

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Linguistic Features of Women's Speech in Conflict Discourse in Uzbek And English Literature

Qudratullayeva Muniraxon Abrorjon qizi

A teacher and PhD researcher at Kokand state university, Kokand, Uzbekistan

VOLUME: Vol.06 Issue06 2026

PAGE: 16-20

Copyright © 2026 European International Journal of Pedagogics, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License. Licensed under Creative Commons License a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

## Abstract

This article examines the linguistic features of women's speech in conflict discourse in Uzbek and English literature. The study focuses on how female characters express disagreement, emotional resistance, accusation, protest, self-defence, and moral evaluation in conflict-based dialogues. The findings show that women's speech in both literary traditions functions as a means of identity construction, emotional expression, social criticism, and narrative development. The comparative analysis demonstrates that although Uzbek and English female characters differ in linguistic expression due to cultural context, they share common strategies of verbal resistance and self-representation in conflict situations.

## KEYWORDS

Women's speech, conflict discourse, gender linguistics, female characters, literary dialogue, discourse analysis.

## INTRODUCTION

Language is the mirror of a nation. Through language, humanity develops, communicates with one another, and shares the fruits of its intellect with others. Language can manifest itself in different individuals in unique forms and with distinctive characteristics. In this regard, it should be noted that language also differs according to gender, that is, between male and female speakers. Through the words used and the manner of speech, we can understand that there are significant differences in the expression of gender.

Studying and classifying languages through literary texts is considered one of the important areas of research, as this process creates numerous opportunities for analyzing the differences and similarities between languages. It should also be emphasized that Western and Eastern speech cultures have their own similar and distinctive features, which can also be observed through literary discourse.

The most prominent modern thinker to discuss the differences

between male and female literary styles is Virginia Woolf, writing at the beginning of the twentieth century. In a review of Dorothy Richardson's novel *Revolving Lights* (1923), she describes the female sentence as "of a more elastic fibre than the old, capable of stretching to the extreme, of suspending the frailest particles, of enveloping the vaguest shapes" (Woolf 1990b: 72). Assuming the traditional literary sentence to be masculine, she argues that it simply does not fit women, who need something less pompous and more elastic which they can bend in different ways to suit their purpose. However, descriptions such as "more elastic," "too loose, too heavy, too pompous" are annoyingly vague and impossible to quantify.

## METHODS

The research is based on a qualitative comparative analysis of women's speech in Uzbek and English literary texts. The material of the study consists of conflict-based dialogues involving female characters. The selected texts represent

different cultural and literary traditions and provide examples of women's verbal behaviour in situations of disagreement, emotional tension, social pressure, and moral confrontation.

The methodological basis of the article includes discourse analysis, gender linguistics, stylistic analysis, and comparative literary-linguistic interpretation. Discourse analysis is used to examine how female characters construct meaning in conflict situations. Gender-linguistic analysis helps to identify speech patterns associated with femininity, social roles, power relations, and communicative strategies. Stylistic analysis is applied to reveal lexical, syntactic, rhetorical, and emotional features of women's speech. The comparative method is used to identify similarities and differences between Uzbek and English literary traditions.

It is not claimed that all women speak in the same way. Instead, it approaches women's speech as a context-dependent literary and social phenomenon. Female characters' language is interpreted in relation to plot, social status, cultural environment, communicative intention, and the nature of the conflict. The study of women's speech has long occupied an important place in gender linguistics. Robin Lakoff's well-known work *Language and Woman's Place* introduced the idea that women's language is socially shaped and often associated with politeness, hesitation, emotional colouring, intensifiers, and indirectness. Later studies in gender and discourse emphasized that women's speech should not be understood as a fixed biological category, but as a social and cultural performance shaped by context, status, power, and communicative goals. In literary texts, women's speech is especially meaningful because it reflects both linguistic norms and artistic representation. Female characters often speak within social restrictions imposed by family, marriage, class, morality, tradition, or patriarchal authority. Therefore, when women participate in conflict discourse, their language may express not only personal disagreement but also resistance to social expectations. Conflict discourse may appear in the form of quarrels, accusations, moral debates, emotional confrontations, ironic replies, silent resistance, or indirect protest.

In Uzbek literature, women's speech is deeply connected with national mentality, family values, honour, modesty, patience, and moral judgement. Female characters often express conflict through indirect criticism, emotionally coloured vocabulary, rhetorical questions, appeals to conscience, and culturally marked evaluative expressions. In English literature,

especially in novels and dramas that represent social and gender conflicts, female characters often use direct confrontation, irony, argumentative statements, and individualistic self-expression.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

While researching, it is clear that women's speech in conflict discourse performs several important functions in both Uzbek and English literature. It can reveal emotional tension, expresses disagreement, constructs female identity, challenges social injustice, and develops the literary conflict. One of the most visible features of women's speech in conflict discourse is the frequent use of emotional and evaluative vocabulary. In Uzbek literary texts, female characters often use words and expressions connected with pain, shame, patience, loyalty, betrayal, and moral judgement. Their speech may include emotionally charged units that express sorrow, disappointment, anger, or reproach. Such vocabulary is closely connected with family relations and social morality. In Uzbek women's conflict speech, evaluation is often expressed through moral categories. A female character may not simply say that another person is wrong; she may evaluate the action as shameful, dishonourable, cruel, unfair, or against conscience. This shows that conflict speech is not only personal but also ethical. The woman speaks as a moral evaluator of the situation. In English literary texts, female characters also use evaluative vocabulary, but it is often connected with personal rights, dignity, independence, and emotional truth. Their conflict speech may contain direct statements of dissatisfaction, accusations of injustice, and expressions of self-respect. In this respect, English women's conflict discourse often appears more individualistic, while Uzbek women's conflict discourse is more strongly connected with family, honour, and social duty.

In rhetorical questions are common in women's conflict speech in both Uzbek and English literature. They are used to express emotional pressure, moral accusation, disbelief, or protest. In Uzbek texts, rhetorical questions often function as indirect criticism. A woman may ask a question not to receive an answer, but to make the interlocutor feel guilt or shame. This strategy allows the speaker to express disagreement while maintaining a culturally acceptable form of communication. For example, instead of giving a direct accusation, a female character may use a question that implies judgement: "Is this justice?", "Did I deserve this?", or "What will people say?" Such questions reveal the connection

between personal pain and social evaluation. In English literature, rhetorical questions may be more confrontational and argumentative. Female characters use them to challenge male authority, question social norms, or expose hypocrisy. Exclamatory sentences also intensify conflict discourse. They show anger, fear, despair, or emotional climax. In both traditions, rhetorical questions and exclamations make women's speech expressive and dramatic. Indirectness is one of the significant features of women's speech in Uzbek conflict discourse. Due to cultural expectations of modesty, respect, and restraint, female characters may avoid open confrontation. Instead, they express protest through hints, silence, symbolic expressions, proverbs, or emotionally coloured remarks. This does not mean that women's speech is weak; rather, indirectness becomes a strategic form of resistance.

Repetition is another important linguistic feature of women's speech in conflict discourse. In emotionally tense situations, female characters repeat words, phrases, or syntactic structures to emphasize pain, anger, or disbelief. Repetition may show psychological pressure and emotional instability, but it may also strengthen the persuasive force of speech. In Uzbek literary conflict discourse, repetition often appears together with emotional appeals and evaluative expressions. It creates rhythm and increases dramatic tension. In English literary texts, repetition may serve both emotional and argumentative purposes. A female character may repeat a key word to insist on her position, reject an accusation, or demand recognition. Intensifiers are also frequent. Words such as "very," "so," "never," "always," and their Uzbek equivalents help to strengthen emotional meaning. Intensification shows that conflict discourse is not neutral communication; it is a speech situation where emotions and social positions are strongly involved.

Women's conflict speech is closely connected with politeness and impoliteness strategies. In many Uzbek literary texts, female characters try to maintain politeness even in conflict. Respectful forms of address, indirect criticism, and restrained expression are often used, especially when the interlocutor is older, male, or socially superior. However, when the emotional pressure becomes strong, politeness may break down and be replaced by direct accusation or lament.

In English literature, women's conflict speech may more openly violate politeness norms, especially when female characters resist oppression or defend their autonomy. Direct

disagreement, interruption, irony, and refusal become linguistic signs of resistance. This difference reflects broader cultural tendencies: Uzbek female characters are often represented within family and community-based moral systems, while English female characters are frequently represented within individualistic struggles for self-expression.

## **DISCUSSION**

Women's speech in conflict discourse should not be interpreted through stereotypes such as emotional weakness, passivity, or excessive politeness. Instead, it should be understood as a complex communicative system shaped by gender roles, cultural norms, literary conventions, and individual agency. In Uzbek literature, women's conflict speech is strongly influenced by collectivist values, family honour, social reputation, and moral responsibility. Female characters often express conflict through indirectness, rhetorical questions, emotional evaluation, and culturally marked expressions. Their speech reflects the tension between personal suffering and social duty. Even when they do not openly challenge authority, their language may reveal hidden resistance and moral strength. In English literature, women's conflict speech often demonstrates personal autonomy, direct disagreement, irony, and critical self-expression. Female characters may openly question social norms, reject male authority, and defend their individual rights. Their speech is frequently argumentative and self-assertive. However, this does not mean that English women's speech is always direct or Uzbek women's speech is always indirect. Both traditions include diverse female voices, and the form of conflict speech depends on genre, period, authorial style, and social context.

The comparison shows that female conflict discourse in both Uzbek and English literature has several common features. These include emotional vocabulary, rhetorical questions, repetition, evaluative expressions, and strategies of self-defence. The main difference lies in cultural orientation. Uzbek women's conflict speech is often more ethically and socially coded, while English women's conflict speech is more frequently connected with individual autonomy and personal rights.

## **CONCLUSION**

Women's speech in conflict discourse in Uzbek and English literature is a rich object of linguistic and literary analysis. It reflects emotional experience, social position, cultural values,

gender expectations, and personal resistance. The study shows that female characters use various linguistic strategies in conflict situations, including emotional and evaluative vocabulary, rhetorical questions, exclamations, repetition, indirect criticism, irony, politeness strategies, and silence.

In Uzbek literature, women's conflict speech is often shaped by moral evaluation, family values, social reputation, and cultural norms of modesty and respect. Female characters tend to express disagreement through indirectness, emotional appeal, and rhetorical forms. In English literature, women's conflict speech more often includes direct confrontation, irony, argumentative statements, and explicit claims of personal autonomy.

Despite these differences, both literary traditions show that women's speech in conflict discourse is not passive. It is a powerful means of self-expression, moral judgement, and resistance. Female characters use language to defend dignity, question injustice, and reveal hidden tensions in society. Therefore, the linguistic study of women's conflict speech helps to understand not only literary characters but also broader cultural models of gender, power, and communication.

Future research may expand this topic by analyzing specific works from Uzbek and English literature, comparing female characters from different historical periods, or applying corpus-based methods to identify recurrent lexical and pragmatic patterns in women's conflict discourse.

## REFERENCES

1. Erkinova, M. G. (n.d.). O'zbek ayollari nutqida baho bildiruvchi birliklar. Tashkent davlat o'zbek tili va adabiyoti universiteti.
2. Kendall, S., & Tannen, D. (2001). Discourse and gender. In D. Schiffrin, D. Tannen, & H. Hamilton (Eds.), *The Handbook of Discourse Analysis*. Blackwell.
3. Lakoff, R. (1973). Language and woman's place. *Language in Society*, 2(1), 45–80.
4. Рахмонова, Н. (2024). ЛИНГВИСТИЧЕСКИЕ ОСНОВЫ КОСМЕТОЛОГИЧЕСКОЙ ТЕРМИНОЛОГИИ В АНГЛИЙСКОМ, РУССКОМ И УЗБЕКСКОМ ЯЗЫКАХ И ПРОБЛЕМЫ ПЕРЕВОДА. *Talqin va tadqiqotlar ilmiy-uslubiy jurnali*, 2(56), 134-140.
5. Рахмонова, Н. (2024). ЛЕКСИКО-СЕМАНТИЧЕСКИЙ АНАЛИЗ КОСМЕТОЛОГИЧЕСКИХ ТЕРМИНОВ В АНГЛИЙСКОМ, РУССКОМ И УЗБЕКСКОМ ЯЗЫКАХ: СТРАТЕГИИ ЗАИМСТВОВАНИЯ И ПРОБЛЕМЫ ИНТЕРПРЕТАЦИИ. *Talqin va tadqiqotlar ilmiy-uslubiy jurnali*, 2(56), 127-133.
6. Raxmonova, N. (2024). THE ROLE OF EDUCATIONAL GAMES IN TEACHING ENGLISH AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE. *University Research Base*, 900-904.
7. Rahmonova, N. (2024). TEACHING ENGLISH THROUGH LITERATURE AND CONNECTING WITH LANGUAGE TEACHING. *University Research Base*, 224-229.
8. Raxmonova, N. (2024). TEACHER UNDERSTANDING, RECOGNIZING LEARNING CHALLENGES, AND EMPLOYING EFFECTIVE INSTRUCTIONAL TECHNIQUES TO ENHANCE ENGLISH GRAMMAR PROFICIENCY. *University Research Base*, 875-879.
9. Aliyevna, Y. D. (2023). THE ISSUE OF THE SUBJECT AND ITS RELATION TO SYNTACTICS AND SEMANTICS. *Gospodarka i Innowacje*, 36, 214-217.
10. Aliyevna, Y. D. (2023). CATEGORIZATION OF LANGUAGE UNITS. *Gospodarka i Innowacje*, 36, 343-345.
11. Aliyevna, Y. D., & Omarovna, S. G. (2023). LEXICAL CONVERSION AND ITS REALIZATION. *Gospodarka i Innowacje*, 36, 346-350.
12. Yuldasheva, D. A. (2021). Some essential trends in teaching second language vocabulary. *Academic research in educational sciences*, 2(6), 782-786.
13. Yuldasheva, D. A. (2017). Innovative methods of teaching English to young children. *Molodoy uchenyy*, (4.2), 138-2.
14. Yuldasheva, D. (2023). SUB'YEKTNING BADIY DISKURSDAGI FUNKSIYASI. Педагогика и психология в современном мире: теоретические и практические исследования, 2(8), 95-98.
15. Yuldasheva, D. (2023). INGLIZ TILIDA SUB'YEKT KATEGORIYASI KONSEPTUAL VOQEALANISHINING FUNKSIONAL TABIATI. Педагогика и психология в современном мире: теоретические и практические исследования, 2(8), 91-94.
16. Karimova, V. V., & Yuldasheva, D. A. (2016). The Responsibility of a Teacher for Increasing the Probability of Advancing Student Achievement. *Molodoy uchenyy*, 3-

1.

- 17.** Rajapova, M. (2023). Study of discourse and specific characteristics of allegory. Наука и технология в современном мире, 2(17), 53-55.
- 18.** Sotvoldiyev, I., & Rajapova, M. (2023). Easy methods of reading and reading strategies. In International Conference on Higher Education Teaching (Vol. 1, No. 3, pp. 133-139).
- 19.** Haydarova, G., & Haydaraliyeva, R. (2024). FEATURES AND CHARACTERISTICS OF KUNTUGMISH DOSTON. Академические исследования в современной науке, 3(1), 3236.