

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Embodied Cognition in Uzbek And English Kinetic Expressions: Conceptual Metaphors of Motion, Emotion and Social Meaning

 **Azimova Anisa Abroriddinovna**

Doctoral student of Termez state university, Uzbekistan

VOLUME: Vol.06 Issue04 2026

PAGE: 75-80

Copyright © 2026 European International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Management Studies, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 4.0 International License. Licensed under Creative Commons License a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Abstract

This article explores the role of embodied cognition in Uzbek and English kinetic expressions, focusing on conceptual metaphors related to motion, emotion, and social meaning. The study examines how bodily experiences are encoded in language through gestures, movements, and metaphorical expressions. A comparative analysis reveals both universal patterns and culture-specific differences in the conceptualization of physical and emotional experiences. The findings highlight the importance of embodiment in shaping linguistic meaning and intercultural communication.

KEY WORDS

Embodied cognition, kinetic expressions, conceptual metaphor, motion, emotion, social meaning, Uzbek, English.

INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, cognitive linguistics has increasingly emphasized the role of the human body in shaping language and thought. The theory of embodied cognition suggests that our conceptual system is grounded in bodily experience, meaning that abstract ideas are often understood through physical actions and sensory perception.

Kinetic expressions—gestures, body movements, and posture-related linguistic units—serve as a direct link between bodily experience and linguistic meaning. In both Uzbek and English, such expressions reflect how motion, emotion, and social interaction are conceptualized through the body.

This article aims to analyze the embodied nature of kinetic expressions in Uzbek and English, focusing on conceptual metaphors that structure meaning in these languages.

Theoretical Framework: Embodied Cognition and Conceptual Metaphor

Embodied cognition posits that cognitive processes are deeply

rooted in the body's interactions with the world. According to conceptual metaphor theory, abstract concepts are understood in terms of more concrete experiences.

For example:

EMOTION IS MOTION

POWER IS UP

SUBMISSION IS DOWN

These metaphors are reflected in both language and nonverbal behavior.

Kinetic expressions provide evidence of these mappings, as they often involve physical movements that correspond to abstract meanings.

Kinetic Expressions as Embodied Meaning

Kinetic expressions function as a bridge between physical action and conceptual understanding. They include:

gestures (hand movements)

facial expressions

body posture

In language, these actions are often verbalized:

English: to raise one's head, to lower one's gaze

Uzbek: bosh ko'tarmoq, ko'zini pastga tushirmoq

These expressions demonstrate how physical movement is linked to social and emotional meaning.

Conceptual Metaphors of Motion

Motion is one of the most fundamental domains in embodied cognition. In both Uzbek and English, movement is used to conceptualize change, progress, and direction.

Examples:

English: to move forward (progress)

Uzbek: oldinga yurmoq (progress)

English: to fall behind (failure)

Uzbek: orqada qolmoq

These expressions show a shared metaphorical structure where physical movement represents abstract processes.

However, cultural nuances may influence how motion is interpreted, especially in social contexts.

Conceptual Metaphors of Emotion

Emotions are often expressed through bodily states and movements. Both languages use kinetic metaphors to describe emotional experiences.

Examples:

English: to burst with anger, to tremble with fear

Uzbek: jahldan titramoq, qo'rquvdan qaltiramoq

These expressions reflect the metaphor:

EMOTION IS PHYSICAL FORCE

Additionally:

smiling → happiness

lowered head → sadness or shame

While some expressions are universal, others are shaped by cultural norms.

Conceptual Metaphors of Social Meaning

Kinetic expressions also encode social relationships and hierarchies. Body orientation and movement often reflect power, respect, and social distance.

Examples:

English: direct eye contact → confidence

Uzbek: lowered gaze → respect

English: standing upright → authority

Uzbek: egilish (bending) → humility

These differences illustrate how social meaning is culturally constructed through embodied experience.

Comparative Analysis: Uzbek and English

Universal patterns:

shared metaphors (UP-DOWN, FORWARD-BACKWARD)

similar emotional expressions

reliance on bodily experience

Culture-specific differences:

interpretation of gestures

degree of expressiveness

social norms governing body language

For instance, Uzbek communication tends to emphasize modesty and restraint, while English communication often values openness and assertiveness.

Embodied Cognition in Literary and Discourse Contexts

In literary texts, kinetic expressions are used to convey deeper meaning and enhance narrative realism. Authors rely on embodied metaphors to:

depict characters' emotions

express social relations

create vivid imagery

Similarly, in everyday discourse, kinetic expressions support communication by providing additional layers of meaning.

Implications for Language Learning and Translation

Understanding embodied cognition has practical implications:

1. Language teaching

helps learners understand metaphorical meaning

improves communicative competence

enhances cultural awareness

2. Translation

ensures accurate interpretation of metaphor

prevents cultural misunderstanding

supports pragmatic adaptation

Embodied Schemas and Image Schemas in Kinetic Expressions

A key component of embodied cognition is the notion of image schemas—recurring dynamic patterns of bodily experience that structure our understanding of the world. These schemas, such as UP–DOWN, IN–OUT, PATH, and BALANCE, play a crucial role in shaping both Uzbek and English kinetic expressions.

For example, the UP–DOWN schema is closely associated with emotional and social meanings:

English: to lift one's head (confidence, pride)

Uzbek: boshini ko'tarmoq (confidence, self-respect)

English: to bow down (submission)

Uzbek: egilmoq (respect, humility)

These expressions demonstrate how physical orientation in space is mapped onto abstract domains such as status and emotion. The PATH schema is also widely used:

English: to go through difficulties

Uzbek: qiyinchiliklardan o'tmoq

Such schemas provide a cognitive foundation for understanding kinetic expressions as more than isolated linguistic units.

Kinetic expressions often extend beyond their literal meanings to form complex semantic networks. A single physical action can generate multiple metaphorical meanings depending on context.

For instance, the act of "raising" can be extended as:

raising one's voice → expressing anger

raising one's hand → participation or request

raising one's head → pride or resistance

In Uzbek:

ovozini ko'tarmoq → anger or emphasis

qo'l ko'tarmoq → request or vote

bosh ko'tarmoq → rebellion or independence

These examples illustrate how a single embodied experience (vertical movement) gives rise to a network of related meanings. This interconnected structure reflects the cognitive organization of language.

Although many emotional expressions are grounded in universal bodily experiences, cultural framing plays a crucial role in shaping their interpretation. The same physical reaction may be conceptualized differently across cultures.

For example, trembling may indicate fear in both languages:

English: to tremble with fear

Uzbek: qo'rquvdan titramoq

However, expressions of anger differ in intensity and acceptability. English discourse may tolerate more explicit bodily expressions of anger, while Uzbek culture often favors controlled expression.

Cultural norms also influence how emotions are displayed publicly. This affects both the production and interpretation of kinetic expressions, making them culturally sensitive elements of communication.

Kinetic expressions are not only individual cognitive phenomena but also social tools that facilitate interaction. They play a key role in social cognition, helping individuals interpret others' intentions, emotions, and attitudes.

For instance:

nodding → agreement

avoiding eye contact → discomfort or respect

In Uzbek contexts, avoiding direct gaze may signal politeness, especially in hierarchical relationships. In English contexts, it may be interpreted as insecurity or lack of confidence.

These differences highlight how embodied cognition is shaped by social norms and expectations.

From a historical perspective, many kinetic expressions have evolved from literal descriptions of physical actions to abstract metaphorical meanings. Over time, these expressions become

conventionalized and integrated into the language system.

For example:

English: to grasp an idea

Uzbek: fikrni ilg`amoq

Originally related to physical grasping, these expressions now refer to intellectual understanding.

This process demonstrates how embodied experiences are gradually transformed into linguistic conventions, reinforcing the link between body and language.

The embodied nature of kinetic expressions has important implications for intercultural communication. Misunderstandings may arise when individuals interpret gestures based on their own cultural frameworks.

To avoid such issues, it is essential to:

develop awareness of cultural differences

learn context-specific interpretations

integrate verbal and nonverbal cues

Understanding embodied metaphors helps speakers navigate cross-cultural interactions more effectively.

The extended analysis confirms that kinetic expressions in Uzbek and English are deeply embedded in cognitive, cultural, and social systems. Image schemas and conceptual metaphors provide a unified framework for understanding how physical experience shapes linguistic meaning.

At the same time, cultural variation introduces diversity in interpretation, emphasizing the need for a comparative approach. By combining cognitive linguistics with cultural analysis, researchers can gain deeper insights into the nature of language and communication.

Future research may explore experimental studies on gesture perception, multimodal corpora, and the role of technology in analyzing embodied communication. Embodied Schemas and Image Schemas in Kinetic Expressions

A key component of embodied cognition is the notion of image schemas—recurring dynamic patterns of bodily experience that structure our understanding of the world. These schemas, such as UP–DOWN, IN–OUT, PATH, and BALANCE, play a crucial role in shaping both Uzbek and English kinetic expressions.

For example, the UP–DOWN schema is closely associated with emotional and social meanings:

English: to lift one's head (confidence, pride)

Uzbek: boshini ko'tarmoq (confidence, self-respect)

English: to bow down (submission)

Uzbek: egilmoq (respect, humility)

These expressions demonstrate how physical orientation in space is mapped onto abstract domains such as status and emotion. The PATH schema is also widely used:

English: to go through difficulties

Uzbek: qiyinchiliklardan o'tmoq

Such schemas provide a cognitive foundation for understanding kinetic expressions as more than isolated linguistic units.

Kinetic expressions often extend beyond their literal meanings to form complex semantic networks. A single physical action can generate multiple metaphorical meanings depending on context.

For instance, the act of "raising" can be extended as:

raising one's voice → expressing anger

raising one's hand → participation or request

raising one's head → pride or resistance

In Uzbek:

ovozini ko'tarmoq → anger or emphasis

qo'l ko'tarmoq → request or vote

bosh ko'tarmoq → rebellion or independence

These examples illustrate how a single embodied experience (vertical movement) gives rise to a network of related meanings. This interconnected structure reflects the cognitive organization of language.

Although many emotional expressions are grounded in universal bodily experiences, cultural framing plays a crucial role in shaping their interpretation. The same physical reaction may be conceptualized differently across cultures.

For example, trembling may indicate fear in both languages:

English: to tremble with fear

Uzbek: qo`rquvdan titramoq

However, expressions of anger differ in intensity and acceptability. English discourse may tolerate more explicit bodily expressions of anger, while Uzbek culture often favors controlled expression.

Cultural norms also influence how emotions are displayed publicly. This affects both the production and interpretation of kinetic expressions, making them culturally sensitive elements of communication.

Embodied Interaction and Social Cognition

Kinetic expressions are not only individual cognitive phenomena but also social tools that facilitate interaction. They play a key role in social cognition, helping individuals interpret others' intentions, emotions, and attitudes.

For instance:

nodding → agreement

avoiding eye contact → discomfort or respect

In Uzbek contexts, avoiding direct gaze may signal politeness, especially in hierarchical relationships. In English contexts, it may be interpreted as insecurity or lack of confidence.

These differences highlight how embodied cognition is shaped by social norms and expectations.

Diachronic Perspective: Evolution of Kinetic Metaphors

From a historical perspective, many kinetic expressions have evolved from literal descriptions of physical actions to abstract metaphorical meanings. Over time, these expressions become conventionalized and integrated into the language system.

For example:

English: to grasp an idea

Uzbek: fikrni ilg'amoq

Originally related to physical grasping, these expressions now refer to intellectual understanding.

This process demonstrates how embodied experiences are gradually transformed into linguistic conventions, reinforcing the link between body and language.

The embodied nature of kinetic expressions has important implications for intercultural communication. Misunderstandings may arise when individuals interpret

gestures based on their own cultural frameworks.

To avoid such issues, it is essential to:

develop awareness of cultural differences

learn context-specific interpretations

integrate verbal and nonverbal cues

Understanding embodied metaphors helps speakers navigate cross-cultural interactions more effectively.

The extended analysis confirms that kinetic expressions in Uzbek and English are deeply embedded in cognitive, cultural, and social systems. Image schemas and conceptual metaphors provide a unified framework for understanding how physical experience shapes linguistic meaning.

At the same time, cultural variation introduces diversity in interpretation, emphasizing the need for a comparative approach. By combining cognitive linguistics with cultural analysis, researchers can gain deeper insights into the nature of language and communication.

Future research may explore experimental studies on gesture perception, multimodal corpora, and the role of technology in analyzing embodied communication.

CONCLUSION

The study demonstrates that kinetic expressions in Uzbek and English are deeply rooted in embodied cognition. Conceptual metaphors of motion, emotion, and social meaning reveal how language is shaped by bodily experience.

While many metaphorical patterns are universal, cultural differences significantly influence their interpretation. Therefore, a comprehensive understanding of embodied cognition is essential for effective communication, language learning, and translation.

REFERENCES

1. Lakoff G., Johnson M. *Metaphors We Live By*. – Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1980.
2. Johnson M. *The Body in the Mind*. – Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1987.
3. Kövecses Z. *Metaphor: A Practical Introduction*. – Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010.
4. Gibbs R. *Embodiment and Cognitive Science*. – Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006.

5. Crystal D. The Cambridge Encyclopedia of Language. – Cambridge, 2003.