

**OPEN ACCESS**

SUBMITTED 24 January 2025

ACCEPTED 25 February 2025

PUBLISHED 27 March 2025

VOLUME Vol.05 Issue 03 2025

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 License.

Differences and Similarities Between Uzbek And Korean: A Closer Look

Salikbaeva Guldjon Onalovna

Uzbek State University of World Languages, Oriental Philology, Faculty of Korean Language, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Abstract: The languages of Central Asia and East Asia have unique features that make them interesting to linguists and language enthusiasts. Uzbek and Korean, although belonging to different language families and having different histories, contain many features that can sometimes surprise even experienced researchers. This article explores the similarities and differences between Uzbek and Korean, looking at their origins, grammatical structure, phonetics, vocabulary, and culture.

Keywords: Central Asia, East Asia, unique features of languages, linguists, uzbek and korean languages, similarities and differences between Uzbek and Korean languages, grammatical structure, phonetics, vocabulary.

Introduction: Uzbek is a Turkic language, which is part of the broader Altaic language family. It developed from the ancient Turkic language and is currently the official language of Uzbekistan. Uzbek has many dialects, which, despite certain differences, remain mutually intelligible. It uses the Latin alphabet, was in Cyrillic since the 1940s, and before that used the Arabic script.

Korean language belongs to the isolated Koreanic language family, which has no closely related languages. Some scholars have suggested that Korean may be related to the Tungusic or Japonic language families, but there is no evidence to support this. Korean has a long and complex history, and its written language was developed in the 15th century using a unique writing system, Hangul, which was created to improve literacy among the common people.

Both language systems have similar features in grammar, despite their different origins.

Word order

One of the most noticeable features of both Uzbek and Korean is the SOV (subject-object-verb) structure. This means that in a sentence, the subject comes first, then the object, and then the verb. For example:

Uzbek: "Men kitobni oqishim kerak." (I must read a book).

Korean: "나는 책을 읽어야 한다." (I must read a book).

Agglutination

Both Uzbek and Korean use agglutination, a process in which suffixes are added to the root of a word to express grammatical meanings such as tense, person, number, case, etc. Both languages have rich suffix systems.

Uzbek:

Verbs are inflected by tense, number, and person using affixes: "Ko'r"- (to see) + "-dim" (I) = "ko'dim" (I saw).

Korean:

Verbs are also inflected by suffixes: "보다" (to watch) + "아/어" = "봐요" (I watch).

No gender and number of nouns

Both languages do not have grammatical gender, as, for example, in Russian or French. Nouns in Uzbek and Korean do not inflect by gender, and gender does not affect the forms of adjectives or pronouns. The number system is also limited, and it is not as complex as in some other languages.

Phonetics

Although the phonetic system of Korean and Uzbek does not have obvious similarities, several interesting aspects can be highlighted.

Vowels and consonants

Both language systems have a rich vowel system. In Korean, there is a system of "tense" and "lax" consonants, which gives the language a characteristic sound. Uzbek also has many vowels, but does not use consonant tension to the same extent.

Prosody and Intonation

Intonation plays an important role in both languages, but it is not as pronounced as in some other languages, such as Chinese. Korean, for example, has a clearer boundary between politeness and formality, which affects intonation.

Vocabulary

The vocabulary of both languages has a large number of loanwords, but in different historical contexts.

Borrowings from other languages

Uzbek has many loanwords, especially from Arabic, Persian and Russian. This is due to historical contacts

with the Arab world, as well as the long stay in the Soviet Union. The Korean language, on the other hand, has borrowed numerous words from Chinese, especially in the fields of science, philosophy, and culture. Modern Koreans have also borrowed many words from English, especially in recent decades.

Unique Elements

Despite the borrowings, both languages have unique elements in their vocabulary that are difficult to translate into other languages. Uzbek, for example, uses specific terms to describe traditional culture and everyday life, such as "mahalla" (local community) or "shashlik" (meat on a spit). In Korean, words such as "han" (a feeling of pain or suffering on a collective level) and "chonji" (spiritual well-being) have unique cultural meanings that are difficult to translate.

Writing

The Uzbek language has a long history with several writing systems. Today, the Latin alphabet is used, but in the past, the Arabic and Cyrillic alphabets were used. The Latin alphabet currently helps maintain standards in writing, but Cyrillic remains popular in some diasporas.

The Korean language uses a unique writing system, Hangul, which was developed in the 15th century by the Korean king Sejong the Great. Hangul consists of letters, each of which has its own sound. It is one of the simplest and most logical alphabets, which makes Korean writing accessible to learn.

Culture and Social Structure

Languages are closely linked to culture. Both Uzbek and Korean cultures have a complex system of politeness and respect that directly affects the choice of words and forms of address. In Korean, for example, there are several levels of formality that are determined based on age, social status, and the relationship between the interlocutors. Uzbek also preserves a form of respect in speech, for example, through the use of the forms "sizni" (respectful address) as opposed to "sen" (informal address).

CONCLUSION

Although Uzbek and Korean have different roots, histories, and cultural contexts, they show remarkable similarities in grammar, sentence structure, and even some aspects of phonetics. These similarities are certainly due to more universal principles such as agglutination and word order. However, differences in vocabulary, writing, and cultural aspects make them quite unique.

Studying these languages, despite their differences, allows us to gain a deeper understanding of how languages can develop independently of each other, but at the same time retain some common features that

make them similar at the level of structure and communication.

REFERENCES

Ким, Н. Д. (2016). Типы предложений и функция сказуемого в корейском языке. Вестник Российского университета дружбы народов. Серия: Теория языка. Семиотика. Семантика, (2), 158-164.

Камалова, А. Х., & Ким, Н. Д. (2016). СРАВНЕНИЕ ФОНЕТИЧЕСКИХ ИЗМЕНЕНИЙ В ЯПОНСКИХ И КОРЕЙСКИХ ДИАЛЕКТАХ (на примере диалекта Канся и литературного японского языка, корейских диалектов Чолладо и Кёнгидо). Научная дискуссия: вопросы филологии, искусствоведения и культурологии, (1), 143-148.

Ким, Н. Д. (2017). ПРЕДЛОЖЕНИЕ КАК ОСНОВНАЯ КОММУНИКАТИВНАЯ ЕДИНИЦА В КОРЕЙСКОМ ЯЗЫКЕ (НА МАТЕРИАЛЕ РЕЧЕВЫХ АКТОВ И РЕЧЕВОЙ КОММУНИКАЦИИ). In ИННОВАЦИОННЫЕ ПОДХОДЫ В НАУКЕ И ОБРАЗОВАНИИ: ТЕОРИЯ, МЕТОДОЛОГИЯ, ПРАКТИКА (pp. 131-139).

Азимова, А. М., & Ким, Н. Д. (2019). К ВОПРОСУ О РОЛИ МОДАЛЬНОСТИ В ЛИНГВИСТИКЕ. In КУЛЬТУРОЛОГИЯ, ИСКУССТВОВЕДЕНИЕ И ФИЛОЛОГИЯ: СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ ВЗГЛЯДЫ И НАУЧНЫЕ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЯ (pp. 40-44).

Ким, Н. Д., & Хашимова, С. А. (2020). К вопросу о развитии востоковедения в Узбекистане (на примере деятельности факультета китаеведения Ташкентского государственного института востоковедения). Вестник Удмуртского университета. Серия «История и филология», 30(3), 551-560.

КИМ, Н. Д. Х. (2020). К ВОПРОСУ О РЕЧЕВОЙ КОММУНИКАЦИИ В ПРОФЕССИОНАЛЬНОЙ ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТИ (НА МАТЕРИАЛЕ МОДУЛЬНОГО ОБУЧЕНИЯ ИНОСТРАННОМУ ЯЗЫКУ). Иностранные языки в Узбекистане, (1), 58-65.

Rakhmonova, D., & Kim, N. (2021). To the question of classification of sentences in Korean language. Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research, 10(9), 101-103.

Kim, N. D. (2021). The question of speech forms (using the example of communicative forms in Korean language). ACADEMICIA: AN INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH JOURNAL, 11(2), 17-23.

Жуманиязова, Ф. И., & Ким, Н. Д. Х. (2021). КОРЕЙСКИЕ АТАМАЛАР МАСАЛАСИ. In Молодой исследователь: вызовы и перспективы (pp. 122-126).

Ким, Н., & Акрамова, Ш. (2022). О ПРОБЛЕМЕ КОММУНИКАЦИИ И СОСТАВЛЕНИИ СЛОЖНЫХ

ПРЕДЛОЖЕНИЙ В КОРЕЙСКОМ ЯЗЫКЕ. Oriental renaissance: Innovative, educational, natural and social sciences, 2(Special Issue 27), 76-80.

Nadejda, T., Sun, S. J., Parvina, M., Parizod, A., & Natalya, K. (2022). The Problem of Translation at the Cognitive Level. Journal of Positive School Psychology, 9543-9549.

Kim, N., & Azimova, A. (2022). On The Question of Terms and Discussion Units in Korean. Journal of Positive School Psychology, 9571-9578.

Kim, N. (2023). TEACHING ORAL SPEECH IN A FOREIGN LANGUAGE. Oriental renaissance: Innovative, educational, natural and social sciences, 3(22), 15-18.

Ким, Н. Д. Х. (2023). РАЗВИТИЕ КОРЕЕВЕДЕНИЯ В УЗБЕКИСТАНЕ: ОБЗОР ЛИНГВИСТИЧЕСКИХ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ. ББК 63.3+ 85.31 Н31, 195.

Ким, Н., & Цой, Т. (2023). СОМАТИЗМЫ В КОРЕЙСКОМ И РУССКОМ ЯЗЫКАХ. In Фундаментальные и прикладные научные исследования: актуальные вопросы, достижения и инновации (pp. 236-238).