



TEACHER PERFORMANCE INDICATORS: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS BETWEEN TURKEY AND THE UNITED STATES

Zuhal Kircaburun

Eskisehir Osmangazi University, Faculty of Education, Eskisehir, Turkey

ABOUT ARTICLE

Key words: Teacher performance indicators, teacher evaluation, comparative analysis, education systems, Turkey, United States, teacher effectiveness, teaching practices, educational policies, professional development.

Received: 22.07.2023

Accepted: 27.07.2023

Published: 01.08.2023

Abstract: This research paper presents a comparative analysis of teacher performance indicators in two distinct educational contexts: Turkey and the United States. Teacher performance evaluation is crucial for maintaining high-quality education systems and ensuring effective teaching practices. The study examines the teacher evaluation frameworks and performance indicators utilized in both countries, exploring the similarities, differences, and underlying factors that influence their implementation. A mixed-methods approach is employed, incorporating document analysis and expert interviews to gather comprehensive data. The findings shed light on the strengths and weaknesses of teacher performance evaluation systems in each country, providing valuable insights for policymakers and educators seeking to enhance teaching quality and professional development.

INTRODUCTION

Effective teachers play a fundamental role in shaping the future of a nation by providing high-quality education to students. To ensure the continuous improvement of teaching practices and the overall quality of education, teacher performance evaluation systems are essential. These systems provide valuable insights into teachers' strengths, areas for improvement, and professional development needs. However, the specific teacher performance indicators and evaluation frameworks vary significantly across different countries due to their unique educational contexts and priorities.

This research paper aims to conduct a comparative analysis of teacher performance indicators between Turkey and the United States, two countries with distinct education systems and policies. By exploring

the similarities and differences in their teacher evaluation frameworks, we can gain a deeper understanding of the factors influencing the evaluation of teacher performance in each country.

In Turkey, education has undergone significant reforms in recent years, emphasizing teacher quality and professional development. The country has adopted various teacher evaluation measures to assess teachers' effectiveness and ensure continuous improvement in teaching practices. On the other hand, the United States has a diverse educational landscape, with each state having its own teacher evaluation systems, influenced by federal guidelines such as the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Understanding the teacher performance indicators used in these two countries can provide valuable insights into the strengths and weaknesses of their respective teacher evaluation systems. This research aims to contribute to the existing body of knowledge on teacher evaluation practices and provide evidence-based recommendations for policymakers and educators to enhance the effectiveness of teacher evaluation and professional development in both Turkey and the United States.

METHOD

To achieve the objectives of this research, a mixed-methods approach will be employed, incorporating both document analysis and expert interviews.

Document Analysis: Comprehensive document analysis will be conducted to examine official documents, policies, and guidelines related to teacher performance evaluation in Turkey and the United States. This analysis will include teacher evaluation frameworks, guidelines for assessing teacher effectiveness, and identified teacher performance indicators.

Expert Interviews: Expert interviews will be conducted with education policymakers, administrators, and experts in both Turkey and the United States. The interviews will explore the rationale behind the selected teacher performance indicators, the challenges faced in implementing teacher evaluation systems, and the impact of these evaluation systems on teacher professional development and overall teaching quality.

Ethical considerations will be adhered to throughout the research process to ensure confidentiality and the voluntary participation of interviewees. The study will aim to include a diverse group of experts representing different educational settings and perspectives to provide a comprehensive view of teacher performance evaluation practices in both countries.

By combining document analysis and expert interviews, this research will offer valuable insights into the teacher performance indicators and evaluation frameworks used in Turkey and the United States. The comparative analysis will shed light on the effectiveness and implications of these evaluation systems, providing evidence-based recommendations to inform educational policies and practices in both countries.

RESULTS

The comparative analysis of teacher performance indicators between Turkey and the United States revealed significant differences in their respective teacher evaluation frameworks. In Turkey, teacher performance evaluation primarily focuses on classroom observations, student feedback, and self-assessment. Teacher effectiveness is assessed based on instructional practices, classroom management,

and the ability to meet student learning outcomes. Professional development opportunities are also integrated into the evaluation process to support teachers' continuous improvement.

On the other hand, the United States has a more diverse landscape of teacher evaluation systems, with each state adopting its own approach. Common indicators include classroom observations, student achievement data, and evidence of professional growth. However, the weight given to these indicators varies, and some states have faced challenges in effectively linking evaluation results to teacher development and student outcomes.

DISCUSSION

The disparities in teacher performance indicators between Turkey and the United States can be attributed to several factors, including their unique educational contexts, cultural values, and historical developments. Turkey's centralized education system enables a more standardized approach to teacher evaluation, while the decentralized nature of education in the United States allows for greater flexibility but can also lead to variations in evaluation practices.

The emphasis on classroom observations and direct teacher-student interactions in both countries highlights the importance of assessing teachers' instructional practices and classroom management skills. However, the integration of student feedback and self-assessment in Turkey's evaluation framework underscores a commitment to empowering teachers to actively engage in their professional development.

In contrast, the United States' focus on incorporating student achievement data into teacher evaluation reflects an emphasis on measuring the impact of teachers on student learning outcomes. However, this approach has encountered challenges, such as ensuring the fairness and validity of using student test scores to assess teacher effectiveness.

CONCLUSION

The comparative analysis of teacher performance indicators in Turkey and the United States provides valuable insights into the strengths and weaknesses of their respective teacher evaluation systems. Turkey's emphasis on self-assessment and professional development reflects a commitment to fostering a culture of continuous improvement among teachers. However, ensuring the consistency and fairness of classroom observations and student feedback processes remains essential.

In the United States, the diverse landscape of teacher evaluation systems allows for flexibility but also poses challenges in establishing a unified and effective approach to assessing teacher performance. There is a need for further research and collaboration among states to identify best practices and improve the validity and reliability of teacher evaluation measures, particularly when using student achievement data.

Ultimately, the findings of this comparative analysis can inform educational policymakers and administrators in both Turkey and the United States in refining their teacher performance evaluation systems. By learning from each other's experiences and adopting evidence-based practices, both countries can enhance the effectiveness of teacher evaluation, support teacher professional development, and ultimately improve teaching quality, leading to better educational outcomes for students.

REFERENCES

1. Akdemir, A. S. (2013). A history of teacher training programmes and their problems in Turkey. *Turkish Studies - International Periodical For The Languages, Literature and History of Turkish or Turkic*, 8(12), 15-28.
2. Altun, S. A., & Memişoğlu, S. P. (2008). The opinions of teachers, administrators and supervisors regarding performance assesment. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 14(1), 7-24.
3. Anagün, Ş. S. (2002). Performance appraisal process in education and performance appraisal methods used in human resource management (Unpublished master thesis). Anadolu University, Eskişehir.
4. Archer, J. (2000) State teacher policies tied to student results, *Education Week*, 19 (17), 3-4.
5. Atik Kara, D. (2012). Evaluation of teaching profession courses in terms of teacher candidates? Competencies regarding the learning and teaching process (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Anadolu University, Eskişehir.
6. Balkar, B. (2014). Perceptions of teachers on research-based teacher education policy comprising knowledge domains of clinically-based approach. *Hacettepe Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 29(4), 28-45.
7. Biswas, S. (2009). Organizational culture & transformational leadership as predictors of employee performance. *The Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 44(4), 611- 627.
8. Bommer,W. H., Johnson, J. L., Rich, G. A., Podsakoff, P. M., & MacKenzie, S. B. (1995). On the interchangeability of objective and subjective measures or employee performance: A meta-analysis. *Personnel Psychology*, 48, 587–605.
9. Buyruk, H. (2014). Standardized examinations as a teacher performance indicator and performance evaluation in education . *Trakya University Journal of Education*, 4(2), 28-42.
10. Conroy, J., Hulme, M., & Menter, I. (2013). Developing a ‘clinical’ model for teacher education. *Journal of Education for Teaching: International Research and Pedagogy*, 39(5), 557-573.
11. Cooper, B.S., Ehrensall, P.A. & Bromme, M. (2005). School-level politics and Professional development: Traps in evaluating the quality of practicing teachers. *Educational Policy*, 29(1), 112-125.
12. Council of Chief State School Officers. (2013, April). Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0: A Resource for Ongoing Teacher Development. Washington, DC: Author.