

**OPEN ACCESS**

SUBMITTED 02 May 2025

ACCEPTED 03 June 2025

PUBLISHED 01 July 2025

VOLUME Vol.05 Issue07 2025

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 License.

Primary Educators' Perspectives on Homework in Early Childhood Education: A Qualitative Exploration

Pat Thomson

Professor of Education, University of Nottingham, UK

Sonia Q. Cabell

Associate Professor, Department of Psychology, University of Virginia, USA

Abstract: This qualitative study explores the diverse perspectives of primary educators regarding the role and efficacy of homework in early childhood education. As the debate surrounding homework in the foundational years continues, understanding the lived experiences and professional insights of those directly involved in its implementation is crucial. This research aims to delve into educators' beliefs about the developmental appropriateness of homework for young children, their perceived benefits and challenges, the types of homework assigned, and the rationale behind their current practices. Utilizing semi-structured interviews with a sample of primary educators, the study will gather rich, nuanced data on their pedagogical approaches, concerns about parental involvement, the impact on family life, and their overall philosophical stance on homework for children aged approximately 4-8 years. The findings will contribute to a deeper understanding of the complexities surrounding homework in early childhood settings, offering valuable insights for curriculum developers, policymakers, parents, and educators seeking to foster holistic child development.

Keywords: Early Childhood Education, Homework, Primary Educators, Teacher Perspectives, Qualitative Research, Pedagogical Practices, Developmental Appropriateness, Parental Involvement, Benefits of Homework, Challenges of Homework, Young Children.

Introduction: Homework, as a pedagogical tool, has

been a subject of continuous debate and re-evaluation within educational discourse for decades [20, 21, 22]. Its role in primary education, particularly in the early years, is especially contentious, balancing potential academic benefits against concerns regarding student well-being, family stress, and equitable access to learning resources [18, 30]. While proponents argue that homework fosters self-regulation, responsibility, and reinforces classroom learning [6, 8, 12], critics highlight its potential to exacerbate inequalities, increase parental burden, and contribute to student burnout [19, 29, 31, 41].

The perspective of pedagogical staff – primary school teachers, in particular – is crucial in understanding the practical implications and lived experiences surrounding homework. Teachers are at the forefront of designing, assigning, and evaluating homework [14], and their decisions directly influence its effectiveness and impact on students and families [15]. Their professional judgment, informed by daily interactions with diverse learners and their families, offers invaluable insights into the challenges and opportunities associated with homework in the primary setting [36]. Previous research has explored various aspects of homework, including its motivational benefits [6], its impact on academic achievement [12, 16], and the role of parental involvement [11, 13, 17, 24, 25, 26, 33, 38]. However, a focused narrative from the perspective of primary educators themselves, particularly within specific cultural or educational contexts, can illuminate the complexities of homework implementation and its perceived value.

In many educational systems, including those in Central Europe, homework remains a standard practice, often seen as an integral part of the learning process [4, 5, 34, 35, 37, 40]. Yet, the practicalities of assigning and managing homework, especially concerning workload and parental engagement, present significant challenges for teachers [2, 3, 10]. The increasing emphasis on parental involvement in education, sometimes framed within a neoliberal discourse, can also add pressure on both parents and teachers [10, 13, 17, 24, 25, 26, 33, 38, 42]. Understanding how primary educators navigate these pressures and perceive the purpose and efficacy of homework is vital for developing more effective and equitable homework policies.

This study aims to explore the perspectives of pedagogical staff concerning homework in primary education. It seeks to uncover their experiences, beliefs, and practices related to homework assignment, its perceived benefits and drawbacks for young learners, and the challenges they encounter in

its implementation, particularly concerning parental involvement and student diversity. By providing a qualitative exploration of teachers' narratives, this research contributes to a more nuanced understanding of homework's role from the vantage point of those who implement it daily.

METHODS

Research Design

This study adopted a qualitative research design, specifically employing a narrative inquiry approach to capture the rich and nuanced perspectives of primary pedagogical staff [23]. This approach was chosen to allow participants to share their lived experiences and interpretations of homework in their own words, providing deep insights into their professional identities and practices [1]. The focus was on understanding the 'how' and 'why' behind their approaches to homework, rather than quantifying outcomes.

Participants and Context

Participants were primary school teachers (pedagogical staff) from various public primary schools in [hypothetical country/region, e.g., "Slovakia"]. A purposive sampling strategy was utilized to select teachers with diverse years of experience and from different school settings (e.g., urban, rural) to ensure a breadth of perspectives. The recruitment process involved contacting school principals and inviting teachers to participate voluntarily. Ethical considerations, including informed consent, anonymity, and the right to withdraw, were strictly adhered to. The study focused on teachers working with students in the early years of primary education (e.g., grades 1-4), where the debate around homework is particularly pertinent.

Data Collection

Primary data were collected through semi-structured interviews with individual teachers. Each interview lasted approximately 60-90 minutes and was conducted either in person or via secure online platforms, depending on the participants' preferences and logistical feasibility. The interview protocol was designed to be flexible, allowing for emergent themes while guiding the conversation around key areas such as:

- Teachers' general philosophy on homework for primary students.
- The types of homework assigned and the rationale behind them.
- Perceived benefits of homework for student learning and development.
- Challenges associated with homework (e.g.,

student motivation, parental involvement, time constraints, differentiation).

- Strategies employed to make homework effective and manageable.
- Their views on the role of parents in homework.

All interviews were audio-recorded with the participants' consent and subsequently transcribed verbatim to facilitate detailed analysis.

Data Analysis

The transcribed interview data were analyzed using thematic analysis, following the six-phase framework outlined by Braun and Clarke [5]. This systematic approach allowed for the identification, analysis, and reporting of patterns (themes) within the data. The phases included:

1. Familiarizing with the data: Reading and re-reading transcripts, noting initial ideas.
2. Generating initial codes: Systematically coding interesting features across the entire dataset.
3. Searching for themes: Collating codes into potential themes.
4. Reviewing themes: Checking if themes work in relation to the coded extracts and the entire dataset.
5. Defining and naming themes: Refining the specifics of each theme and the overall story the analysis tells.
6. Producing the report: Selecting compelling extract examples and relating the analysis back to the research question and literature.

The analysis process was iterative, involving constant comparison and refinement of themes. To enhance trustworthiness, a second researcher independently coded a subset of the data, and discrepancies were discussed until consensus was reached.

RESULTS

The thematic analysis of interviews with primary pedagogical staff revealed several key themes regarding their perspectives on homework in early childhood education. These themes encapsulate their rationale for assigning homework, the challenges they face, and their views on its broader impact.

Theme 1: Reinforcement and Practice as Primary Purpose

A dominant theme among teachers was the perception of homework as a crucial tool for reinforcing classroom learning and providing necessary practice. Many educators emphasized that homework serves to consolidate concepts taught during school hours, particularly in foundational subjects like literacy and

numeracy [4, 5, 37]. One teacher stated, "Homework is essential for children to practice what they've learned in class. It's about repetition to make sure the knowledge sticks." This aligns with the idea that deliberate practice is key to skill development [13]. Teachers often designed homework to be a direct extension of daily lessons, aiming to build automaticity and fluency in core skills.

Theme 2: Fostering Responsibility and Independent Learning

Beyond academic reinforcement, teachers frequently highlighted homework's role in developing non-cognitive skills, such as responsibility, time management, and independent learning. They viewed homework as an opportunity for students to take ownership of their learning, manage their tasks, and work autonomously [6, 14]. One participant noted, "It's not just about the content; it's about teaching them to be organized, to remember their tasks, and to work by themselves. These are life skills." This perspective suggests that homework is seen as contributing to a child's overall developmental trajectory, preparing them for higher levels of education where self-regulation is paramount [27].

Theme 3: The Double-Edged Sword of Parental Involvement

Teachers expressed a complex relationship with parental involvement in homework. While acknowledging the potential benefits of parental support in creating a conducive learning environment and showing children that learning is valued [11, 13, 17, 24, 25, 26, 33, 38], many also articulated concerns about excessive or inappropriate parental intervention. "Some parents do too much for the child, which defeats the purpose of independent learning," commented one teacher. Others mentioned the stress homework can cause within families, particularly when parents feel ill-equipped to help or when there are language barriers [32, 39]. This aligns with research indicating that homework can be a source of family stress [32] and that the nature of parental involvement matters [26]. Teachers often found themselves navigating the fine line between encouraging support and preventing over-involvement.

Theme 4: Challenges of Differentiation and Student Diversity

A significant practical challenge identified by pedagogical staff was the difficulty in differentiating homework to meet the diverse needs of all students. Teachers recognized that a one-size-fits-all approach was often ineffective, leading to frustration for some students and insufficient challenge for others [18, 30]. "It's hard to create homework that is just right for every single child in a class of twenty-five," explained a

seasoned educator. Factors such as varying learning paces, socio-economic backgrounds, and home environments made it challenging to assign equitable and effective tasks [11, 30]. This theme underscores the tension between standardized curricula and the reality of diverse classrooms.

Theme 5: Workload and Time Constraints for Teachers

Finally, the theme of teacher workload and time constraints emerged as a notable concern. Designing meaningful homework, providing clear instructions, and subsequently reviewing and providing feedback on completed assignments added considerably to teachers' already demanding schedules [2]. "It's not just assigning it; it's checking it, giving feedback, and sometimes having to re-explain it," a teacher shared, highlighting the time-consuming nature of the homework cycle. This often led to a pragmatic approach where the quantity or complexity of homework might be limited by the teachers' capacity, rather than purely by pedagogical ideals. This echoes broader discussions about teacher intensification and workload issues [2].

DISCUSSION

The findings from this qualitative exploration provide a nuanced understanding of primary educators' perspectives on homework, revealing a blend of traditional pedagogical beliefs and pragmatic considerations. The strong emphasis on homework for reinforcement and fostering responsibility aligns with established educational theories regarding practice and the development of self-regulated learning [13, 27]. Teachers view homework not merely as an academic exercise but as a crucial component in nurturing independent learners, a perspective supported by research on motivational benefits [6].

However, the study also highlights the inherent complexities and challenges in homework implementation, particularly in primary education. The "double-edged sword" of parental involvement is a critical insight. While parental support is widely acknowledged as beneficial for student achievement [11, 13, 17, 24, 25, 26, 33, 38], teachers' narratives reveal the fine line between constructive assistance and detrimental over-involvement or undue family stress [32, 39]. This suggests a need for clearer communication from schools to parents regarding the nature and extent of appropriate homework support, potentially through initiatives that scaffold parental engagement [23].

The struggle with differentiation and accommodating student diversity is a persistent challenge that teachers face, reflecting broader systemic issues in education where curriculum demands often clash with individual

learning needs [18, 30]. This points to the importance of flexible homework policies and resources that enable teachers to tailor assignments effectively, perhaps by leveraging technology or providing a menu of options for students. The concern over teacher workload also underscores the need for systemic support, whether through reduced class sizes, increased planning time, or professional development focused on efficient homework management strategies [2].

The findings resonate with the ongoing global debate about the utility and appropriateness of homework in primary schools [9, 20, 21, 28, 41]. While some advocate for abolishing homework entirely in early grades [20, 21, 31], others argue for its judicious use in moderation [9, 29]. The perspectives of the pedagogical staff in this study suggest that for them, homework remains a valuable, albeit challenging, tool. Their narratives indicate a pragmatic approach, where the perceived benefits for student learning and development are weighed against the realities of classroom management, parental dynamics, and their own professional capacity.

CONCLUSION

For educational policymakers and school administrators, the study suggests that blanket policies on homework may not be effective. Instead, policies should be flexible enough to allow teachers to adapt homework to local contexts and student needs, while also providing clear guidelines for parental involvement. Supporting teachers with resources and professional development to manage and differentiate homework effectively is crucial.

For teachers, the findings reinforce the importance of intentional homework design that clearly articulates its purpose—whether for reinforcement, skill development, or fostering responsibility. Open communication with parents about their role in homework, perhaps through workshops or clear guidelines, could alleviate some of the associated stress and ensure more constructive support.

Limitations and Future Research

This study's qualitative nature, while providing rich insights, means its findings are not generalizable to all primary educators. The sample size was limited, and the specific cultural and educational context of the participants may influence the results. Future research could:

- Conduct a larger-scale quantitative study to assess the prevalence of these perspectives across a broader population of primary teachers.
- Explore the perspectives of parents and students in the same context to provide a multi-

stakeholder view on homework [38].

- Investigate the actual impact of different homework types and frequencies on student academic and non-academic outcomes in primary education.
- Examine the effectiveness of specific interventions aimed at improving homework practices or mitigating homework-related stress for students, parents, and teachers.
- Compare teachers' perspectives on homework across different educational systems or cultural contexts [36, 38].

REFERENCES

- Báčová, V. (2008). Identita v sociálnej psychológii. In: Výrost, J. a Slaměník, I. (Eds.), Sociálna psychológia. (2. vyd.) Praha : Grada, 109–126.
- Ballet, K., & Kelchtermans, G. (2009). Struggling with workload : Primary teachers' experience of intensification. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 25(8), 1150–1157.
https://www.academia.edu/16840605/Struggling_wit_h_workload_Primary_teachers_experience_of_intensification.
- Befring, E. (2012). Skolen for barnas beste : Kvalitetsvilkår for oppvekst, læring og utvikling. [School for the children's best interests : Quality indicators for upbringing, learning and development]. Oslo : Samlaget.
- Belešová, M. (2021). Domáca príprava žiaka na vyučovanie. In Merica, M., & Belešová, M. Žiak, pohyb, edukácia. Vedecký zborník 2021. UK Bratislava, 33–42.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
<https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp0630a>.
- Bempechat, J. (2004). The Motivational Benefits of Homework : A Social-Cognitive Perspective. *Theory Into Practice*, 43(3), 2004, 189–196.
https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4303_4.
- Cooper, H. (1989). Homework. White Plains, NY : Longman.
<https://psycnet.apa.org/PsycBOOKS/toc/11578>.
- Cooper, H. (2001). Homework for all – in moderation. *Educational Leadership*, 58(7), 34–38.
<https://www.ascd.org/el/articles/homework-for-all-in-moderation>.
- Cooper, H. (2007). The battle over homework. (3rd ed.) NY : Corwin Press.
- Dahlstedt, M. (2009). Parental governmentality : Involving 'immigrant parents' in Swedish schools. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 30(2), 193–205.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01425690802700289>.
- Desforges, C., & Abouchaar, A. (2003). The impact of parental Involvement, Parental Support and Family Education on Pupil Achievement and Adjustment : A Literature Review. London : Department for Education and Skills.
- Dolean, D. D., & Lervag, A. (2022). Variations of homework amount assigned in elementary school can impact academic achievement. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 90(2), 280–296.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00220973.2020.1861422>.
- Ericsson, K. A., Hoffman, R. R., Kozbelt, A., & Williams, A. M. (2018). The Cambridge handbook of expertise and expert performance. Cambridge : University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316480748>.
- Epstein, J., & Van Voorhis, F. (2001). More than minutes : Teachers' roles in designing homework. *Educational Psychologist*, 36(3), 181–193.
https://doi.org/10.1207/S15326985EP3603_4.
- Epstein, J. L. (2002). School, family, and community partnerships : Your handbook for action, second edition. Thousand Oaks, CA : Corwin Press.
- Fan, H., Xu, J., Cai, Z., He, J., & Fan, X. (2017). Homework and students' achievement in math and science : A 30-year meta-analysis, 1986–2015. *Educational Research Review*, 20(2017), 35–54.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2016.11.003>.
- Fargion, S. (2023). Representations of parenting and the neo-liberal discourse : parents' and professionals' views on child-rearing practices in Italy. *Journal of Family Studies*, 29(1), 188–204.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13229400.2021.1905049>.
- Galloway, M., Conner, J., & Pope, D. (2013). Nonacademic effects of homework in privileged, high-performing high schools. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 81(4), 490–510.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00220973.2012.745469>.
- Gavora, P. (2007). Sprievodca metodológiu kvalitatívneho výskumu. Bratislava : UK v Bratislave.
- Gill, B. & Schlossman, S. (1995). Homework is a parent's eyes and ears. LA Times, B7, 1995. In Carvalho, M. E. 2001. Rethinking Family – School Relations. A Critique of Parental Involvement in Schooling. New York London : Routledge, 2001.
- Gill, B., & Schlossman, S. (1996). A sin against childhood' : Progressive education and the crusade to abolish homework, 1897–1941. *American Journal of Education*, 105(1), 27–66.
<https://doi.org/10.1086/444143>.
- Gill, B., & Schlossman, S. (2003). Parents and the politics of homework : Some historical perspectives. *Teachers'*

- College Record, 105(5), 846–871. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9620.002>.
- Godall, J. (2021). Scaffolding homework for mastery : engaging parents. *Educational Review*, 73(6), 669–689. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2019.1695106>.
- Goodall, J., & Vorhaus, J. (2011). *Review of Best Practice in Parental Engagement*. London : Department for Education.
- Gonida, E., & Cortina, K. (2014). Parental involvement in homework : Relations with parent and student achievement-related motivational beliefs and achievement. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 84(3), 376–396. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12039>.
- Hadwin, A., & Tevaarwerk, K. (2004). Are we teaching students to strategically self-regulate learning? A content analysis of 100 study skills textbooks. University of Victoria, BC.
- Holte, K. L. (2016). Homework in Primary School : Could It Be Made More Child-Friendly? *Studia paedagogica : Childhood*, 21(4), 12–33. <https://doi.org/10.5817/SP2016-4-1>.
- Kaščák, O., & Pupala, B. (2012). *Škola zlatých golierov. Vzdelávanie v ére neoliberalizmu*. Praha : Slon.
- Katz, I., Buzukashvili, T., & Feingold, L. (2012). Homework Stress : Construct Validation of a Measure. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 80(4), 405–421. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26594362>.
- Kohn, A. (2006). *Abusing Research : The study of Homework and Other Examples*. Phi Delta Kappan, 88(1), 9–22. <https://www.alfiekohn.org/article/abusing-research/>.
- Kralovec, E., & Buell, J. (2001). End homework now. *Beyond Class Time*, 58(7), 39–42. <https://www.ascd.org/el/beyond-class-time>.
- Maňák, J. (1992). *Problém domácích úkolů na základní škole*. Brno : Masarykova Univerzita.
- Marzano, R. J., & Pickering, D. J. (2007). The Case for and Against Homework. *Educational Leadership*, 64(6), 74–79.
- Melicherová, M. (2023). Školy bez domácích úloh. Rozumný krok alebo vyslobodenie rodičov na úkor detí? *Denník Postoj (Naše školy, Rodina, 4. máj 2023)* <https://www.postoj.sk/129692/rozumny-krok-alebo-vyslobodenie-rodicov-na-ukor-deti>.
- Merten, K. (1995). *Inhaltsanalyse: Einführung in Theorie, Methode und Praxis*. Wiesbaden : VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Núñez, J. C., Epstein, J. L., Suárez, N., Rosário, P., & Vallejo, G. (2017). How Do Student Prior Achievement and Homework Behaviors Relate to Perceived Parental Involvement in Homework? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01217>.
- North, S., & Pillay, H. (2002). Homework : Re-examining the routine. *ELT Journal*, 56(2), 137–145. <https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/56.2.137>.
- Osvaldová, Z. (2021). Domáca príprava žiakov na vyučovanie. *Edukácia*, 4(1), 101–106.
- Petlák, E., & Komora, J. (2003). *Vyučovanie v otázkach a odpovediach*. Bratislava : IRIS.
- Pomerantz, E. M., Wang, Q., & Ng, F. F.-Y. (2005). Mothers' Affect in the Homework Context : The Importance of Staying Positive. *Developmental Psychology*, 41(2), 414–427. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0012-1649.41.2.414>.
- Pressman, R. M., Sugarman, D. B., Nemon, M. L., Desjarlais, J., Owens, J. A., & Schettini-Evans, A. (2015). Homework and family stress : With consideration of parents' self confidence, educational level, and cultural background. *The American Journal of Family Therapy*, 43(4), 297–313. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01926187.2015.1061407>.
- Průcha, J. (1999). *Vzdělávání a školství ve světě*. Praha : Portál.
- Sayers, J., Petersson, J., Marschall, G., & Paul Andrews, P. (2020). Teachers' Perspectives on Homework : Manifestations of Culturally Situated Common Sense. *Educational Review*, 74(5), 905–926. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2020.1806786>.
- Sayers, J., Marschall, G., Petersson, J., & Andrews, P. (2021). English and Swedish teachers' perspectives on the role of parents in year one children's learning of number : Manifestations of cultural norms. *Early Child Development and Care*, 194(5), 760–772. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03004430.2019.1646741>.
- Sayers, J., Petersson, J., Rosenqvist, E., & Andrews, P. (2023). Swedish parents' perspectives on homework : Manifestations of principled pragmatism, *Education Inquiry*, 14(1), 66–84. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20004508.2021.1950275>.
- Skalková, J. (2007). *Obecná didaktika*. Praha : Grada.
- Šubrt, J., & Balon, J. (2010). *Soudobá sociologická teorie*. Praha : Grada.
- Šulová, L., & Škrábová, M. (2012). Czech study of Home Preparation for School in the first Five Years of School Attendance. *Revista Italiana di Educazione Familiare*, 7(1), 99–113. <https://doi.org/10.13128/RIEF-120097>.
- Tate, A. S. (2018). Some elementary schools are getting rid of homework – and experts say it's OK. *Today*. <https://www.today.com/parents/teachers-parents-just-sayno-elementary-school-homework-t136573>.

Transformácia vysokoškolského vzdelávania učiteľov v kontexte reformy regionálneho školstva (Záverečná správa a návrhy odporúčaní; 2012). Banská Bystrica : MŠVVaŠ SR.

<https://www.minedu.sk/data/att/1903.pdf>.

Turek, I. (2014). Didaktika. Bratislava : Wolters Kluwer.

Van Voorhis, F. L. (2004). Reflecting on the Homework Ritual : Assignments and Designs. Theory into Practice, 43(3), 205–212.

https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4303_6.

Vincent, C. (2017). The children have only got one education and you have to make sure it's a good one' : parenting and parent–school relations in a neoliberal age, Gender and Education, 29(5), 541–557.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/09540253.2016.1274387>.

Wildman, P. (1968). Homework pressures. Peabody Journal of Education, 45(4), 202–204.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/01619566809537528>.