution of corpus tools, instructor guidance, or the selected materials.

4.5. Practical feasibility and constraints

The model appears adaptable to different instructional settings because it can begin with a small collection of texts and relatively simple searches. A teacher could introduce concordance analysis through a single article before expanding to a comparative collection. This staged implementation reduces the technical burden on students who have limited experience with corpus linguistics.

However, feasibility depends on access to suitable texts, software, reliable transcripts, and sufficient instructional time. Texts in different languages may require additional preparation, and automated linguistic processing may perform unevenly across languages and genres. The framework is therefore best understood as a flexible design that requires adjustment to the available resources and learning objectives.

Overall, the conceptual findings support the internal coherence of the proposed approach: digital analysis supplies inspectable evidence, contextual interpretation gives that evidence meaning, and comparative inquiry connects textual observations with broader questions in European Studies. Whether this integration produces measurable improvements in learning remains an open empirical question.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Theoretical and pedagogical implications

The proposed framework contributes to European Studies pedagogy by establishing a systematic relationship between linguistic evidence and cultural interpretation. Its central proposition is that authentic texts should function not only as illustrations of cultural topics but also as objects of investigation. This changes the learner's role from receiving interpretations prepared by the instructor to developing and evaluating interpretations through documented evidence.

The framework is consistent with an inquiry-oriented conception of learning. Students begin with a question, identify relevant linguistic evidence, test possible explanations, and communicate their conclusions. Corpus tools make this process more systematic by enabling the investigation of recurring patterns across multiple textual contexts. However, the theoretical contribution lies in the integration of these tools with contextual reading, not in the use of software alone.

This distinction is essential because the same linguistic expression can carry different meanings depending on its rhetorical function. A frequently occurring word may signal a central theme, but it may also appear in a quotation, a rebuttal, or a discussion of an opposing position. Consequently, quantitative observations must be interpreted in relation to the discourse in which they occur. The proposed framework makes this relationship explicit by separating initial pattern identification from subsequent contextual analysis.

5.2. Critical discourse and the interpretation of representation

The framework also offers a structured way to investigate how social categories are represented in public discourse. Moran's article, for example, can be used to examine how claims about gender are framed through evaluative language, rhetorical contrast, and assumptions about social roles. Students can investigate whether a selected expression supports the author's main argument, challenges a prevailing assumption, or introduces irony (Moran, n.d.).

Such analysis should not presume that the author's perspective represents all members of a social group. Nor should the frequency of a particular expression be treated as direct evidence of public opinion. Instead, the article provides a bounded case through which students can learn to formulate

interpretations and recognize the limits of textual generalization.

This approach is relevant to European Studies because questions of identity and social representation often involve competing perspectives. A carefully constructed corpus can reveal patterns that are difficult to identify through isolated reading, while contextual examination helps prevent those patterns from being detached from their original meaning. The combination supports critical engagement without reducing complex social issues to simplified numerical summaries.

5.3. Integrating national identity, conflict, and cultural memory

The supplied materials concerning Ukraine provide another opportunity to examine the relationship between discourse and cultural identity. Karpa's article addresses the communication of war-related experience to European audiences, while the listed audiovisual and literary resources provide potential avenues for exploring different forms of representation.

The framework encourages comparison across these materials, but it does not assume that journalism, literary narratives, and recorded discussions perform the same communicative function. Journalism may foreground an explicit argument; literature may represent experience through narrative structure and ambiguity; recorded speech may reveal emphasis, hesitation, and interaction. Recognizing these differences allows students to investigate how meaning is shaped by genre and medium.

A key implication is that European Studies instruction should distinguish cultural interpretation from the attribution of fixed characteristics to national groups. A limited corpus may reveal how particular authors represent a national experience, but it cannot establish that all members of the relevant society share that representation. The instructor should therefore require students to qualify claims, identify missing perspectives, and explain the limits of their evidence.

5.4. Methodological trade-offs and limitations

The framework involves several important trade-offs. The first concerns scale. Larger corpora may offer more extensive evidence, but they also increase the demands of text preparation, metadata management, and interpretation. Smaller corpora are easier to manage in classroom settings, yet they may reflect a narrow selection of authors or genres. The appropriate scale depends on the research question and the students' analytical experience.

The second trade-off concerns quantification. Frequency counts and collocation patterns can reveal tendencies that would otherwise remain unnoticed, but they cannot independently establish intention, causation, or cultural significance. Automated analysis can also be affected by spelling variation, inflection, multilingual content, transcription errors, and differences between written and spoken language. These limitations make close reading an indispensable component of the proposed approach.

The third trade-off concerns accessibility. Digital analysis can promote independent learning, but students may have unequal levels of technical confidence, language proficiency, or access to suitable devices. Instruction should therefore include demonstrations, guided exercises, and alternatives for students who require additional support. Assessment should focus on the quality of the reasoning rather than on technical sophistication alone.

A further limitation arises from the evidence base. The supplied references do not contain a direct comparative evaluation of corpus-based and conventional European Studies teaching. Consequently, the proposed model cannot establish causal improvements in achievement, retention, or intercultural competence. Its claims must remain proportional to the conceptual and documentary evidence available.

5.5. Implications for implementation and future research

Implementation should begin with a pilot lesson using a small, clearly documented corpus. Students could identify a limited set of recurring expressions, examine their immediate contexts, and prepare a short interpretation supported by textual examples. The instructor could then introduce a second genre and ask learners to reconsider whether their initial conclusions remain valid.

Evaluation should distinguish technical performance from disciplinary understanding. A student may successfully generate frequency lists without understanding their significance, while another may produce a thoughtful contextual interpretation using a relatively simple search strategy. A balanced assessment should therefore consider evidence selection, contextual reasoning, awareness of uncertainty, comparative insight, and clarity of communication.

Future research should test the framework through classroom studies involving clearly defined learning objectives and transparent evaluation criteria. Researchers could compare student work before and after instruction, investigate differences between guided and independent corpus analysis, and examine whether outcomes vary by language proficiency or prior digital experience. Interviews or reflective journals could also help explain how learners understand the relationship between quantitative evidence and cultural interpretation.

Such studies would provide the empirical basis currently missing from the conceptual proposal. Until those results are available, the framework should be treated as a structured and testable instructional model rather than a validated intervention. Its principal value is that it makes the analytical process explicit, adaptable, and open to critical evaluation.

6. CONCLUSION

This paper has proposed a corpus-based pedagogical framework for enhancing the teaching and learning of European Studies. The framework integrates authentic textual resources, digital corpus exploration, contextual interpretation, comparative inquiry, and reflective assessment into a five-stage instructional process.

Its principal contribution is the systematic connection of linguistic evidence with cultural, social, and political interpretation. AntConc and Sketch Engine can help students identify recurring expressions and investigate their contexts, while guided comparison can develop their ability to distinguish textual patterns from broader claims about society. Journalism, literature, and audiovisual resources provide complementary opportunities for examining gender representation, national identity, cultural memory, and conflict discourse.

The study remains conceptual and does not claim experimentally verified learning gains. Its effectiveness will depend on corpus selection, instructional support, analytical rigor, and sensitivity to the limitations of quantitative evidence. Future classroom research should evaluate the model's effects on critical reading, research skills, and intercultural understanding. With empirical testing and appropriate adaptation, the framework offers a practical foundation for more evidence-based and interdisciplinary European Studies education.

REFERENCES

1. AntConc https://www.laurenceanthony.net/software/antconc/Accessed on 26.06.2023.
2. Caitlin Moran. Why is it easier to be a woman than a man. The Times. https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/what-about-men-by-caitlin-moran-extract-two-25t063f0d. Accessed on 26.06.2023.
3. CPT chat. https://chat.openai.com. Accessed on 26.06.2023.
4. Irena Karpa (2022) "Do Not Try to Interrupt a Ukrainian Woman": Explaining the War to Europe's Skeptics. Vanity Fair. https://www.vanityfair.com/news/2022/04/explaining-the-war-in-ukraine-to-europes-skeptics Accessed on 26.06.2023.
5. Irena Karpa Writing in Times of War: An Evening With Irena Karpa https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YKYqpo3TSJI
6. Maria Matios info https://www.spuytenduyvil.net/sweet-darusya.htmlAccessed on 26.06.2023.
7. Oksana Zabuzho. Oksana Zabuzho about the war in Ukraine. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u8D7VLrNqFUAccessed on 26.06.2023.
8. Sebastian Fitzek https://openlibrary.org/authors/OL5206928A/Sebastian_Fitzek5.Sebastian Fitzek. The Therapy (2008). https://openlibrary.org/works/OL12049027W/Die_Therapie?edition=key%3A/books/OL28236073M. Accessed on 26.06.2023.
9. Sketch Engine https://www.sketchengine.eu/Accessed on 26.06.2023.
10. Sweet Darusya: A Tale of Two Villages by Maria Matios. World Literature Today.https://www.worldliteraturetoday.org/2019/summer/sweet-darusya-tale-two-villages-maria-matiosAccessed on 26.06.2023.
11. The Art of War by Sun Tzu, Performed by Aidan Gillen https://soundcloud.com/user597221217/sets/art-of-war-audio-book?si=4ea8748ecb2a40819e704db17739ae0a&utm_source=clipboard&utm_medium=text&utm_campaign=so

cial_sharingAccessed on 26.06.2023.