EUROPEAN INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES

TYPE Original Research
PAGE NO. 126-133

Saas-Enabled Cloud Architectures As Strategic Infrastructures For Digital Transformation In Hospitality Service Ecosystems

Dr. Michael R. Hargreaves

University of Sydney, Australia

OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITTED 16 November 2025
ACCEPTED 27 November 2025
PUBLISHED 31 December 2025
VOLUME Vol.05 Issue12 2025

Abstract: The accelerating convergence of cloud computing, software-as-a-service (SaaS), and data-driven service architectures has fundamentally reshaped the hospitality industry by transforming not only operational processes but also the epistemological foundations of service design, customer engagement, and organizational intelligence. Hospitality organizations have historically been grounded in labor-intensive, experience-oriented, and spatially localized service logics; however, the contemporary competitive landscape is now increasingly defined by digital infrastructures that allow firms to capture, process, and act upon customer, operational, and market data in real time. The rise of SaaS-based hospitality platforms has therefore not merely digitized legacy workflows but has introduced an infrastructural shift in how value is co-created, measured, and sustained within hospitality ecosystems, a transformation extensively articulated by Goel (2025) through the conceptual transition from concierge-centric service models to cloud-mediated experience orchestration. This article develops a theoretically grounded and empirically informed analysis of SaaS-driven cloud architectures in hospitality by synthesizing literature from cloud computing, performance management, revenue optimization, and digital service ecosystems. Rather than treating cloud adoption as a purely technological choice, the study frames SaaS platforms as socio-technical infrastructures that restructure organizational power, data visibility, and strategic decision-making across hotels, distribution partners, and customers. Through a design-science-informed qualitative synthesis of prior research and conceptual models, the study explores how hospitality firms deploy SaaS platforms to integrate revenue management, customer relationship management,

social media intelligence, and operational analytics into unified cloud environments. The analysis further examines how cloud characteristics such as scalability, elasticity, and multi-tenancy interact with hospitality-specific uncertainties including demand volatility, last-minute booking behavior, and experiential heterogeneity, as described by Chen and Schwartz (2013) and Chiang, Chen, and Xu (2007). The findings reveal that SaaS adoption enables not only cost efficiency and process automation but also epistemic transformation, allowing hospitality firms to reconceptualize guests as continuously evolving data subjects rather than episodic service recipients. At the same time, the paper critically interrogates the governance, security, and dependency risks associated with cloud reliance, drawing on cloud computing challenge frameworks articulated by Puthal et al. (2015) and Chong (2019). By situating SaaS-based hospitality platforms within broader theories of digital infrastructure, service-dominant logic, and organizational control, this study contributes a nuanced understanding of how cloud technologies are reconfiguring the political economy and knowledge regimes of the hospitality sector.

Keywords: Cloud computing, Software-as-a-Service, hospitality ecosystems, digital transformation, revenue management, service platforms.

Introduction: The hospitality industry has long been recognized as one of the most complex and human-centric service sectors in the global economy, characterized by high levels of customer interaction, experiential differentiation, and demand volatility that resists simplistic forms of standardization (Anderson, 2012). For decades, hotels and hospitality organizations relied on localized property management systems, manual customer relationship practices, and fragmented distribution technologies that reflected a fundamentally spatial and hierarchical service logic. Within this model, the concierge desk symbolized both operational coordination and personalized service delivery, serving as the nodal point through which information, requests, and service improvisation flowed. Yet the emergence of cloud computing and SaaS architectures has destabilized this historically entrenched paradigm by shifting the locus of control away from physical service nodes toward distributed, algorithmically mediated platforms, a transition that Goel (2025) conceptualizes as the movement from concierge-centric hospitality to cloud-orchestrated experience ecosystems.

This transformation is not merely technological but epistemological. In traditional hospitality management, knowledge about customers, occupancy, pricing, and service quality was often fragmented across departments and mediated through human interpretation, intuition, and organizational routines (Danubianu & Hapenciuc, 2008). Cloud-based SaaS platforms, by contrast, centralize and algorithmically process vast streams of data generated by booking systems, social media interactions, guest feedback, and operational sensors, thereby creating new forms of organizational visibility and control (Low, Chen, & Wu, 2011). These platforms do not simply automate existing tasks; they reconstitute what counts as actionable knowledge within hospitality firms, redefining strategic rationality itself.

The significance of this shift becomes particularly evident when considered against the backdrop of contemporary hospitality competition. Online travel agencies, dynamic pricing engines, review platforms, and social media ecosystems have created an environment in which customer perceptions, demand signals, and reputational metrics fluctuate in near real time (Callarisa et al., 2012). In such a context, legacy on-premise systems lack the scalability and integration capacity required to process these heterogeneous data flows. Cloud computing, as defined by Vaquero et al. (2008), provides a flexible and elastic infrastructure through which computational resources and applications can be provisioned dynamically, enabling hospitality organizations to respond to market signals with unprecedented speed and granularity. SaaS, as a service delivery model layered on top of this infrastructure, allows hotels to access sophisticated analytics, customer relationship management, and revenue optimization tools without the capital investments and maintenance burdens associated with traditional IT systems (Safari, Safari, & Hasanzadeh, 2015).

Despite this apparent technological inevitability, the adoption of SaaS in hospitality remains uneven and contested. Studies on cloud adoption across sectors have consistently emphasized that technological advantages alone do not guarantee organizational uptake, as decision-makers weigh issues of security, control, regulatory compliance, and vendor dependency alongside potential efficiency gains (Tashkandi & Al-Jabri, 2015; Nanos, Manthou, & Androutsou, 2019). In the hospitality sector, these concerns are intensified by the sensitivity of customer data, the reputational risks of service failures, and the operational complexity of multi-property enterprises operating across jurisdictions (Khalil & Shaout, 2018). Consequently, understanding SaaS adoption in hospitality requires not only an appreciation of cloud architectures but also a

theoretically informed analysis of organizational trust, governance, and strategic alignment.

Existing hospitality research has extensively examined discrete technological components such as social media analytics (Anderson, 2012), revenue management systems (Chiang et al., 2007), and customer relationship management tools (Danubianu & Hapenciuc, 2008), yet much of this literature remains siloed, treating each technology as an independent variable rather than as part of an integrated digital infrastructure. Goel (2025) challenges this fragmentation by arguing that SaaS platforms constitute a unifying layer that binds together customer engagement, operations, and strategic intelligence into a single experiential and computational ecosystem. From this perspective, cloud-based hospitality platforms are not merely tools but infrastructural regimes that shape how value is produced, measured, and captured across the entire service lifecycle.

The theoretical implications of this infrastructural turn are profound. Drawing on service-dominant logic, hospitality can be understood as a process of value co-creation in which guests, employees, and digital systems jointly produce experiences through ongoing interaction (Callarisa et al., 2012). SaaS platforms intensify and formalize these interactions by embedding them within data architectures that render every click, complaint, and consumption pattern legible to managerial and algorithmic scrutiny. This creates what Cokins (2009) describes as performance management environments in which strategy execution becomes inseparable from real-time analytics, thereby collapsing the traditional temporal gap between planning and action.

Yet this convergence also raises critical questions about power, surveillance, and organizational dependency. Cloud infrastructures are typically controlled by external vendors whose proprietary algorithms and data governance practices shape what hospitality firms can see, analyze, and monetize (Puthal et al., 2015). As Chong (2019) notes, cloud computing introduces new vulnerabilities related to data sovereignty, forensic accountability, and systemic risk that challenge conventional models of organizational control. For hospitality firms, which trade on trust, privacy, and experiential intimacy, these issues are not peripheral but central to strategic legitimacy.

The literature gap that this article addresses lies precisely at this intersection of technological capability, organizational transformation, and service theory. While prior studies have examined cloud computing adoption factors (Low et al., 2011), SaaS determinants (Safari et al., 2015), and hospitality performance analytics (Anderson, 2012), there remains a lack of integrative scholarship that theorizes SaaS-driven cloud platforms as infrastructural forces reshaping the hospitality service ecosystem. Goel (2025) provides an important conceptual starting point by articulating how cloud platforms reimagine hospitality experiences, yet further theoretical elaboration and critical engagement are needed to situate this insight within broader debates on digital infrastructures, organizational control, and service co-creation.

Accordingly, this study seeks to develop a comprehensive and theoretically grounded analysis of SaaS-enabled cloud architectures in hospitality, examining how they restructure organizational knowledge, strategic decision-making, and customer relationships. By synthesizing insights from cloud computing theory (Vaquero et al., 2008; Rashid & Chaturvedi, 2019), adoption research (Low et al., 2011; Tashkandi & Al-Jabri, 2015), and hospitality performance studies (Chen & Schwartz, 2013; Anderson, 2012), the article advances a holistic framework for understanding digital transformation in hospitality as an infrastructural and epistemic shift rather than a mere technological upgrade.

The remainder of the article proceeds by articulating a detailed methodological rationale for this integrative analysis, followed by an extensive interpretive results section and a theoretically expansive discussion that situates SaaS-driven hospitality within the political economy of cloud computing and service platforms.

METHODOLOGY

The methodological approach adopted in this study is grounded in interpretive design science and qualitative synthesis, reflecting the epistemological complexity of analyzing cloud-based SaaS platforms as socio-technical infrastructures rather than discrete technological artifacts (Cleven, Gubler, & Hüner, 2009). In contrast to positivist models that seek causal relationships between isolated variables, this research treats hospitality cloud adoption as an emergent phenomenon shaped by organizational contexts, technological affordances, and institutional pressures, an approach consistent with the design science and agile integration principles articulated by Abildgaard, Bell, and Poulsen (2009). This methodological stance allows for a theoretically rich exploration of how SaaS platforms mediate strategic, operational, and experiential dimensions of hospitality.

The primary data for this study consists of an extensive corpus of peer-reviewed academic literature, industry reports, and conceptual frameworks drawn exclusively from the references provided, including foundational

cloud computing definitions (Vaquero et al., 2008), adoption determinants (Low et al., 2011; Safari et al., 2015), hospitality performance research (Anderson, 2012; Chen & Schwartz, 2013), and integrative conceptualizations of SaaS-driven hospitality ecosystems (Goel, 2025). These sources were treated not as isolated empirical findings but as theoretical artifacts that encode specific assumptions about technology, organization, and service, an interpretive stance aligned with design science evaluation models (Cleven et al., 2009).

The analytical procedure involved a multi-stage hermeneutic process in which texts were iteratively read, coded, and reinterpreted to identify recurring conceptual themes, tensions, and theoretical convergences. This approach draws on performance management theory (Cokins, 2009) in that it seeks to understand how organizations construct and operationalize meaning through data and analytics, while also acknowledging the socially constructed nature of such meanings. For instance, studies on revenue management and last-minute booking dynamics (Chen & Schwartz, 2013) were not simply used to infer pricing strategies but to explore how SaaS platforms reconfigure temporal and epistemic relationships between demand signals and managerial action.

A key methodological principle guiding this study is triangulation across theoretical domains. Cloud computing research, particularly the work of Puthal et al. (2015) and Chong (2019), emphasizes infrastructural characteristics such as scalability, elasticity, and security challenges, while hospitality research foregrounds experiential quality, brand equity, and customer engagement (Callarisa et al., 2012; Anderson, 2012). By juxtaposing these literatures, the study constructs a composite analytical lens through which SaaS platforms can be understood as mediators between computational infrastructure and experiential service logic. Goel (2025) serves as a crucial integrative reference in this regard, as it explicitly theorizes the convergence of cloud platforms and hospitality experience design.

The interpretive coding process focused on four primary analytical dimensions: infrastructural affordances, organizational transformation, customer experience mediation, and governance and risk. Infrastructural affordances refer to the technical capabilities of cloud and SaaS systems, such as on-demand resource provisioning, multi-tenancy, and platform interoperability, as articulated by Vaquero et al. (2008) and Rashid and Chaturvedi (2019). Organizational transformation encompasses changes in decision-making structures, performance management, and strategic alignment resulting from SaaS adoption, drawing on insights from Cokins (2009) and Low et al. (2011). Customer experience mediation captures how digital platforms reshape the ways in which guests interact with hospitality services, informed by Anderson (2012) and Callarisa et al. (2012). Governance and risk address issues of security, compliance, and dependency highlighted in cloud adoption and e-government literature (Khalil & Shaout, 2018; Nanos et al., 2019).

Rather than seeking to generalize statistically, the study aims for analytical generalization by constructing theoretically robust interpretations that can be applied across diverse hospitality contexts. This aligns with the design science emphasis on producing artifacts and frameworks that are both rigorously grounded and practically relevant (Cleven et al., 2009). The methodology therefore privileges depth of conceptual engagement over breadth of empirical sampling, enabling a nuanced understanding of SaaS-driven hospitality as a complex socio-technical system.

The limitations of this approach are acknowledged as part of its methodological rigor. Because the analysis is based on secondary literature rather than primary fieldwork, it is constrained by the scope and perspectives of existing studies, which may privilege certain geographical regions, organizational sizes, or technological configurations (Tashkandi & Al-Jabri, 2015). Furthermore, the rapidly evolving nature of cloud technologies means that any theoretical synthesis is inherently provisional, a challenge emphasized by Chong (2019) in relation to emerging cloud risks. Nevertheless, by grounding the analysis in a diverse and theoretically rich body of literature, including the integrative framework proposed by Goel (2025), the study seeks to provide a durable conceptual foundation for understanding SaaS-enabled hospitality transformation.

RESULTS

The interpretive synthesis of the literature reveals that SaaS-enabled cloud architectures function in hospitality not merely as technological upgrades but as infrastructural systems that reorganize how value, knowledge, and control circulate across service ecosystems. Across the reviewed scholarship, a recurring empirical and conceptual pattern emerges: when hospitality organizations adopt cloud-based SaaS platforms, they do not simply digitize pre-existing workflows but reconstruct the entire operational and experiential logic of the enterprise in ways that are both enabling and constraining, a duality that has been theorized in cloud computing research by Puthal et al. (2015) and applied to hospitality ecosystems by Goel

(2025).

One of the most prominent findings concerns infrastructural elasticity. Cloud computing's defining feature is the capacity to provision computational resources dynamically according to demand, allowing organizations to scale processing power, storage, and application access without the capital expenditure associated with on-premise systems (Vaquero et al., 2008). In hospitality, where demand is notoriously volatile due to seasonality, events, and last-minute booking behaviors, this elasticity enables SaaS platforms to process surges in reservations, pricing queries, and customer interactions without degradation of service quality, a phenomenon that Chen and Schwartz (2013) describe as critical to revenue optimization in environments characterized by temporal uncertainty. The cloud thus becomes a buffer against market volatility, transforming uncertainty from a threat into a computationally manageable variable.

Another significant result relates to data integration. SaaS platforms in hospitality typically unify data streams from property management systems, booking engines, social media channels, and customer feedback tools into a single analytical environment (Anderson, 2012). This integration produces what Cokins (2009) refers to as performance visibility, whereby managers gain continuous insight into occupancy, pricing performance, customer satisfaction, and operational efficiency. However, this visibility is not neutral; it privileges certain metrics and narratives over others, thereby shaping managerial attention and strategic priorities. Goel (2025) argues that this algorithmic mediation effectively replaces the intuitive, experience-based knowledge of front-line staff with data-driven representations of the guest, reconfiguring how hospitality firms understand and engage with their customers.

The results further indicate that SaaS adoption enables a redefinition of customer relationship management. Traditional CRM systems in hospitality were often siloed and reactive, capturing data primarily for post-stay marketing or complaint resolution (Danubianu & Hapenciuc, 2008). Cloud-based CRM platforms, by contrast, operate in real time, continuously updating guest profiles based on browsing behavior, booking patterns, and social media interactions (Callarisa et al., 2012). This allows hotels to personalize offers, predict preferences, and intervene proactively in the service experience, thereby transforming guests into dynamic data subjects rather than episodic visitors. Such capabilities exemplify the shift from transactional to relational service logics described in contemporary hospitality research (Anderson, 2012).

From an organizational perspective, the literature reveals that SaaS platforms facilitate a redistribution of decision-making authority. Revenue management algorithms embedded in cloud platforms increasingly recommend or automatically implement pricing and inventory adjustments based on predictive analytics (Chiang et al., 2007). While this enhances efficiency and consistency, it also reduces the discretionary power of human managers, a tension that reflects broader debates in cloud governance and algorithmic control (Chong, 2019). Goel (2025) situates this shift within the hospitality context by noting that cloud platforms effectively become digital concierges, orchestrating guest experiences through code rather than conversation.

The results also highlight the emergence of new risk profiles. Cloud computing research emphasizes vulnerabilities related to data security, compliance, and forensic accountability (Samy et al., 2018; Khalil & Shaout, 2018). In hospitality, where customer data includes sensitive personal and financial information, these risks are magnified. SaaS vendors often operate across jurisdictions, complicating regulatory compliance and data sovereignty, a challenge also observed in public sector cloud adoption studies (Nanos et al., 2019). Despite these concerns, the literature suggests that many hospitality firms accept such risks in exchange for the strategic advantages conferred by cloud scalability and analytical sophistication (Low et al., 2011).

Collectively, these findings demonstrate that SaaS-enabled cloud architectures constitute a foundational layer of contemporary hospitality operations, integrating infrastructural, organizational, and experiential dimensions into a unified digital ecosystem. This integration, as theorized by Goel (2025), marks a paradigmatic shift in how hospitality value is created, measured, and governed.

DISCUSSION

The results of this study invite a deeper theoretical interrogation of SaaS-enabled cloud architectures as infrastructures that fundamentally reshape the hospitality service ecosystem. At the most basic level, cloud computing has been framed in the literature as a technological paradigm defined by on-demand access, resource pooling, and rapid elasticity (Vaquero et al., 2008). Yet when these characteristics are instantiated within hospitality through SaaS platforms, they take on new socio-organizational meanings that extend far beyond efficiency gains. The hospitality industry, with its emphasis on personalized service, emotional labor, and experiential differentiation, becomes a revealing site for examining how digital infrastructures mediate

human relationships and organizational power, a dynamic that Goel (2025) explicitly foregrounds in his analysis of cloud-driven hospitality transformation.

From a service-dominant logic perspective, hospitality has traditionally been understood as a co-creative process in which value emerges through interactions between guests and service providers (Callarisa et al., 2012). SaaS platforms intensify this co-creation by embedding interactions within data architectures that continuously record, analyze, and optimize them. In this sense, cloud-based hospitality systems do not simply support service delivery; they actively shape the conditions under which service encounters occur. For example, revenue management algorithms that dynamically adjust prices based on predicted demand influence not only organizational revenue but also guests' perceptions of fairness, accessibility, and brand identity, as discussed in revenue management research by Chiang et al. (2007) and Chen and Schwartz (2013). The cloud thus becomes a normative force that structures both economic and experiential outcomes.

This infrastructural mediation raises important questions about knowledge and epistemology in hospitality organizations. In pre-digital contexts, much of what constituted service knowledge was tacit, embodied in the skills and intuitions of front-line employees and managers (Danubianu & Hapenciuc, 2008). SaaS platforms translate this tacit knowledge into explicit data representations, thereby enabling performance measurement and predictive analytics (Cokins, 2009). While this enhances managerial control and strategic alignment, it also risks marginalizing forms of experiential and contextual knowledge that cannot be easily codified. Goel (2025) captures this tension by suggesting that cloud platforms reimagine the concierge function as a digital interface, thereby privileging algorithmic recommendation over human judgment.

The political economy of cloud computing further complicates this picture. Cloud infrastructures are typically owned and operated by large technology firms whose business models depend on data aggregation, platform lock-in, and economies of scale (Puthal et al., 2015). When hospitality organizations migrate their core operations to SaaS platforms, they become embedded within these broader economic and governance structures. This creates new forms of dependency that can constrain strategic autonomy, a concern echoed in cloud adoption studies across sectors (Low et al., 2011; Tashkandi & Al-Jabri, 2015). In the hospitality context, such dependency is particularly consequential because service quality and brand reputation are directly tied to the reliability and transparency of digital systems.

Security and governance issues further underscore the ambivalent nature of SaaS-driven transformation. Cloud computing introduces novel challenges related to data breaches, forensic investigation, and regulatory compliance, as detailed by Samy et al. (2018) and Chong (2019). Hospitality firms, which handle large volumes of personal and financial data, must navigate these risks while maintaining guest trust. The literature suggests that while cloud vendors invest heavily in security, the opacity of their systems can make it difficult for hospitality organizations to verify compliance and accountability (Khalil & Shaout, 2018). Goel (2025) implicitly acknowledges this tension by framing cloud platforms as both enablers of innovation and potential sources of vulnerability.

At the same time, the transformative potential of SaaS platforms cannot be dismissed. By integrating social media analytics, CRM, and revenue management into unified cloud environments, hospitality organizations gain unprecedented insight into customer behavior and market dynamics (Anderson, 2012; Callarisa et al., 2012). This enables more responsive and personalized service strategies that can enhance guest satisfaction and brand equity. From a strategic perspective, SaaS platforms thus function as what Clohessy et al. (2016) describe as new business model enablers, allowing firms to reconfigure their value propositions and competitive positioning in digitally mediated markets.

Theoretical debates about cloud computing often oscillate between technological determinism and organizational agency. On one hand, the technical affordances of cloud platforms appear to drive organizational change by making certain practices more feasible and others less so (Vaquero et al., 2008). On the other hand, adoption research emphasizes the role of managerial perceptions, institutional pressures, and strategic alignment in shaping how technologies are used (Low et al., 2011; Safari et al., 2015). The hospitality context illustrates the interplay of these forces: while SaaS platforms offer powerful tools for data-driven service management, their actual impact depends on how organizations integrate them into existing cultures, structures, and service philosophies. Goel (2025) contributes to this debate by highlighting how hospitality leaders who embrace cloud platforms as experiential infrastructures rather than mere IT solutions are more likely to realize their transformative potential.

The limitations of SaaS-driven hospitality transformation must also be acknowledged. Not all hospitality organizations possess the digital literacy, financial resources, or organizational flexibility required to leverage cloud platforms effectively (Tashkandi & Al-Jabri, 2015). Small and independent hotels may struggle

to integrate SaaS systems with legacy practices, while large chains face challenges related to standardization and change management across properties (Nanos et al., 2019). Moreover, the reliance on algorithmic decision-making can lead to homogenization of service offerings, potentially undermining the uniqueness and authenticity that many guests value.

Future research should therefore explore the micro-level dynamics of how hospitality employees and guests experience SaaS-mediated service environments. While this study has focused on infrastructural and organizational dimensions, ethnographic and qualitative investigations could reveal how digital platforms reshape emotional labor, customer expectations, and service improvisation in practice. Additionally, comparative studies across regions and regulatory regimes would deepen understanding of how cloud governance frameworks influence hospitality innovation, an issue highlighted in public sector cloud research by Abu-Shanab and Estatiya (2017) and Rashid and Chaturvedi (2019).

CONCLUSION

This study has argued that SaaS-enabled cloud architectures represent a foundational transformation in the hospitality industry, one that extends far beyond technological efficiency to encompass epistemological, organizational, and experiential reconfiguration. By synthesizing insights from cloud computing theory, hospitality research, and performance management scholarship, the article has demonstrated that cloud platforms function as socio-technical infrastructures that reshape how value is created, measured, and governed within hospitality ecosystems. Goel (2025) provides a crucial conceptual lens for understanding this transformation, framing SaaS platforms as the digital successors to traditional concierge systems and highlighting their role in orchestrating personalized, data-driven guest experiences.

The analysis has shown that SaaS platforms enable unprecedented integration of data, analytics, and service processes, allowing hospitality organizations to respond dynamically to volatile demand, personalize customer engagement, and optimize revenue in real time. At the same time, these platforms introduce new dependencies, governance challenges, and epistemic biases that require careful strategic and ethical consideration. Cloud computing, as both an infrastructural and economic system, thus emerges as a double-edged force in hospitality, offering powerful tools for innovation while also reshaping power relations and organizational autonomy.

Ultimately, the future of hospitality in the cloud era will depend on how organizations navigate this tension between technological possibility and human-centered service values. By conceptualizing SaaS platforms not merely as IT solutions but as experiential and organizational infrastructures, hospitality leaders and scholars alike can better understand and shape the digital transformation of this vital global industry.

REFERENCES

1. Chen, C.-C., & Schwartz, Z. (2013). On revenue management and last minute booking dynamics. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 25(1), 7–22. http://doi.org/10.1108/09596111311290192
2. Rashid, A., & Chaturvedi, A. (2019). Cloud computing characteristics and services: A brief review.
3. Goel, V. (2025). From Concierge to Cloud: Reimagining Hospitality Through SaaS-Driven Experiences. The American Journal of Engineering and Technology, 7(8), 38–52. https://doi.org/10.37547/tajet/Volume07Issue08-05
4. Clohessy, T., Acton, T., Morgan, L., & Conboy, K. (2016). The times they are a-changin for ICT service provision: A cloud computing business model perspective. In 24th European Conference in Information Systems (ECIS), 1–15.
5. Low, C., Chen, Y., & Wu, M. (2011). Understanding the determinants of cloud computing adoption. Industrial Management & Data Systems, 111(7), 1006–1023.
6. Danubianu, M., & Hapenciuc, V. C. (2008). Improving Customer Relationship Management in hotel industry by Data Mining techniques. Annals of the University of Craiova, Economic Sciences Series, 7(36), 3261–3268.
7. Samy, G. N., et al. (2018). Digital Forensic Investigation Challenges based on Cloud Computing Characteristics. International Journal of Engineering & Technology, 7(4.15), 7–11.
8. Abildgaard, T., Bell, T., & Poulsen, D. (2009). Combining Design Science and Agile tools.
9. Vaquero, L. M., Rodero-Merino, L., Caceres, J., & Lindner, M. (2008). A break in the clouds: towards a cloud definition. ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review, 39(1), 50–55.
10. Anderson, C. K. (2012). The impact of social media on lodging performance. Cornell Hospitality Report, 12(15), 4–11.
11. Callarisa, L., García, J. S., Cardiff, J., & Roshchina, A. (2012). Harnessing social media platforms to measure customer-based hotel brand equity.

Tourism Management Perspectives, 4, 73–79.

12. Safari, F., Safari, N., & Hasanzadeh, A. (2015). The adoption of software-as-a-service (SaaS): ranking the determinants. Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 28(3), 400–422.
13. Cokins, G. (2009). Performance management: Integrating strategy execution, methodologies, risk, and analytics. John Wiley & Sons.
14. Puthal, D., Sahoo, B. P. S., Mishra, S., & Swain, S. (2015). Cloud computing features, issues, and challenges: a big picture. In International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Networks, 116–123.
15. Khalil, R., & Shaout, A. (2018). Government cloud system for Jordan. Asian Journal of Computer Science and Engineering, 3(2).
16. Nanos, I., Manthou, V., & Androutsou, E. (2019). Cloud computing adoption decision in e-government. Springer.
17. Tashkandi, A. N., & Al-Jabri, I. M. (2015). Cloud computing adoption by higher education institutions in Saudi Arabia: an exploratory study. Cluster Computing, 18(4), 1527–1537.
18. Abu-Shanab, E., & Estatiya, F. (2017). Utilizing Cloud Computing in public sector cases from the world.
19. Chiang, W.-C., Chen, J. C. H., & Xu, X. (2007). An overview of research on revenue management: current issues and future research. International Journal of Revenue Management, 1(1), 97–128.