

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Advancing Inclusive Higher Education Through Artificial Intelligence: Opportunities for Accessibility, Personalization, And Student Support

 **Dildora Nutfieva**

Tashkent State University of Economics, Uzbekistan

VOLUME: Vol.06 Issue10 2026

PAGE: 14-21

Copyright © 2026 European International Journal of Pedagogics, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License. Licensed under Creative Commons License a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) is creating new opportunities to advance inclusive higher education by improving accessibility, personalizing learning experiences, and strengthening student support. Despite progress in inclusive education policies, students with disabilities continue to encounter barriers associated with inaccessible learning materials, inflexible teaching practices, limited assistive technologies, and insufficient institutional support. This article examines the theoretical foundations and research evidence concerning the application of AI in inclusive higher education. It adopts an integrative literature review approach to synthesize published research on AI-powered assistive technologies, adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring, and educational support services. Particular attention is given to three dimensions of inclusion: accessibility, personalization, and student support. Findings from existing reviews and a meta-analysis indicate that AI-based interventions have potential to improve learning outcomes and facilitate participation among students with disabilities. However, the available evidence is heterogeneous, and much of the experimental research has been conducted outside mainstream higher education. The article proposes an integrated conceptual framework connecting AI accessibility tools, personalized learning, and coordinated institutional support. It concludes that AI can contribute to more equitable higher education when implemented through human-centred, accessible, and ethically governed practices.

KEY WORDS

Artificial intelligence, inclusive higher education, educational accessibility, personalized learning, students with disabilities, assistive technologies, student support.

1. INTRODUCTION

Inclusive higher education is based on the principle that all students should have equitable opportunities to access learning, participate in academic activities, and achieve their educational potential. Nevertheless, students with disabilities may continue to experience barriers arising from inaccessible digital resources, limited availability of assistive technologies, inflexible assessment practices, and inadequate institutional support. These barriers can affect not only academic

achievement but also student participation, independence, and continuation in higher education. Artificial intelligence has emerged as a potentially valuable instrument for addressing some of these challenges. Developments in natural language processing, speech recognition, computer vision, machine learning, and generative AI have expanded the possibilities for adapting educational content and providing alternative means of communication. AI-supported tools can convert speech into

text, generate descriptions of visual information, simplify complex explanations, and provide individualized feedback. When appropriately designed, these applications may enable students with diverse educational needs to engage more independently with university learning activities. Recent research has increasingly examined the relationship between AI and inclusive education. Dumitru et al. (2025), in an integrative review of 27 papers, identified personalized learning, AI-powered assistive technologies, institutional barriers, and implementation practices as major themes in the literature. Their findings suggest that AI can contribute to accessibility and student engagement, while also highlighting unresolved ethical and practical challenges. Similarly, Zhang et al. (2026) reported a positive average effect of AI-based interventions on learning outcomes among students with disabilities, although their analysis included substantial variation between studies and was dominated by research conducted in special education settings.

These findings demonstrate the importance of examining both the educational opportunities and the conditions under which AI can support inclusion in universities. In particular, there is a need to connect technological accessibility with personalized instruction and coordinated student support rather than treating AI as an isolated educational solution.

The purpose of this article is to examine how AI can advance inclusive higher education through accessibility, personalization, and student support, while identifying the theoretical principles, research evidence, and implementation requirements relevant to universities.

The article addresses three research questions:

1. How can AI technologies improve educational accessibility for students with disabilities in higher education?
2. In what ways can AI-supported personalization strengthen learning participation and academic development?
3. What institutional and ethical conditions are necessary for AI to contribute to sustainable inclusive higher education?

2. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF AI-SUPPORTED INCLUSIVE HIGHER EDUCATION

2.1. Inclusive Education and Educational Equity

Inclusive education extends beyond the admission of students with disabilities into mainstream educational institutions. It

requires universities to identify and reduce barriers that prevent students from participating meaningfully in learning and campus life. This perspective places responsibility not only on individual students but also on educational institutions, teaching practices, learning environments, and support systems. Educational equity does not necessarily mean providing identical resources to every student. Rather, it involves ensuring that students have appropriate opportunities and support to achieve shared educational objectives. For example, a student with a visual impairment may require accessible digital texts or screen-reader-compatible materials, while a student with a hearing impairment may benefit from accurate captions and transcripts. Within this framework, AI may help universities respond to different learning requirements without lowering academic expectations. Its contribution lies in facilitating alternative ways to access information, interact with educational content, and demonstrate knowledge. However, technology should not be viewed as a substitute for institutional responsibility. Accessible infrastructure, reasonable accommodations, inclusive teaching, and effective support services remain essential. AI is most valuable when it strengthens these arrangements rather than being used to compensate for their absence.

2.2. Universal Design for Learning

Universal Design for Learning (UDL) provides an important theoretical foundation for the use of AI in inclusive education. UDL emphasizes multiple means of engagement, representation, and action and expression, recognizing that students differ in how they access information, maintain motivation, and demonstrate their knowledge. AI-supported educational environments can help operationalize these principles. For example, generative AI may assist teachers in preparing alternative explanations of a difficult concept, while speech technologies can make written or spoken information available in different formats. Adaptive learning systems may also recommend additional practice according to a student's progress.

These applications can benefit students with disabilities as well as students who experience language barriers, gaps in prior knowledge, or difficulties with particular learning tasks.

Nevertheless, the relationship between AI and UDL should be understood critically. An AI system does not automatically produce inclusive learning merely because it offers personalization. Its outputs must be accessible, accurate,

relevant to course objectives, and compatible with students' preferred methods of interaction. Accordingly, UDL provides a pedagogical basis for evaluating AI applications according to whether they expand meaningful learning opportunities rather than simply introduce new technological features.

2.3. Sociocultural Learning and Human–AI Interaction

Sociocultural perspectives emphasize that learning develops through interaction with teachers, peers, educational resources, and the wider learning environment. From this perspective, AI should be understood as a mediating tool that can support learning activities but cannot independently reproduce all the social and pedagogical functions of a teacher. AI-supported tutors, conversational systems, and adaptive learning platforms may provide additional explanations, immediate feedback, and opportunities for independent practice. These functions can be particularly valuable when students need to revisit difficult material or require alternative forms of explanation. Zhang et al. (2026) used cultural-historical activity theory to examine AI-based interventions for students with disabilities. Their approach highlights the importance of considering not only the technology itself but also interactions among learners, tools, educational objectives, and the surrounding community.

This perspective suggests that the effectiveness of AI depends partly on how it is incorporated into educational relationships. Students need opportunities to make decisions, communicate with teachers, collaborate with peers, and participate actively in learning. Therefore, AI should support student agency and human interaction rather than create unnecessary dependence on automated systems.

3. METHODOLOGY

This article adopts an integrative literature review approach to examine theoretical perspectives and research evidence concerning AI-supported inclusive higher education. An integrative approach is appropriate for a developing research field in which evidence includes systematic reviews, quantitative studies, qualitative investigations, and conceptual analyses. The literature synthesis draws on published research concerning AI-powered assistive technologies, adaptive learning, educational personalization, and the accessibility of higher education. Particular attention is given to studies that directly address students with disabilities, as well as reviews that identify institutional and ethical considerations.

The principal sources include the integrative review by

Dumitru et al. (2025), the systematic review and meta-analysis by Zhang et al. (2026), the systematic review by Voultsiou and Moussiades (2025), and UNESCO's guidance on generative AI in education and research (Miao & Holmes, 2023). These sources were selected for their relevance to the article's research questions and their discussion of educational applications, reported outcomes, and implementation challenges.

The literature was examined thematically across three analytical dimensions: accessibility, personalization, and student support. Findings were compared to identify recurring benefits, limitations, and implications for higher education institutions. Because this article synthesizes existing publications rather than reporting new participant data, it does not claim to provide original experimental findings or a newly conducted systematic review. The conclusions are therefore based on the available literature and should be interpreted in light of differences in study populations, technologies, research designs, and educational settings.

4. RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. AI as a Means of Improving Educational Accessibility

Accessibility is a fundamental requirement of inclusive higher education. Students may be prevented from fully participating in university courses when educational content is available only in formats that do not meet their needs. AI-powered assistive technologies offer opportunities to reduce these barriers by providing alternative means of accessing information. Speech recognition, automatic captioning, text-to-speech applications, intelligent screen-reading tools, and AI-supported image descriptions can help make lectures, digital textbooks, presentations, and online learning platforms more accessible. Students with visual impairments may benefit from descriptions of visual content, while students with hearing impairments may use captions and transcripts to follow lectures and discussions. AI can also assist students who experience difficulties processing complex academic language. For instance, an AI application may provide a simpler explanation of a theoretical concept or help organize information into a more accessible structure. Such assistance may be especially useful when students encounter unfamiliar terminology or dense academic texts.

Research reviews support the potential of these technologies. Dumitru et al. (2025) identified AI-powered assistive tools as

an important means of improving accessibility for students with physical, sensory, and learning disabilities. Their synthesis also emphasized that accessible educational experiences depend on appropriate implementation and attention to individual requirements.

However, accessibility improvements should not be assumed to occur automatically. Speech recognition may perform differently across accents and languages, image descriptions may omit important details, and automatically generated captions may contain errors. These limitations are especially relevant in multilingual educational environments. Universities should therefore evaluate AI tools with students who use them, ensure compatibility with assistive technologies, and provide alternative accessible formats. Accessibility should be assessed through actual student experience rather than the mere availability of software.

4.2. Personalized Learning and Individualized Educational Pathways

Personalization represents a second major opportunity for AI-supported inclusion. Students differ in their previous knowledge, learning pace, preferred forms of explanation, and need for additional practice. Conventional teaching approaches may find it difficult to respond to all these differences within a single lesson. AI-supported adaptive learning systems can analyze learning interactions and recommend exercises, explanations, or resources according to students' demonstrated progress. Generative AI can also provide alternative explanations, create practice questions, and support revision. For students with disabilities, these capabilities may offer greater flexibility. A student who requires additional time to understand a topic can revisit explanations independently, while a student who benefits from structured guidance can receive step-by-step assistance with a learning task. Such support may help students participate in mainstream courses without requiring identical learning processes.

The quantitative evidence is encouraging but requires careful interpretation. Zhang et al. (2026) synthesized 29 quasi-experimental and experimental studies examining AI-based interventions for students with disabilities. The authors reported a statistically significant average effect of Hedges' ($g = 0.588$), with a 95% confidence interval of 0.349 to 0.826. This represents a medium positive effect according to conventional effect-size interpretation. However, after adjustment for potential publication bias, the estimated effect

was smaller, at ($g = 0.269$), with a 95% confidence interval of 0.108 to 0.431. The authors also reported substantial heterogeneity across the studies.

These results support the potential value of AI-based interventions, but they do not establish that every AI application will improve learning. Importantly, the studies primarily involved special education or other non-general educational settings, and the findings cannot be transferred directly to mainstream universities without further investigation. For higher education institutions, the practical implication is that personalization should be evaluated according to its effect on learning quality, student autonomy, and participation. AI recommendations should supplement teachers' professional judgment and should not impose restrictive labels or fixed expectations on students.

4.3. AI and Student Engagement

Student engagement involves participation in learning activities, interaction with educational content, and a sense of involvement in the academic community. For students with disabilities, engagement may be affected by inaccessible materials, communication barriers, inadequate accommodations, or limited opportunities to participate in group activities. AI-supported technologies can help reduce some of these obstacles. Automatic transcripts allow students to revisit lecture content, while conversational tools can provide additional opportunities to ask questions and clarify unfamiliar concepts. Accessible digital learning platforms can also facilitate participation in online discussions and collaborative assignments.

In addition, AI may help teachers prepare alternative learning activities and adapt the presentation of course materials. Such flexibility can make educational experiences more responsive to students' needs. Nevertheless, engagement should not be measured solely through the frequency of digital interactions or the time students spend on a platform. A student may access a learning system frequently without experiencing meaningful academic or social inclusion. Similarly, students may prefer printed materials, face-to-face consultations, or other forms of participation.

Consequently, universities should evaluate engagement through a combination of student feedback, participation in academic activities, accessibility assessments, and qualitative accounts of learning experiences.

4.4. Strengthening Student Support Services

Inclusive education requires coordinated support extending beyond classroom instruction. Students may need assistance with accessible materials, academic advising, assistive technology, examinations, administrative procedures, and communication with university services.

AI-supported systems may help universities organize these services and respond more efficiently to common student requests. For example, an accessible virtual assistant could explain how to request examination accommodations, identify the relevant university support office, or provide information about available learning resources. AI may also assist educators in identifying situations in which additional support could be appropriate. Learning analytics can reveal patterns such as repeated difficulties with coursework or reduced participation. These patterns may prompt a teacher or support specialist to contact a student and explore whether additional assistance is needed.

Such systems must be used carefully. A reduction in platform activity does not necessarily indicate academic difficulty, and predictive models may generate inaccurate or biased conclusions. Students should not be automatically classified as high-risk or denied opportunities on the basis of algorithmic predictions. A more appropriate approach is to use AI-generated information as a prompt for human review and supportive intervention. Decisions concerning accommodations, academic progression, and access to educational opportunities should involve qualified staff and, where appropriate, the student concerned.

At the institutional level, AI can therefore contribute to more coordinated student support when it is integrated into clear procedures, accessible communication channels, and accountable decision-making structures.

4.5. Institutional and Ethical Conditions for Effective Implementation

The benefits of AI depend on the institutional environment in which it is introduced. Universities require accessible digital infrastructure, reliable internet access, staff training, and clear policies governing the use of educational data. UNESCO's guidance on generative AI emphasizes a human-centred approach that addresses privacy, equity, safety, and educational appropriateness (Miao & Holmes, 2023). These principles are particularly important in inclusive education because students may need to share information about their accessibility requirements to obtain appropriate support.

Institutions should minimize the collection of sensitive information and avoid entering identifiable disability-related data into AI services without suitable authorization and safeguards. They should also examine whether technologies work effectively for students with different disabilities, languages, and communication preferences.

Another consideration is the digital divide. Students who lack suitable devices, reliable connectivity, or digital skills may receive fewer benefits from AI-supported learning. If universities introduce AI without addressing these inequalities, the technology may reproduce or intensify existing educational disadvantages. Professional development is therefore essential. Teachers need to understand both the capabilities and limitations of AI tools, while students should be supported in using them critically and responsibly. Universities should also involve students with disabilities in the design, testing, and evaluation of AI-supported services.

The central principle is that AI implementation should be guided by educational need rather than technological novelty. Institutions should adopt technologies when there is a clear justification for their use and evaluate them against measurable accessibility and inclusion objectives.

5. AN INTEGRATED CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR AI-SUPPORTED INCLUSIVE HIGHER EDUCATION

Based on the literature reviewed, this article proposes a conceptual framework connecting three mutually reinforcing dimensions of AI-supported inclusion.

The first dimension is accessible learning, which concerns the availability of educational information in formats that students can use. Relevant technologies include speech-to-text systems, text-to-speech applications, automatic captioning, and AI-supported descriptions of visual materials. The second dimension is personalized learning, which concerns the adaptation of educational content, explanations, practice activities, and feedback to students' learning requirements. Adaptive learning systems and AI-supported tutoring can contribute to this dimension when they are aligned with course objectives and accessible to users. The third dimension is coordinated student support, which concerns the use of AI to improve communication, organize support services, and identify situations that may require additional assistance. This dimension depends on institutional procedures, professional oversight, and collaboration between students, teachers, and

support specialists. These dimensions are expected to contribute to broader educational outcomes, including participation, student satisfaction, academic progression, and independence. However, the framework treats these outcomes as objectives to be evaluated rather than guaranteed consequences of AI adoption.

The relationships can be expressed as follows: AI-enabled accessibility + personalized learning + coordinated student support + improved opportunities for inclusive participation and academic development. The relationship is influenced by several enabling conditions: accessible infrastructure, teacher competence, student involvement, data protection, institutional leadership, and equitable access to technology. This conceptual framework provides a basis for future empirical research. Universities could evaluate it through accessibility audits, student surveys, interviews with students with disabilities, records of support-service use, and comparisons of academic progression over time. Any evaluation should distinguish the contribution of AI from other institutional changes and should avoid treating disability status as an indicator of academic ability.

6. IMPLICATIONS FOR HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS

The findings suggest that universities should adopt a coordinated rather than fragmented approach to AI-supported inclusion. Introducing an AI application without examining its accessibility, reliability, and relevance to student needs may produce limited benefits. Institutions should first identify the barriers experienced by students and determine which technologies can address them. Students with disabilities should be involved in this process because their experiences provide essential information about the practical usefulness of proposed solutions. Universities should also establish evaluation procedures before implementing new AI systems. These procedures may examine the accessibility of course materials, the reliability of captions and alternative formats, students' experiences of personalized support, and the responsiveness of university services. Teacher training should include practical guidance on selecting accessible tools, checking AI-generated content, protecting student information, and maintaining appropriate human involvement. Staff should also understand that students must retain meaningful alternatives when an AI tool is unsuitable for their needs.

Finally, institutional evaluation should extend beyond technology adoption. The number of AI tools installed or the frequency of platform use does not demonstrate that education has become more inclusive. More meaningful indicators include students' ability to access course materials, participate in learning activities, obtain appropriate support, and progress through their programmes.

7. LIMITATIONS AND DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

The available research provides encouraging evidence of AI's potential, but several limitations remain. Studies differ in their research designs, educational settings, participant characteristics, and definitions of successful inclusion. Findings from special education, school environments, or short-term interventions may not reflect the experiences of university students in mainstream higher education.

The evidence is also uneven across disability groups. Some technologies have received more research attention than others, and the needs of students with multiple disabilities or less frequently studied conditions remain insufficiently represented. Further research is needed to understand how AI performs across different languages, disciplines, institutional contexts, and levels of digital accessibility. Future studies should examine not only immediate learning outcomes but also longer-term indicators, including student satisfaction, academic progression, retention, graduation, social participation, and transition into employment. Longitudinal and mixed-methods research could help explain how students experience AI-supported inclusion and which institutional conditions contribute to positive outcomes.

Researchers should also investigate possible unintended consequences, including algorithmic bias, privacy risks, inaccessible interfaces, overdependence on automated assistance, and reduced opportunities for human interaction. In addition, more institution-based research is needed in Central Asian higher education. Studies involving universities in Uzbekistan could examine how AI-supported accessibility, personalized learning, and coordinated student services operate under local conditions, including multilingual teaching environments, differences in digital infrastructure, and available support resources. Such research would provide context-specific evidence rather than assuming that findings from other educational systems apply equally.

8. CONCLUSION

Artificial intelligence offers meaningful opportunities to advance inclusive higher education through improved accessibility, personalized learning, and coordinated student support. AI-powered assistive technologies can make educational materials more accessible, while adaptive learning systems can provide flexible explanations and feedback. AI-supported communication and institutional services may also help students navigate academic requirements and obtain appropriate assistance. Published research provides evidence of positive effects from AI-based interventions for students with disabilities, although the strength and applicability of these findings vary across educational settings. The evidence supports cautious optimism rather than the assumption that AI will automatically improve every dimension of inclusion. The main contribution of this article is its integrated perspective on accessibility, personalization, and student support as connected dimensions of inclusive higher education. This perspective suggests that universities should evaluate AI according to its contribution to equitable participation and meaningful learning rather than technological adoption alone. Ultimately, the positive role of AI depends on responsible implementation, accessible infrastructure, qualified educators, student involvement, and effective institutional governance. When these conditions are present, AI can complement human expertise and help universities develop more flexible, responsive, and equitable learning environments.

REFERENCES

1. CAST. (2024). Universal design for learning guidelines version 3.0. <https://udlguidelines.cast.org/>
2. Chalkiadakis, A., Seremetaki, A., Kanellou, A., Kallishi, M., Morfopoulou, A., Moraitaki, M., & Mastrokourou, S. (2024). Impact of artificial intelligence and virtual reality on educational inclusion: A systematic review of technologies supporting students with disabilities. *Education Sciences*, 14(11), Article 1223. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14111223>
3. Cumming, T. M., & Rose, M. C. (2022). Exploring universal design for learning as an accessibility tool in higher education: A review of the current literature. *The Australian Educational Researcher*, 49, 1025–1043. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13384-021-00471-7>
4. Dumitru, C., Abdulsahib, G. M., Khalaf, O. I., & Bennour, A. (2026). Integrating artificial intelligence in supporting students with disabilities in higher education: An integrative review. *Technology and Disability*, 38(1), 3–24. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10554181251355428>
5. European Commission. (2022). Ethical guidelines on the use of artificial intelligence (AI) and data in teaching and learning for educators. <https://education.ec.europa.eu/focus-topics/digital-education/action-plan/action-6>
6. Miao, F., & Holmes, W. (2021). AI and education: Guidance for policy-makers. UNESCO. <https://doi.org/10.54675/PCSP7350>
7. Miao, F., & Holmes, W. (2023). Guidance for generative AI in education and research. UNESCO. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000386693>
8. Schreffler, J., Vasquez, E., III, Chini, J., & James, W. (2019). Universal Design for Learning in postsecondary STEM education for students with disabilities: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 6, Article 8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-019-0161-8>
9. United Nations. (2006). Convention on the rights of persons with disabilities. <https://www.un.org/development/desa/disabilities/convention-on-the-rights-of-persons-with-disabilities.html>
10. United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. <https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda>
11. UNESCO. (2020). Global education monitoring report 2020: Inclusion and education: All means all. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000373718>
12. UNESCO. (2021). Recommendation on the ethics of artificial intelligence. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000381137>
13. UNESCO. (2023). Global education monitoring report 2023: Technology in education: A tool on whose terms? <https://www.unesco.org/gem-report/en/technology>
14. UNESCO. (2024). AI competency framework for teachers. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/>
15. Voultsiou, E., & Moussiades, L. (2025). A systematic review of AI, VR, and LLM applications in special education: Opportunities, challenges, and future directions. *Education and Information Technologies*, 30,

19141–19181. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-025-13550-4>

16. World Wide Web Consortium. (2023). Web content accessibility guidelines (WCAG) 2.2. <https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG22/>
17. Yuensook, T., Jantakoon, T., & Limpinan, P. (2026). AI-driven adaptive learning systems in higher education: A systematic review. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 15(2), 117–132. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jel.v15n2p117>
18. Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education—Where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16, Article 39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0>
19. Zhang, L., Carter, R. A., Jr., Liu, Y., & Peng, P. (2026). Let's CHAT about artificial intelligence for students with disabilities: A systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 96(1), 215–257. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543241293424>