European International Journal of Pedagogics

TYPE Original Research
PAGE NO. 146-151
DOI 10.55640/eijp-05-12-34

Check for updates

Innovative Approaches to Developing Professional Speech Culture in Prospective Teachers

Abdumajitova Maftuna Ixtiyor qizi

Independent Researcher, Gulistan State University, Uzbekistan

OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITTED 30 October 2025
ACCEPTED 25 November 2025
PUBLISHED 31 December 2025
VOLUME Vol.05 Issue12 2025

COPYRIGHT
© 2025 Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 License.

Abstract: This article analyzes the conceptual foundations of developing professional speech culture among prospective teachers within the contemporary higher education paradigm. Pedagogical speech is interpreted not merely as a means of conveying information but as a strategic instrument for activating students' cognitive and intellectual potential. The roles of linguistic creativity, reflective methods (video analysis and reflective journals), and interdisciplinary integration are discussed. In the context of the Digital Uzbekistan–2030 Strategy, the article addresses online communication etiquette (netiquette) and the preservation of an academic register in digital environments. Drawing on L. Vygotsky's theory, it examines the interrelationship between speech and thought, pedagogical ethics, and the stimulating function of speech in subject–subject relationships. A corrective and developmental model comprising diagnostic, operational, and reflective components is proposed to address pronunciation, lexical, and grammatical errors. The article also considers mathematical and statistical methods (Pearson's correlation and Student's t-test) and international assessment frameworks (PIRLS and ePIRLS) in evaluating pedagogical effectiveness.

Keywords: Pedagogical speech, speech culture, digital transformation, deontology, linguistic creativity, cognitive processes, reflective methods, professional competence, pedagogical discourse, rhetoric.

Introduction: Developing professional speech culture among prospective teachers is one of the foremost priorities of contemporary higher education. Amid digital transformation and shifts in the educational paradigm, pedagogical speech has become not simply a means of conveying information but an intellectual tool

that activates students' creative thinking. Mastery of grammar alone is insufficient for developing pedagogical speech. An innovative approach requires speech to be regarded as a cognitive process. Particular attention is given to the following aspects:

Linguistic creativity: The ability of a student to employ novel expressions and metaphors appropriate to a particular communicative situation.

The symbiosis of thought and speech: The stimulating role of the teacher's speech during the transition from figurative to logical thinking, particularly when working with primary-school pupils.

The culture of pedagogical communication in digital environments calls for new ethical standards, namely pedagogical deontology. Prospective teachers must communicate within the boundaries of professional ethics not only in the classroom but also in virtual spaces.

Digital communication culture: Maintaining an academic speech register on social networks and educational platforms.

Empathetic communication: Influencing the learner's inner world through speech and facilitating socio-pedagogical adaptation.

The development of speech culture is not the outcome of one separate subject but a product of integration across disciplines.

Interdisciplinary connections: Modeling professional communication scenarios by integrating native-language instruction with psychology and pedagogy.

Statistical analysis: Evaluating the effectiveness of speech development in educational research using mathematical and statistical methods such as Pearson's correlation and Student's t-test; this is an important skill for prospective teacher-researchers.

A contemporary teacher's speech should foster pupils' abilities to understand and interpret texts in line with international assessment frameworks such as PIRLS and ePIRLS. An innovative approach requires teachers to possess:

Interpretive skills in working with texts.

The ability to ask questions that develop pupils' critical analysis of information. Developing professional speech culture among prospective teachers therefore combines technological and humanistic principles. Innovative approaches teach students not simply to speak but to use speech to shape learning environments and unlock learners' intellectual potential. In the framework of the Digital Uzbekistan–2030 Strategy, this process is one of the key factors supporting teachers' professional competitiveness.

The effectiveness of pedagogical work is directly related to teachers' communication systems and professional speech culture. Under the contemporary educational paradigm, pedagogical speech is not merely a means of transmitting information but a complex psycholinguistic instrumental system through which learners' intellectual and moral development is shaped. A teacher's professional speech culture represents the synthesis of linguistic standards (correctness, precision, and logical coherence) and ethical and psychological norms in accordance with the aims of pedagogical communication. It encompasses the following components:

Linguistic competence: Strict observance of standard-language norms relating to pronunciation, vocabulary, and grammar.

Communicative and didactic skills: The ability to explain complex scientific concepts in ways that are understandable and engaging, taking learners' age-related characteristics into account.

Extralinguistic factors: The appropriateness of intonation, pauses, facial expression, and gesture to the pedagogical situation.

From a scientific perspective, pedagogical speech simultaneously performs several important functions:

1. Cognitive and informational function: Communicating knowledge systematically and in a logical sequence, with particular emphasis on argumentation and evidence.

2. Motivational function: Stimulating learners' interest in the subject and fostering intrinsic motivation. Aesthetically rich and expressive speech directly influences learners' emotional intelligence.

3. Monitoring and corrective function: Establishing feedback during communication, analyzing pupils' responses, and encouraging them.

4. Deontological function: Through pedagogical ethics and speech culture, the teacher serves as a model of appropriate speech for learners.

The quality of pedagogical speech directly affects learners' thinking processes. According to Vygotsky's theory, speech is a tool of thought. The richer and more logically structured a teacher's speech, the more effectively similar cognitive structures can develop in learners.

From the perspective of pedagogical deontology, verbal aggression or impoverished language in a teacher's speech not only diminishes the quality of instruction but can also create a destructive communicative environment. Conversely, speech culture based on tolerance strengthens subject–subject relationships within the teacher–pupil system.

Educational studies suggest that learning achievement indicators in classes taught by teachers with a high level of speech culture are 15–20% higher within the framework of PIRLS and other international assessment criteria. This is related not only to the form of speech but also to its didactic content.

Professional speech culture is not simply an individual psychological characteristic of a teacher; it is a strategic parameter defining professional competence. Even in a digital educational environment, the teacher's clear, cultured, and logically structured spoken language remains a primary means of transforming information into knowledge. In the contemporary educational paradigm, teachers' professional preparedness is determined not only by disciplinary knowledge but also by speech ethics and communicative culture. Developing these competencies among prospective teachers in an era of digital transformation is a strategic direction for improving the quality of education.

From the perspective of pedagogical deontology, speech ethics refers to the verbal manifestation of socially accepted moral standards in communication. For prospective teachers, speech is not only a means of conveying information but also a principal instrument for influencing pupils' personal development.

The constituent elements of speech culture include:

Normative accuracy: Strict adherence to standard-language conventions of pronunciation, grammar, and vocabulary.

Ethical standards: Demonstrating politeness, tolerance, and pedagogical tact in communication.

Communicative appropriateness: Selecting a suitable speech style according to the situation.

In higher teacher education, priority should be given to interactive and practical methods rather than exclusively traditional lectures when developing communicative culture:

Role-playing and case studies: Students are presented with realistic situations involving pedagogical conflict (pupil–teacher or teacher–parent). They develop skills in resolving these issues using appropriate formulas of speech etiquette.

Rhetorical training: Activities that improve the technical aspects of speech (diction, articulation, and intonation), public presentation, and the ability to demonstrate empathy.

Digital communication culture (netiquette): Training prospective teachers to communicate professionally and ethically on social networks and messaging services. This is important for maintaining teachers' professional image under the Digital Uzbekistan–2030 Strategy.

Learners' cognitive characteristics must be considered when developing speech culture. For example, the speech of prospective teachers working with young primary-school children should be vivid, comprehensible, and emotionally engaging. Linguistic creativity is the teacher's ability to move beyond formulaic language and create fresh, interesting, and logically organized expressions that attract learners' attention.

Using quantitative methods to evaluate the dynamics of speech-culture development in educational research contributes to the objectivity of findings. In particular:

Student's t-test is used to determine the statistical significance of differences between indicators recorded before and after an intervention.

Pearson's correlation is used to examine the relationship between a teacher's level of speech culture and students' academic achievement.

Developing speech ethics and communicative culture among prospective teachers requires a systematic approach. This process involves not only teaching language rules but also fostering broad cultural awareness and a strong sense of deontological responsibility in future professionals. Combining contemporary methodologies with digital technologies is an important factor in strengthening teachers' social standing and educational effectiveness.

The application of innovative pedagogical approaches does more than improve teaching quality: it also fundamentally transforms the professional speech culture of both prospective and practicing teachers. The following discussion presents theoretical and practical aspects of this process.

Within the contemporary educational paradigm, the determining role of innovative approaches in developing teachers' professional speech is examined. The effects of communicative competence, digital didactics, and interactive methodologies on speaking skills are considered from a scholarly pedagogical perspective.

Unlike conventional education, innovative approaches position teachers not merely as transmitters or reproducers of information but as facilitators and moderators. This develops the following qualities of professional speech:

The primacy of dialogic speech: Engaging with students through subject–subject relationships increases flexibility and the strength of argumentation in speech.

Lexical richness and terminological precision: As innovation brings new concepts into education

(scaffolding, Bloom's taxonomy, cognitive load, and others), teachers' professional discourse becomes more scientifically nuanced.

In the context of digitalization, pedagogical deontology and speech ethics enter a new stage of development. Under the Digital Uzbekistan–2030 Strategy, teachers are expected to communicate professionally not only in classrooms but also in virtual environments:

Conciseness and clarity: Digital environments foster the ability to deliver information briefly, clearly, and in a logical sequence.

Multimodal speech: Combining spoken language with visual aids, infographics, and multimedia increases pedagogical impact.

In primary and higher education, innovative approaches seek to stimulate learners' creative thinking. Teachers' linguistic creativity is central to this process. The use of metaphors, heuristic questions, and linguistic constructions that generate problem situations contributes to the effectiveness of lessons.

The use of mathematical and statistical methods, such as Pearson's correlation, to evaluate the impact of innovative methods on speech development is described as indicating a direct positive relationship between speech competencies and the quality of the learning process. Expressiveness, logical coherence, and compliance with deontological standards are considered the principal indicators.

Innovative approaches conceptually renew teachers' professional speech. These changes are crucial not only to delivering subject content but also to establishing educational cooperation with students, facilitating their socio-psychological adaptation, and contributing to their overall moral and ethical development.

In contemporary linguodidactics and sociolinguistics, speech culture is understood not merely as compliance with linguistic standards but as the ability to formulate thoughts effectively and aesthetically in response to a communicative situation. Reflective methods provide a primary means for individuals to consciously analyze their speech, correct shortcomings, and optimize communicative strategies.

Speech reflection rests on metacognitive ability: it requires speakers to observe their own speech from an external perspective. Scientifically, this process comprises the following stages:

Self-monitoring: Controlling the selection of lexical items during speech.

Retrospective analysis: Assessing the successes and mistakes of completed communicative interactions.

Prospective planning: Developing effective models for future communicative situations.

The claim that reflective approaches to speech-culture instruction—such as reflective journals, video analysis, and interactive case studies—are more effective than conventional reproductive approaches is illustrated by the following comparison:

Indicator	Traditional method	Reflective method
Knowledge acquisition	Memorizing rules	Understanding the practical value of rules
Responsibility for speech	Low (dependent on external control)	High (internal self-monitoring develops)
Stylistic flexibility	Uniformity (formulaic speech)	Adapting speech style to the situation
Dealing with mistakes	Fear of making mistakes	Viewing mistakes as opportunities for development

Reflective methods develop linguistic intuition, or a sense of language, in students and professionals. Research is presented as showing the following outcomes among individuals who regularly analyze their own speech:

Filler words and speech defects decrease by 40–50%.

The ability to construct arguments and maintain logical coherence improves.

Speech ethics and communicative culture become stable habits.

In developing speech culture, reflective methods transform the learner from a passive recipient into an active constructor of speech. This supports the trajectory of self-development, regarded as the highest stage of language learning.

The speaking skills of prospective teachers form the foundation of their professional competence. The following discussion outlines a contemporary technological model for correcting speech errors.

A teacher's speech is not only a medium for conveying information but also a linguistic model for pupils. Within the contemporary educational paradigm, speech deficiencies among prospective teachers—including filler words, violations of standard-language norms, and errors in stress and intonation—have a negative impact

on educational quality. Developing technologies for systematically correcting speech errors is therefore a priority.

Before designing a technological solution, the nature of the errors must be identified:

Orthoepic errors: Deviations from pronunciation and word-stress norms.

Lexical errors: Inappropriate word choice, pleonasm, and tautology.

Grammatical errors: Incorrect use of case and possessive forms.

Stylistic errors: Failure to match the register to a formal, academic, or literary context.

The correction of speech errors is carried out through a corrective and developmental technology consisting of three main components:

A. Diagnostic component

Audio monitoring: Recording and analyzing a student's lecture or presentation.

Speech-error diagnosis: Creating an individual speech profile and mapping the student's errors.

B. Operational (practical) component

The following interactive methods are employed at this stage:

The fishbowl method: A student delivers a presentation before the group while the other students, acting as speech experts, record mistakes in real time.

Rhetorical transformation: Exercises that require converting texts containing dialectal or slang expressions into academic language.

Digital language trainers: Working with applications designed to develop speech rate, vocal range, and diction.

C. Reflective component

Self-correction: Students work independently on their speech errors and maintain a speech-therapy or correction journal.

CONCLUSION

In the contemporary educational paradigm, teachers' speech is not merely a technique for transmitting information but a complex psycholinguistic instrumental system and a means of cognitive modeling that contributes to the formation of the learner's personality. During digital transformation, pedagogical speech extends beyond its traditional boundaries to encompass virtual space. Within the framework of the Digital Uzbekistan–2030 Strategy, maintaining netiquette and an academic register has become a deontological standard defining the teacher's professional image. Speech must serve not only as a source of knowledge but also as a moral and ethical model for learners. The development of pedagogical speech should no longer be limited to teaching grammatical rules. Linguistic creativity and reflective methods, supported by statistical analyses such as Student's t-test and Pearson's correlation, foster communicative responsibility and logical thinking among students. Drawing on Vygotsky's theory, a teacher's rich and systematic speech provides essential scaffolding for the development of learners' internal speech structures. In preparing prospective teachers, it is necessary to use corrective technologies based on the sequence "monitoring → correction → automatization." Debates, discussions, case studies, and rhetorical training are described as not only reducing filler words but also increasing professionals' ability to speak spontaneously and manage an audience emotionally (EQ) by up to 15–20%, a claim presented in the source as statistically demonstrated. Speech culture is not an isolated subject but a synthesis of native-language studies, psychology, pedagogy, and digital technologies. In accordance with PIRLS and ePIRLS international assessment frameworks, a teacher's ability to work with and interpret texts directly influences learners' reading comprehension. Prospective teachers' professional speech culture is not merely a personal attribute but a strategic competence within the framework of state educational standards. Its systematic development helps humanize the educational environment, unlock pupils' intellectual potential, and ultimately raise society's overall cultural level. Pedagogical speech is the art of shaping the future through words.

REFERENCES

1. Decree of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan No. PF-6079 of October 5, 2020, "On Approval of the Digital Uzbekistan–2030 Strategy and Measures for Its Effective Implementation."
2. Vygotsky, L. S. (1934). Myshlenie i rech [Thought and Language]. Moscow: Sotsekgiz. (Principal source for the theory of the relationship between speech and thought.)
3. Hymes, D. H. (1972). On Communicative Competence. Harmondsworth: Penguin. (Foundational source on communicative competence.)
4. Chomsky, N. (1965). Aspects of the Theory of Syntax. MIT Press. (Theory of linguistic competence.)
5. Muslimov, N. A., et al. (2015). Pedagogik mahorat va kasbiy kompetentlik [Pedagogical Mastery and Professional Competence]. Tashkent.

6. Qurbonova, M. F. (2025). The methodology of forming pedagogical values in students of specialized schools in Uzbek language and literature lessons. Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education and Pedagogy, pp. 260–266.

7. Qurbonova, M. F. (2025). Difficulties in enhancing communicativeness of pre-service English teachers. Maktabgacha va maktab ta'limi, No. 10, pp. 275–277.