

EUROPEAN INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH
AND MANAGEMENT STUDIES

VOLUME03 ISSUE12

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.55640/eijmrms-03-12-03>

Pages: 13-16

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ARCHITECTURAL TERMS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK
LANGUAGES

Boboqulova Dilnoza Baxtiyorovna
Lecturer, Termez State University, Uzbekistan

ABOUT ARTICLE

Key words: Construction and architecture, building terms, systematization, specialization, the formation of terms, generalization, architectural meaning.

Received: 27.11.2023

Accepted: 02.12.2023

Published: 07.12.2023

Abstract: This article describes Uzbek and English architecture and construction terminology. Also, in this article we analyze the etymology of some old terms of Uzbek and English languages with their translation in Russian, which were created by grammatically and syntactically meaning of two or more words with independent meanings.

INTRODUCTION

By this time there was interest to the study of theoretical issues of terminology. In this respect of particular interest are the works of U.L. Ursunov, S. Ibragimova and a number of other researchers.

LITERATURE ANALYSIS

Later, S. Ibragimov created a monograph of 3 parts under the general title "Professional vocabulary of Fergana dialects" plastering / knife making, lock making, tin work, etc. From a scientific point of view, particularly, the research of I. Ibragimov, which is devoted to the study of the vocabulary of plasterers, has a great importance for Uzbek terminology. The work contains a number of statements regarding the emergence and further functioning of hundred building terms. In general, the specific fundamental work of S. Ibragimov "To illuminate the material and cultural history of our people, to develop the history of the Uzbek language and dialectology" is great contribution to the vocabulary of professionals. In recent years, a lot has been done in "solution of theoretical questions terminology of the Uzbek language: scientific papers have been written, monographies, a large number of articles, a number of

dissertations were defended. For example, articles, brochures and monographs such as S. Usmanov, R. Daniyarov, H. B. Bazarova, T. Tursunova and others, disertificates of R.Daniyarov /doctoral/, H.Jamalkhanova, A.Kurbanov,L. Reshetova, M. Asamutdinova, L. Danilova, A. Ramazanova, S. Azizov, H. Bakaeva, A. Khusanov, A. Kasymov. DISCUSSION AND RESULTS The listed monographs, brochures, articles and dissertations, published dictionaries, undoubtedly, will assist in solving issues of terminology by theoretical and practical point of view with deeply scientific thoughts. But so far, a separate monographic study has not been devoted to the study of the construction terminology of the Uzbek language. Meanwhile, the rapid development of construction, its transformation into one of the branches of industry, the widespread use of mechanization and automation are primarily reflected in terms. It goes without saying that these changes taking place in this branch of terminology / the formation of terms, their systematization and a number of others / require the development of scientific and theoretical issues and practical solutions - the compilation of a terminological dictionary of construction terms. The construction theme is also reflected to some extent in individual works devoted to construction and architecture, i.e. in special scientific works, in historical and ethnographic studies. In these works, of course, building terms are not subjected to linguistic analysis. But they contain sufficient data on tools, building materials, buildings and their parts, as well as processes related directly to construction, about their names '. In this regard, we can highlight the monographs of A.K. Pisarchik "Building materials and constructive techniques of folk craftsmen of the Fergana Valley in the XIX - early XX centuries".So, in the work of A.K. Pisarchik, although the goal was to analyze the achievements of the Uzbek people in the field of national architecture in ethnographic terms, using the example of the construction of the Ferghana Valley, it contains sufficient information about the etymology of the building terms he himself collected. In his opinion, in the lexicon of builders and residents of the Fergana Valley and in a number of other regions of Uzbekistan, along with Uzbek / general Turkish terms, Persian-Tajik / devol, loy, ayvon, peshayvon, etc. / are used, and mixed Tajik-Uzbek and Uzbek- Tajik terms: kush sinch, du sinch also were used.From the research work of A.K. It becomes clear that Samarkand architects used the following terms to build the foundation, wall, ceiling: sandhari - a foundation made of large bricks, khishtfarch - a floor lined with bricks, devol - an adobe wall, bolodori - the upper beam of the door frame, zaborrav // zavarrav - upper strapping from beams, chorchub - a square or rectangle of four beams, vassa - slabs - halves or thirds of hacked perches, buyra - reed braid, etc. The merit of the author's is that it describes parts of the construction art of Samarkand, from ancient times to the present in detail. The most important thing is that the research work of A.K. Pisarchik reflected a large number of construction terms that were in the everyday life of the population. From his work it becomes clear that to design a city dwelling in the dialects of the builders,

such terms were used as: peshayvon - передний отвал, berun - внешний городской дом, dorun-внутренний городской дом, mehmonhona - гостинная для мужчин, zina'i minori- минаретная лестница, tula // tagtula - полуподвальное помещение, darvozahona - сооружение над входной калиткой and etc. The research work of A.K. Pisarchik is interesting because it reflects a large number of terms denoting architectural details and artistic and decorative techniques. Such terms include, in particular: болари вассажут - открытый балочный потолок, болори лула - балки круглого сечения, мадохил - плоскость среза, образующая трехполостную фигуру, пилтаваасса - ваза в виде фитилей, тахмон - ниша для хранения постели, токча/уреза - глубокая узкая ниша, гирех - арабески, геометрический орнамент, багдоди - род двери, дарвоза - ворота и мн.др. The foregoing give us the following conclusion: the issues of terminology are constantly in the field of view as an object of linguistic research not only in Russian, but also in other linguistics of peoples, they are also intensively studied in Uzbek linguistics. Despite this, construction terminology, whose origins go back to the distant past, has not yet become the object of a special linguistic study. If we look through the terms of the English language, you can find out that usually the specialization of the meanings of words is associated with the awareness of a certain area of activity as a special one. In the studied array of terms, there were 10 lexical units formed in this way. All of them are quite old, the last of them appeared in 1835. The first of them - pendant - an architectural detail, designed in the form of a pendant, was formed from the commonly used "pendant" in 1322. Слово volume со значением «объем» в 1621 г. приобрело специальное значение «внутренний объем здания». В 1626 г. слово fret (прямоугольный орнамент) образованное от значения «дамская сетка для волос из драгоценных камней» приобрело специальное значение «архитектурный орнамент из пересекающихся прямоугольных линий, лепнина на потолке. Термин lucarne со значением «отверстие на чердаке или в крыше для пропуска света» в 1631 г. приобрел значение «круглое небольшое окно в классическом фронтоне». The word volume with the meaning "volume" in 1621 acquired the special meaning "internal volume of the building". -rectangular lines, stucco on the ceiling. The term lucarne with the meaning "a hole in the attic or in the roof to let in light" in 1631 acquired the meaning of "a small round window in a classical pediment."

REFERENCES

1. Andress A. "The distance of time and translation." 1965 (32p)
2. А.А. Мирлюбов, И. В. Раҳманов, Б.С. Сетлин. Ўрта мактабларда чет тиллар ўқитишнинг умумий методикаси.- Тошкент,1974. (14p)
3. Жалолов Ж.Ж. Чет тили ўқитиш методикаси – Тошкент,1996. (23p)

4. Жалолов Ж. Ж. English. 9- синф учун инглиз тили дарслиги.- Т.,Ўқитувчи, 1998. (44p)
5. Alaudinova D. R. Pedagogical Practice-Test Results Assessment Criteria, Quantity And Quality Multiplier Analysis //Экономика и социум. – 2022. – №. 8 (99). – С. 7-10.
6. Rustamovna A. D. Technology Of Teaching Languages //JournalNX. – 2020. – С. 180-183.
7. Усмонова М., Алаудинова Д. Р. ЯЗЫК ПЕДАГОГИЧЕСКОЙ ПРОФИЛАКТИКИ ИННОВАЦИОННОЙ ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТИ //Academic research in educational sciences. – 2021. – Т. 2. – №. 7. – С. 161-165.
8. Тохтаевна А. Х. Speaking Problems of Learners //EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATION IN NONFORMAL EDUCATION. – 2022. – Т. 2. – №. 2. – С. 510-512.
9. Тохтаевна А. Х. Speaking Problems of Learners //EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF INNOVATION IN NONFORMAL EDUCATION. – 2022. – Т. 2. – №. 2. – С. 510-512.
10. Ахмедова Х. USE OF INNOVATIVE APPROACH TECHNIQUES IN IMPROVING THE PEDAGOGICAL SKILLS //Гуманитарный трактат. – 2020. – №. 98. – С. 12-14.
11. Ахмедова Х. USE OF INNOVATIVE APPROACH TECHNIQUES IN IMPROVING THE PEDAGOGICAL SKILLS //Гуманитарный трактат. – 2020. – №. 98. – С. 12-14.