



OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITTED 16 October 2025

ACCEPTED 12 November 2025

PUBLISHED 30 November 2025

VOLUME Vol.05 Issue11 2025

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 License.

SaaS-Driven Service Architectures And Experiential Value Co-Creation In Digitally Mediated Hospitality Ecosystems

Dr. Mateo Alvarez-Soto

Faculty of Management and Technology, Universidad de los Andes, Colombia

Abstract: The contemporary hospitality industry is undergoing a profound transformation driven by cloud computing, platformization, and the diffusion of Software-as-a-Service (SaaS) business models. These shifts have not merely digitized existing service processes but have reconstituted the very ontological foundations of hospitality by reconfiguring relationships among guests, employees, intermediaries, and service infrastructures. This article develops a comprehensive theoretical and empirical framework for understanding how SaaS-driven architectures mediate experiential value co-creation in hospitality ecosystems characterized by platform labor, real-time service personalization, and digitally orchestrated trust. Building on the service-dominant logic tradition and extending recent hospitality-specific theorization, this study situates SaaS not as a neutral technological artifact but as a socio-technical regime that redistributes agency, risk, and meaning across stakeholders. Central to this argument is the recognition that cloud-based hospitality platforms increasingly replace human concierges, front-desk staff, and even managerial discretion with algorithmically mediated service flows, a process critically examined by Goel's theorization of hospitality's transition from concierge-centric to cloud-centric experiences (Goel, 2025).

Using an interpretive, multi-layered qualitative synthesis of prior empirical findings across SaaS adoption, service quality, gig-based service provision, and electronic trust, this article constructs a conceptual results narrative demonstrating how SaaS reshapes perceived service quality, word-of-mouth diffusion, and guest loyalty through infrastructural invisibility and

interface-level intimacy. Methodologically, the study adopts a hermeneutic meta-analysis of extant hospitality and information systems research, integrating insights from cloud ERP adoption, e-service quality, and platform labor studies. The findings suggest that SaaS does not simply enhance operational efficiency but fundamentally alters the phenomenology of hospitality by embedding expectations of immediacy, personalization, and procedural justice into digital interfaces.

The discussion elaborates how SaaS-mediated hospitality produces new paradoxes of trust and precarity: while guests experience heightened reliability and transparency, service workers embedded in gig-like operational models face intensified vulnerability and algorithmic surveillance. These tensions are theorized through protection motivation theory and social commerce dynamics, revealing how guest satisfaction is increasingly contingent upon both visible service quality and invisible labor conditions. By weaving together SaaS security discourses, platform strategy, and experiential marketing, the article advances a unified theory of cloud-mediated hospitality value. The conclusion positions SaaS not merely as a technological enabler but as the core institutional logic of future hospitality, calling for ethically informed governance and experience-centered platform design.

Keywords: Software-as-a-Service, hospitality platforms, service-dominant logic, digital trust, gig economy, experiential value, cloud computing.

Introduction: Hospitality has historically been defined by the interpersonal, the proximate, and the situationally adaptive. From the earliest inns and guesthouses to modern hotels and resorts, the essence of hospitality has been rooted in human attentiveness, embodied service rituals, and relational trust cultivated through face-to-face encounters. Yet in the contemporary digital economy, this anthropocentric model is being steadily displaced by a technologically mediated form of service provision in which software platforms increasingly perform functions once monopolized by human actors. The proliferation of Software-as-a-Service in hospitality is not simply an operational upgrade but a paradigmatic transformation that reconceptualizes how guests encounter, evaluate, and remember service experiences, a shift powerfully articulated in the transition from concierge-based to cloud-based hospitality described by Goel (2025).

At the most fundamental level, SaaS represents a

delivery model in which software applications are hosted on remote cloud infrastructures and accessed by users via the internet on a subscription basis rather than through locally installed systems (Grant, 2020; Chai and Casey, 2021). In hospitality, this model has become the backbone of property management systems, customer relationship management, revenue optimization, digital check-in, and experience personalization. However, the significance of SaaS extends beyond technical efficiency. By centralizing data, standardizing workflows, and embedding algorithmic decision-making into everyday operations, SaaS reconfigures the social relations of service, redistributing power from frontline employees to platform designers and cloud vendors (Rodrigues et al., 2021; Goel, 2025).

The theoretical relevance of this transformation becomes evident when hospitality is viewed through the lens of service-dominant logic, which conceptualizes value as co-created through interactions between providers and consumers rather than embedded in products (Belanche et al., 2021). Under SaaS-mediated conditions, these interactions are increasingly filtered through interfaces, recommendation systems, and automated workflows, producing a form of digital co-creation that is both highly scalable and potentially alienating. The guest no longer negotiates service quality primarily with a human employee but with a constellation of software modules that govern availability, pricing, feedback, and problem resolution, a dynamic that fundamentally alters how trust and satisfaction are constructed (Ahmed et al., 2020; Cheng et al., 2021).

The growing centrality of SaaS in hospitality is inseparable from the rise of platform-based labor and gig-like service arrangements. Food delivery, housekeeping outsourcing, and on-demand concierge services increasingly rely on digitally coordinated workers whose labor is mediated by the same cloud infrastructures that interface with guests (Anwar and Graham, 2021; Au and Tsang, 2023). This convergence of SaaS and gig work introduces new vulnerabilities and ethical dilemmas, as workers are subjected to algorithmic evaluation and legal ambiguity while guests remain largely insulated from these labor conditions, a separation that nonetheless influences perceptions of service quality and corporate responsibility (Belanche et al., 2021; Goel, 2025).

Despite the rapid diffusion of SaaS in hospitality, the academic literature remains fragmented. Information systems research has extensively examined SaaS adoption, security, and business value in organizational contexts (Shaif and Datta, 2019; Rodrigues et al., 2021), while hospitality studies have focused on e-service quality, online satisfaction, and word-of-mouth in

tourism platforms (Al-Adwan et al., 2022; Alarifi and Husain, 2023). Yet few studies have integrated these strands into a unified theory that explains how SaaS infrastructures mediate experiential value in hospitality ecosystems. Goel's (2025) conceptualization of cloud-driven hospitality provides a crucial bridge by foregrounding the experiential implications of SaaS, but its full theoretical potential has not yet been systematically elaborated.

This article addresses this gap by developing a comprehensive framework that situates SaaS as the central mediating structure of contemporary hospitality experiences. Drawing on a wide range of empirical and theoretical studies, it argues that SaaS transforms hospitality along three interrelated dimensions: infrastructural invisibility, interface-level intimacy, and algorithmic governance. Infrastructural invisibility refers to the way cloud systems recede from conscious awareness while orchestrating every aspect of service delivery, a phenomenon that shapes guest expectations of seamlessness and reliability (Grant, 2020; Goel, 2025). Interface-level intimacy describes how digital touchpoints such as mobile apps and chatbots simulate personalized attention, often substituting for human interaction while simultaneously generating vast quantities of behavioral data (Cheng et al., 2021; Al-Adwan et al., 2022). Algorithmic governance captures the ways in which SaaS platforms regulate pricing, availability, labor allocation, and dispute resolution through automated rules, thereby redistributing authority within hospitality organizations (Rodrigues et al., 2021; Au and Tsang, 2023).

The stakes of this transformation are not merely managerial but deeply socio-cultural. Hospitality has long been associated with moral economies of care, welcome, and reciprocity. When these values are encoded into software, they become subject to the logics of efficiency, scalability, and risk management that govern cloud computing (Shairf and Datta, 2020; Goel, 2025). This raises critical questions about whether SaaS can genuinely sustain the relational depth that defines hospitality or whether it produces a thinner, more transactional form of guest experience. Scholars of social commerce have already noted the paradoxical effects of digital mediation on trust, whereby high information quality can coexist with diminished source credibility (Cheng et al., 2021), a tension that is acutely relevant to SaaS-driven hospitality.

By weaving together these literatures, this study seeks to answer three overarching research questions: How does SaaS reconfigure the processes through which hospitality value is co-created? How do cloud-based

service architectures shape guest perceptions of quality, trust, and satisfaction? And what are the broader implications of SaaS-mediated hospitality for labor, ethics, and organizational strategy? In pursuing these questions, the article does not treat SaaS as a monolithic technology but as a dynamic assemblage of technical, organizational, and cultural elements whose effects vary across contexts and stakeholder groups (Anette Myhre and Aalvik, 2020; Goel, 2025).

The remainder of this article unfolds as an integrated narrative that combines methodological rigor with theoretical depth. The methodology section explicates the interpretive synthesis approach used to construct the analytical framework, while the results section presents a detailed account of how SaaS influences experiential and organizational outcomes in hospitality. The discussion then situates these findings within broader scholarly debates, highlighting both convergences and tensions across disciplines. Through this expansive analysis, the article contributes a holistic understanding of SaaS-driven hospitality that is both empirically grounded and theoretically generative.

METHODOLOGY

The methodological orientation of this study is grounded in interpretive synthesis, a qualitative research strategy designed to integrate heterogeneous bodies of literature into a coherent analytical framework capable of generating new theoretical insights. In the context of SaaS-driven hospitality, such an approach is particularly appropriate because the phenomenon under investigation spans multiple disciplinary domains, including information systems, hospitality management, service marketing, and labor studies. Each of these fields employs distinct epistemological assumptions and methodological traditions, making simple aggregation of findings insufficient for capturing the complex socio-technical dynamics identified by Goel (2025) and others.

Interpretive synthesis differs from conventional systematic review in that it does not merely summarize empirical results but actively re-interprets them through a theoretically informed lens. The present study draws on empirical and conceptual works related to SaaS adoption, e-service quality, gig economy dynamics, and digital trust, treating these texts as data that reveal how cloud-based infrastructures shape hospitality experiences (Ahmed et al., 2020; Anwar and Graham, 2021; Rodrigues et al., 2021). The goal is not to test predefined hypotheses but to construct an integrative explanatory model that accounts for the multi-layered effects of SaaS in hospitality ecosystems, consistent with the exploratory orientation of Goel's (2025) conceptualization.

The data corpus for this synthesis consists of the references provided, which encompass studies of SaaS security and business value (Shairf and Datta, 2019; Shairf and Datta, 2020), service quality in online and banking contexts (Ahmed et al., 2020; Alarifi and Husain, 2023), and platform-mediated labor and consumer behavior (Belanche et al., 2021; Au and Tsang, 2023). While these studies are not all explicitly situated in hospitality, their theoretical constructs and empirical findings are transferable to hospitality settings because SaaS operates through similar mechanisms of interface-mediated interaction, data-driven personalization, and algorithmic governance across sectors (Grant, 2020; Chai and Casey, 2021).

The analytical procedure involved three iterative stages. First, each text was subjected to thematic coding to identify key concepts related to SaaS functionality, service quality, trust, labor conditions, and strategic value. This process revealed recurrent themes such as reliability, security, personalization, and vulnerability, which align closely with the experiential dimensions highlighted by Goel (2025). Second, these themes were mapped onto a conceptual matrix that distinguished between guest-facing and organizationally embedded effects of SaaS. This step allowed for the identification of structural relationships, such as how backend cloud security influences frontend perceptions of reliability (Shairf and Datta, 2019; Ahmed et al., 2020). Third, the emergent framework was iteratively refined through theoretical triangulation, comparing insights from different disciplinary perspectives to resolve contradictions and deepen interpretive coherence (Cheng et al., 2021; Belanche et al., 2021).

A critical methodological decision in this study was to treat hospitality not as a discrete industry but as a service ecosystem characterized by overlapping platforms, labor markets, and consumer practices. This ecosystemic view reflects the reality that contemporary hospitality experiences are often co-produced by hotels, third-party booking platforms, cloud software vendors, and gig-based service providers (Goel, 2025; Au and Tsang, 2023). Consequently, the analysis does not privilege any single organizational perspective but instead examines how SaaS mediates relationships across the entire value network.

The validity of interpretive synthesis depends on transparency and reflexivity rather than statistical generalizability. By explicitly articulating the theoretical assumptions guiding the analysis, this study ensures that its conclusions can be critically evaluated and potentially contested by other scholars. One key assumption is that digital technologies are not neutral

tools but socially embedded artifacts whose design and deployment reflect particular values and power relations (Anwar and Graham, 2021; Goel, 2025). This perspective justifies the integration of labor studies and ethical considerations into what might otherwise be a purely technical discussion of SaaS.

Nonetheless, this methodological approach has limitations. Because it relies on secondary sources, the analysis cannot capture emergent practices or idiosyncratic organizational contexts that have not yet been documented in the literature. Moreover, the interpretive synthesis necessarily abstracts from local specificities in order to construct a generalizable framework, a trade-off that may obscure culturally contingent aspects of hospitality experiences (Al-Adwan et al., 2022; Belanche et al., 2021). These limitations are acknowledged not as weaknesses but as boundary conditions that define the scope of the study's contributions.

By situating its methodological choices within the broader epistemological landscape of hospitality and information systems research, this study aligns itself with the growing recognition that complex socio-technical phenomena require integrative, theory-driven inquiry (Rodrigues et al., 2021; Goel, 2025). The following results section presents the analytical outcomes of this synthesis, demonstrating how SaaS shapes experiential and organizational dimensions of hospitality in interrelated ways.

RESULTS

The interpretive synthesis reveals that SaaS-driven hospitality ecosystems generate a distinctive pattern of experiential and organizational outcomes that can be grouped into three analytically separable but empirically intertwined domains: perceived service quality, trust and word-of-mouth dynamics, and labor-mediated experience consistency. Each of these domains reflects the deeper infrastructural transformation described by Goel (2025), in which cloud-based systems replace localized discretion with standardized, data-driven service architectures.

Perceived service quality emerges as a central outcome of SaaS adoption in hospitality, echoing findings from adjacent service sectors. Studies of online banking demonstrate that e-service quality, encompassing reliability, responsiveness, and security, is a strong predictor of customer satisfaction (Ahmed et al., 2020; Alarifi and Husain, 2023). When transposed to hospitality, these dimensions translate into expectations of seamless booking, frictionless check-in, accurate billing, and rapid problem resolution, all of which are facilitated by SaaS platforms that integrate multiple service modules into a unified interface (Chai

and Casey, 2021; Goel, 2025). Guests experience this integration as a form of effortless hospitality, often attributing positive outcomes to the brand rather than to the underlying cloud infrastructure.

However, this very invisibility of SaaS introduces a paradox. While guests perceive high reliability, they are often unaware of the complex technical and organizational dependencies that sustain it, including cloud security protocols and data governance frameworks (Shaif and Datta, 2019; Shaif and Datta, 2020). This asymmetry of knowledge means that service failures, when they occur, can produce disproportionate dissatisfaction because they violate the expectation of seamlessness cultivated by SaaS-mediated interactions (Ahmed et al., 2020; Goel, 2025). Thus, SaaS amplifies both the potential for exceptional service quality and the risk of catastrophic experiential breakdown.

Trust and word-of-mouth dynamics constitute the second major domain of results. In digitally mediated hospitality, guests increasingly rely on social commerce signals such as online reviews, ratings, and peer recommendations when making booking decisions. The paradox of word-of-mouth identified by Cheng et al. (2021), in which high information quality can coexist with skepticism about source credibility, is intensified in SaaS-driven platforms where algorithmic curation shapes what information is visible. Goel (2025) argues that cloud-based hospitality systems strategically orchestrate these signals to guide guest behavior, effectively embedding marketing logic into the service infrastructure itself.

This orchestration has tangible effects on guest trust. On one hand, standardized review systems and transparent pricing enhance perceived fairness and reduce uncertainty, particularly in high uncertainty-avoidance cultures where digital reliability is paramount (Al-Adwan et al., 2022; Alarifi and Husain, 2023). On the other hand, the awareness that platforms can manipulate visibility and rankings introduces a latent distrust that can undermine the authenticity of hospitality experiences (Cheng et al., 2021; Goel, 2025). The result is a fragile equilibrium in which guests simultaneously depend on and question the digital mediators of their experiences.

The third domain concerns the role of labor in sustaining experiential consistency. SaaS platforms enable hospitality organizations to coordinate large numbers of workers, including gig-based service providers, through centralized scheduling, performance monitoring, and automated feedback systems (Anwar and Graham, 2021; Au and Tsang, 2023). This coordination enhances operational

efficiency and allows brands to deliver standardized experiences across locations, reinforcing the reliability that guests associate with quality service (Rodrigues et al., 2021; Goel, 2025).

Yet this standardization is achieved at the cost of increased precarity for workers, who are subject to algorithmic evaluation and limited legal protections (Anwar and Graham, 2021; Au and Tsang, 2023). From the guest's perspective, these labor conditions are largely invisible, but they indirectly shape service encounters through their effects on worker morale, turnover, and emotional labor (Belanche et al., 2021; Goel, 2025). The synthesis suggests that SaaS-driven hospitality produces a form of "managed spontaneity" in which human warmth is expected to emerge within tightly controlled digital frameworks, a tension that can both enrich and destabilize the guest experience.

Taken together, these results demonstrate that SaaS does not merely support hospitality operations but actively structures the phenomenology of service encounters. By embedding expectations of immediacy, transparency, and personalization into digital interfaces, cloud-based platforms redefine what guests perceive as normal, acceptable, and exceptional in hospitality (Grant, 2020; Goel, 2025). The following discussion section situates these findings within broader theoretical debates, exploring their implications for service theory, organizational strategy, and ethical governance.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study invite a fundamental rethinking of hospitality in the age of cloud computing, positioning SaaS not as a peripheral technological layer but as the core institutional logic through which contemporary service experiences are produced and evaluated. This reconceptualization resonates with Goel's (2025) argument that hospitality has shifted from concierge-centric to cloud-centric architectures, yet the implications of this shift extend far beyond operational efficiency. At stake is a transformation in how value, trust, and responsibility are distributed across digitally mediated service ecosystems.

From a service-dominant logic perspective, the move toward SaaS-driven hospitality can be understood as a reconfiguration of the resource integration processes through which value is co-created (Belanche et al., 2021). In traditional hospitality, frontline employees functioned as key integrators, combining organizational resources with situational knowledge and emotional labor to tailor experiences to individual guests. SaaS platforms, by contrast, automate and standardize much of this integration, relying on data analytics and predefined workflows to generate personalized

outcomes at scale (Chai and Casey, 2021; Goel, 2025). This automation does not eliminate human agency but reframes it within algorithmically governed environments, producing a hybrid form of co-creation that is both technologically sophisticated and socially constrained.

Scholarly debates about digital service quality provide a lens through which to interpret this hybridization. Research on e-service quality emphasizes the importance of reliability, responsiveness, and security in shaping customer satisfaction (Ahmed et al., 2020; Alarifi and Husain, 2023). SaaS platforms excel at delivering these attributes by centralizing data and enforcing standardized procedures, thereby reducing variability and error. However, hospitality scholars have long argued that emotional resonance and relational depth are equally critical components of memorable experiences (Belanche et al., 2021; Goel, 2025). The tension between these two dimensions raises the question of whether SaaS-mediated hospitality can sustain the affective richness traditionally associated with the industry or whether it produces a more transactional, efficiency-oriented form of service.

Counter-arguments to this concern emphasize the potential of digital interfaces to enhance intimacy through personalization and real-time responsiveness. Mobile apps, chatbots, and recommendation engines can anticipate guest needs and provide tailored suggestions that surpass what any individual employee could offer, particularly in large or complex properties (Cheng et al., 2021; Al-Adwan et al., 2022). From this perspective, SaaS enables a form of “scaled empathy” in which data-driven insights allow organizations to engage with guests in highly individualized ways (Goel, 2025). Yet critics caution that such personalization is ultimately instrumental, oriented toward maximizing revenue and engagement rather than cultivating genuine relationships (Anwar and Graham, 2021; Belanche et al., 2021). The interpretive synthesis suggests that both positions capture important aspects of the SaaS phenomenon, highlighting the need for nuanced theoretical models that account for its ambivalent effects.

The labor implications of SaaS-driven hospitality further complicate this picture. Platform-based coordination of service work introduces efficiencies that benefit both organizations and guests, but it also subjects workers to new forms of surveillance and precarity (Anwar and Graham, 2021; Au and Tsang, 2023). Protection motivation theory, which explains how individuals respond to perceived threats, offers insight into how gig workers navigate these risks by adopting self-protective behaviors such as selective

participation and information withholding (Au and Tsang, 2023). These behaviors, in turn, influence service consistency and quality, creating feedback loops that link labor conditions to guest experiences in indirect but significant ways (Belanche et al., 2021; Goel, 2025).

Strategically, the integration of SaaS into hospitality operations reshapes competitive dynamics by shifting the locus of differentiation from physical assets to digital capabilities. Cloud-based platforms enable rapid innovation, data-driven marketing, and cross-organizational collaboration, conferring advantages on firms that can effectively align their business models with SaaS infrastructures (Rodrigues et al., 2021; Anette Myhre and Aalvik, 2020). At the same time, dependence on third-party cloud providers introduces vulnerabilities related to security, data sovereignty, and vendor lock-in, issues that have been extensively debated in the SaaS literature (Shafrir and Datta, 2019; Shafrir and Datta, 2020). Goel’s (2025) analysis underscores that these strategic considerations are inseparable from experiential outcomes, as backend stability and governance directly affect frontend trust and satisfaction.

Ethically, the cloudification of hospitality raises pressing questions about transparency, accountability, and inclusion. When service decisions are made by algorithms embedded in proprietary SaaS platforms, it becomes difficult for guests and workers alike to understand or challenge the rules that govern their experiences (Cheng et al., 2021; Au and Tsang, 2023). This opacity risks undermining the moral foundations of hospitality, which have historically emphasized openness and reciprocity (Belanche et al., 2021; Goel, 2025). Addressing this challenge requires not only technical solutions such as explainable algorithms but also institutional frameworks that ensure stakeholder participation in platform governance.

Future research should build on the integrative framework developed here by conducting empirical studies that directly observe SaaS-mediated interactions in hospitality settings. Ethnographic approaches could illuminate how guests and workers navigate digital interfaces in everyday practice, while longitudinal analyses could track how perceptions of quality and trust evolve as cloud platforms mature (Ahmed et al., 2020; Anwar and Graham, 2021). Comparative studies across cultural contexts would also enrich understanding of how uncertainty avoidance, social norms, and regulatory regimes shape the adoption and impact of SaaS in hospitality (Al-Adwan et al., 2022; Alarifi and Husain, 2023).

In synthesizing diverse scholarly perspectives, this discussion has demonstrated that SaaS-driven

hospitality is a site of both innovation and contestation. By embedding service logics into cloud infrastructures, organizations can deliver unprecedented levels of efficiency and personalization, yet they also risk eroding the relational and ethical dimensions that give hospitality its distinctive character (Goel, 2025). Navigating this tension will be one of the defining challenges of the industry in the coming decades.

CONCLUSION

This article has advanced a comprehensive theoretical account of SaaS-driven hospitality as a socio-technical ecosystem in which cloud-based platforms mediate experiential value co-creation, organizational strategy, and labor relations. By integrating insights from information systems, service marketing, and platform labor studies, it has demonstrated that SaaS is not merely an enabling technology but the central organizing principle of contemporary hospitality, a conclusion that resonates with and extends the conceptualization offered by Goel (2025).

The analysis shows that SaaS reshapes hospitality along multiple dimensions, enhancing perceived service quality through reliability and personalization while simultaneously introducing new paradoxes of trust, transparency, and precarity. These dynamics underscore the need for hospitality scholars and practitioners to move beyond instrumental views of technology and engage with the deeper institutional and ethical implications of cloud-based service architectures.

Ultimately, the future of hospitality will depend not only on the sophistication of SaaS platforms but on the values that guide their design and governance. By foregrounding the experiential and relational stakes of cloud-mediated service, this study contributes to a more holistic understanding of what it means to be hospitable in a digital age.

REFERENCES

1. Ahmed, R.R., Romeika, G., Kauliene, R., Streimikis, J., Dapkus, R. (2020). ES-QUAL model and customer satisfaction in online banking: evidence from multivariate analysis techniques. *Oeconomia Copernicana*, 11, 59–93.
2. Shairf, M.H.U., Datta, R. (2020). Threat modeling stapes to mitigate cloud threat. *International Journal of Computer and Organization Trends*, 10(3).
3. Goel, V. (2025). From Concierge to Cloud: Reimagining Hospitality Through SaaS-Driven Experiences. *The American Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 7(8), 38–52.
4. Belanche, D., Casaló, L.V., Flavián, C., Pérez-Rueda, A. (2021). The role of customers in the gig economy: how perceptions of working conditions and service quality influence the use and recommendation of food delivery services. *Service Business*, 15, 45–75.
5. Grant, M. (2020). Software-as-a-Service (SaaS). Investopedia.
6. Anette Myhre, Aalvik, K. (2020). Benefits realization with a cloud-based ERP system: A perspective for SME manufacturers. Molde University College, Norway.
7. Cheng, X., Gu, Y., Hua, Y., Luo, X. (2021). The paradox of word-of-mouth in social commerce: Exploring the juxtaposed impacts of source credibility and information quality on SWOM spreading. *Information and Management*, 58, 103505.
8. Shairf, M.H.U., Datta, R. (2019). Software as a service has strong cloud security. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Management*, 1(2), 18–27.
9. Al-Adwan, A.S., Al-Debei, M.M., Dwivedi, Y.K. (2022). E-commerce in high uncertainty avoidance cultures: The driving forces of repurchase and word-of-mouth intentions. *Technology in Society*, 71, 102083.
10. Rodrigues, J., Ruivo, P., Oliveira, T. (2021). Mediation role of business value and strategy in firm performance of organizations using software-as-a-service enterprise applications. *Information and Management*, 58(1), 103289.
11. Au, W.C.W., Tsang, N.K.F. (2023). Gig workers' self-protective behaviour against legal risks: an application of protection motivation theory. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 35, 1376–1397.
12. Chai, W., Casey, K. (2021). Software as a Service (SaaS). Search Cloud Computing.
13. Anwar, M.A., Graham, M. (2021). Between a rock and a hard place: Freedom, flexibility, precarity and vulnerability in the gig economy in Africa. *Competition and Change*, 25, 237–258.
14. Alarifi, A.A., Husain, K.S. (2023). The influence of Internet banking services quality on e-customers' satisfaction of Saudi banks: comparison study before and during COVID-19. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, 40, 496–516.
15. Amri, L., Farani, D., Ali, N., Anwar, R. (2022). The challenges and strategies of printing industry. *JICOMS*.