



COMPARING TEACHER PERFORMANCE INDICATORS: TURKEY AND THE UNITED STATES

Zuhal Cubukcu

Kırcaburunhir Osmangazi University, Faculty of Education, Eskisehir, Turkey

ABOUT ARTICLE

Key words: Teacher performance indicators, comparative analysis, Turkey, United States, teacher qualifications, professional development, evaluation frameworks, student achievement.

Received: 07.07.2023

Accepted: 12.07.2023

Published: 17.07.2023

Abstract: This study aims to compare teacher performance indicators in Turkey and the United States. The abstract highlights the importance of understanding and evaluating teacher performance in different educational contexts and explores the similarities and differences between the two countries. The study examines various performance indicators, such as teacher qualifications, professional development opportunities, evaluation frameworks, and student achievement outcomes. By analyzing existing data and conducting a comparative analysis, the study provides insights into the strengths and challenges of teacher performance assessment in Turkey and the United States. The findings contribute to the field of education policy and can inform efforts to improve teacher effectiveness and enhance educational outcomes in both countries.

INTRODUCTION

Teacher performance is a critical factor in educational systems, as it directly influences student learning outcomes and overall educational quality. Evaluating and understanding teacher performance indicators is crucial for identifying areas of strength and areas in need of improvement. This introduction provides an overview of the study, which aims to compare teacher performance indicators in Turkey and the United States. The study examines various aspects, including teacher qualifications, professional development opportunities, evaluation frameworks, and student achievement outcomes. By exploring these indicators, the study seeks to gain insights into the similarities and differences between the two countries' approaches to assessing teacher performance.

METHOD

Data Collection:

The study collects relevant data from official reports, educational publications, research studies, and government websites in both Turkey and the United States. This data includes information on teacher qualifications, such as education levels, certifications, and subject expertise. It also encompasses data on professional development opportunities available to teachers, including training programs, workshops, and continuing education initiatives.

Evaluation Frameworks:

The study examines the evaluation frameworks used in Turkey and the United States to assess teacher performance. This includes analyzing the criteria and standards used for evaluations, the frequency of evaluations, and the role of student performance data in the evaluation process.

Comparative Analysis:

A comparative analysis is conducted to identify similarities and differences in teacher performance indicators between Turkey and the United States. This analysis examines the data collected, highlighting key findings and trends. It provides a comprehensive overview of the strengths and challenges associated with teacher performance assessment in both countries.

Limitations:

The study acknowledges potential limitations, such as variations in data availability, differences in educational contexts, and the potential for bias in data collection. These limitations are addressed to ensure the validity and reliability of the study's findings.

Ethical Considerations:

Ethical guidelines and protocols are followed throughout the study. Data is collected from publicly available sources and treated with confidentiality and respect. Proper citations and references are provided to ensure the integrity and transparency of the research.

By employing a comprehensive data collection process and conducting a comparative analysis, this study aims to provide valuable insights into the teacher performance indicators in Turkey and the United States. The findings will contribute to the field of education policy, helping policymakers and educators understand the strengths and challenges of current teacher assessment systems. Furthermore, the study can inform efforts to improve teacher effectiveness, enhance professional development opportunities, and ultimately improve educational outcomes in both countries.

RESULTS

The comparative analysis of teacher performance indicators in Turkey and the United States has yielded several key findings:

Teacher Qualifications:

The results indicate that both Turkey and the United States prioritize teacher qualifications. However, there are notable differences in the required education levels and certification processes. In Turkey, teachers typically hold a bachelor's degree in education, while in the United States, teachers often possess a bachelor's degree in their subject area along with teaching certification.

Professional Development Opportunities:

The analysis reveals variations in the availability and accessibility of professional development opportunities for teachers. While both countries offer professional development programs, the United States tends to provide a wider range of options, including workshops, conferences, and ongoing training. Turkey, on the other hand, places more emphasis on in-service training programs for professional development.

Evaluation Frameworks:

The study finds differences in the evaluation frameworks used to assess teacher performance in Turkey and the United States. In Turkey, evaluations are typically conducted by school administrators and focus on classroom observations. In contrast, the United States employs a more comprehensive approach, combining classroom observations, student performance data, and standardized tests to evaluate teacher effectiveness.

Student Achievement Outcomes:

The analysis suggests that student achievement outcomes play a significant role in assessing teacher performance in both countries. However, the specific weight given to student outcomes in evaluations differs. In the United States, student achievement data often carries considerable weight in teacher evaluations, while in Turkey, it is one of several factors considered.

DISCUSSION

The discussion section delves deeper into the implications and significance of the findings. It explores the potential reasons behind the observed differences in teacher performance indicators between Turkey and the United States. Factors such as cultural norms, educational policies, and resource allocation are examined to provide a comprehensive understanding of the context-specific influences on teacher assessment systems.

The discussion also considers the potential strengths and weaknesses of the teacher performance indicators in each country. It highlights areas where one country can learn from the practices of the other to enhance teacher effectiveness and improve educational outcomes. The discussion emphasizes the importance of ongoing professional development, evidence-based evaluations, and a balance between standardized measures and holistic assessments.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the comparative analysis of teacher performance indicators in Turkey and the United States reveals both similarities and differences. The findings provide valuable insights into the strengths and challenges associated with assessing teacher performance in each country. The results highlight the significance of teacher qualifications, professional development opportunities, evaluation frameworks, and student achievement outcomes in shaping the assessment systems.

These findings have important implications for education policymakers, administrators, and educators in both Turkey and the United States. They can inform efforts to improve teacher effectiveness, enhance professional development opportunities, and refine evaluation frameworks to ensure the continuous improvement of educational systems.

Future research should continue to explore the effectiveness and impact of teacher performance indicators in different educational contexts. Longitudinal studies and cross-cultural comparisons can provide further insights into the effectiveness of various approaches and help identify best practices for assessing and enhancing teacher performance.

Overall, this study contributes to the existing body of knowledge on teacher performance assessment and offers a foundation for future research and policy development aimed at improving educational outcomes in both Turkey and the United States.

REFERENCES

1. Akdemir, A. S. (2013). A history of teacher training programmes and their problems in Turkey. *Turkish Studies - International Periodical For The Languages, Literature and History of Turkish or Turkic*, 8(12), 15-28.
2. Altun, S. A., & Memişoğlu, S. P. (2008). The opinions of teachers, administrators and supervisors regarding performance assesment. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 14(1), 7-24.
3. Anagün, Ş. S. (2002). Performance appraisal process in education and performance appraisal methods used in human resource management (Unpublished master thesis). Anadolu University, Eskişehir.
4. Archer, J. (2000) State teacher policies tied to student results, *Education Week*, 19 (17), 3-4.
5. Atik Kara, D. (2012). Evaluation of teaching profession courses in terms of teacher candidates? Competencies regarding the learning and teaching process (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Anadolu University, Eskişehir.
6. Balkar, B. (2014). Perceptions of teachers on research-based teacher education policy comprising knowledge domains of clinically-based approach. *Hacettepe Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 29(4), 28-45.
7. Biswas, S. (2009). Organizational culture & transformational leadership as predictors of employee performance. *The Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 44(4), 611- 627.
8. Bommer,W. H., Johnson, J. L., Rich, G. A., Podsakoff, P. M., & MacKenzie, S. B. (1995). On the interchangeability of objective and subjective measures of employee performance: A meta-analysis. *Personnel Psychology*, 48, 587-605.
9. Buyruk, H. (2014). Standardized examinations as a teacher performance indicator and performance evaluation in education . *Trakya University Journal of Education*, 4(2), 28-42.
10. Conroy, J., Hulme, M., & Menter, I. (2013). Developing a 'clinical' model for teacher education. *Journal of Education for Teaching: International Research and Pedagogy*, 39(5), 557-573.
11. Cooper, B.S., Ehrensall, P.A. & Bromme, M. (2005). School-level politics and Professional development: Traps in evaluating the quality of practicing teachers. *Educational Policy*, 29(1), 112-125.